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Abstract

Background: During the last three decades, the Total Fertility Rate (TFR) in Iran has fallen considerably; from 6.5 per woman in 1983 to 1.89 in 2010. This paper analyzes the extent to which economic determinants at the micro and macro levels are associated with the number of children in Iranian households.

Methods: Household data from the 2010 Household Expenditure and Income Survey (HEIS) is linked to provincial data from the 2010 Iran Multiple-Indicator Demographic and Health Survey (IrMIDHS), the National Census of Population and Housing conducted in 1986, 1996, 2006 and 2011, and the 1985–2010 Iran statistical year books. Fertility is measured as the number of children in each household. A random intercept multilevel Poisson regression function is specified based on a collective model of intra-household bargaining power to investigate potential determinants of the number of children in Iranian households.

Results: Ceteris paribus (other things being equal), probability of having more children drops significantly as either real per capita educational expenditure or real total expenditure of each household increase. Both the low- and the high-income households show probabilities of having more children compared to the middle-income households. Living in provinces with either higher average amount of value added of manufacturing establishments or lower average rate of house rent is associated to higher probability of having larger number of children. Higher levels of gender gap indices, resulting in household’s wife’s limited power over household decision-making, positively affect the probability of having more children.

Conclusion: Economic determinants at the micro and macro levels, distribution of intra-household bargaining power between spouses and demographic covariates determined fertility behavior of Iranian households.
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Introduction

The number of children ever born per woman has important implications for public health, economic climate, and population structure. It can influence infant, child and maternal mortality, obstetric and child health services, economic growth (or decline), independency burden, labor force participation, and age structure of populations (1). According to the Population Reference Bureau (PRB), Iran is amongst the twenty most populated countries of the world. Nevertheless, during the last three decades, the Total Fertility Rate (TFR) in this country has fallen considerably from 6.5 per woman in 1983 to 1.89 in 2010 which is below the replacement fertility rate (2). It is interesting to note that Iran now has the lowest fertility rate in the Middle East (2). There is a rich literature about the demographic feature of fertility in Iran including fertility transition and how expansion in education, reduction in child mortality, urbanization, wide access to family planning services and religion have contributed to the recent fertility decline in this country (3–11). However, to our knowledge, the impact of economic factors on Iranian fertility behavior has not sufficiently been elaborated.

The objective of the paper is to provide an economic explanation for the dramatic change in fertility among Iranian households. The paper applies the intra-household bargaining model framework to investigate the extent to which economic determinants at the household and provincial levels are associated with fertility behavior. We link the household data from the 2010 Household Expenditure and Income Survey (HEIS) to the provincial data from the 2010 Iran Multiple-Indicator Demographic and Health Survey (IrMIDHS), the National Census of Population and Housing and the Iran statistical year books. Then, a random intercept multilevel Poisson regression function is specified based on a collective model of intra-household bargaining power in which spouses’ bargaining power is measured through extra-household indices of gender gap determined outside of household. The paper proceeds as follows. First, we provide theoretical background of intra-household bargaining models. In the Following section, different data sources are introduced. After that, we explain the multilevel method and count data model and define the explanatory variables included in the multilevel Poisson model. The subsequent section
focuses on the relationship between the household and provincial characteristics and the number of children in households (as a measure for fertility). Next, the findings are discussed extensively and in the final section, we draw a brief conclusion.

**Theoretical background**

In an economic view, children fall into several main categories such as public goods, investment goods and consumer durable goods. When children are described as consumer durable goods, they are considered to provide a flow of utility to their parents (12,13).

Some economists have tried to explore fertility behavior of individuals and households based on microeconomic theories. Malthus's classic essay is one of the primary economic studies of fertility behavior. The essay points to the conclusion that fertility rate change in direct proportion to the total income (14). Nonetheless, the Malthusian approach cannot describe fertility of developed economies for the reason that it neglects two features of these economies, including opportunity cost of parenthood and importance of educated and healthy children, which both persuade parents to have smaller families (15–17). Becker' theory of fertility behavior, specially the notion of the “quality and quantity of children", which is a substantial contribution to family and household economics, suggests those parents who give higher quality to their children usually choose a smaller family size (18–21). Becker et al. and Becker and Lewis emphasize the role of economic determinants such as household income, female labor force participation and childbearing cost in household fertility (15,18–20,22). A main conclusion of Becker’ theory is that unlike Malthusian theory, the effect of income on fertility is ambiguous depending on the extent of offsetting income influence versus substitution influence (19,21,23).

According to Becker's unitary model, spouses maximize one single utility function reflecting their same preferences about quality or quantity of children (21,23–25). Samuelson also develops a household social welfare function with one joint utility function (26). In contrast, intra-household bargaining decision-making models developed by Manser and Brown (27), and McElroy and Horney (28) advocate different utility functions for spouses. Intra-household bargaining refers to negotiations that occur between members of a household in order to arrive at decisions regarding the household unit (29) and intra-household bargaining power is the relative capacity of the household members especially spouses to dominate the other in a negotiation over decisions such as quantity of children. If all household members are on same position in a negotiation, they will have equal bargaining power, and conversely, if one has a dominant position in the negotiation, they have unequal bargaining power (29,30). Non-cooperative and cooperative bargaining settings are two categories of intra-household models which are based on the game-theoretic framework. In non-cooperative games, household members maximize their utility, taking the other members' behaviors as given (27–29,31,32). One weakness of this approach is that a non-cooperative game does not essentially have a Pareto efficient intra-household allocation of welfare (23,24,33). In cooperative games, household members' aim is to reach an agreement on how to divide the gains from living together. In a cooperative game, a Pareto efficacy of intra-household allocation of welfare is achieved. Still, both cooperative and non-cooperative games depend on a particular bargaining concept which is a limitation (21,27,28). The collective model developed by Chiappori (30,34) and Apps and Rees (35) has only one assumption that all intra-household decisions are Pareto efficient; thus, it has the least limitation. In this model, household allocation problem can be defined as a unique solution to the following maximization problem:  

\[ W = \mu U^A + (1 - \mu) U^B \]  

(1)

\( U^A \) and \( U^B \) are utility function of two household members \( A \) and \( B \). \( \mu \) and \( (1-\mu) \) refer to the welfare weights. These welfare weights are interpreted as bargaining power of household members (24,25,30,34,35).

A number of studies have recognized, at the macro level, the relationship between fertility and economic determinants such as per capita income, employment rate, inflation rate, economic uncertainty, and economic growth (36–43). The other works have noted the association between fertility and economic status of households or individuals at the micro level (32,38,44–47). Moreover, fertility behavior has been investigated, at the micro level, in the framework of household bargaining power. In this approach, the intra-household bargaining power is derived from individual determinants such as spouses' income, wage rate, assets, age, education and woman empowerment (32,48–51), or from household determinants such as age, educational and wage differences between spouses (29,52,53). Other studies have pointed at the key role of contextual factors, specifically gendered institutions reflecting asymmetric social norms, cultural beliefs and laws in bargaining power of household members (54–57). A few dimensions of gender gap (meaning the differences between female and male in social, political, intellectual, cultural, or economic attainments or attitudes) such as wage gender gap, polygamy, political participation etc. have also been used at higher levels as proxies for intra-household bargaining power (29,58,59). We therefore conclude that bargaining power in a single household is partly resulted from extra-household indices of gender gap driving us to accept the negative relationship between extra-household indices of gender gap and bargaining power of wives.

In this paper, the spouses’ bargaining power is explained in terms of extra-household indices of gender gap which are based on benchmarking tools introduced by World Economic Forum (WEF). These benchmarking tools include economic participation and opportunity, educational attainment, political empowerment and health and survival (60,61). Based on the evidence mentioned in the previous paragraph, we assume a negative relationship between extra-household indices of gender gap and intra-household woman's bargaining power.

An expert panel consists of economists, sociologists-demographist, statisticians and health economists developed for determining content validity in this study. Selected...
measures of gender gap as indicators for “intra-household bargaining power” were evaluated by the panel. They reached an agreement that selected variables could represent all aspects of gender gap which have introduced by WEF and recognized those variables as appropriate proxies for intra-household bargaining power.

To test reliability, the final sample of households was split into two subsets in which 90% of households in sample provide first subset and remaining households provide the second one. The result of the test confirmed the overall consistency of our measures.

Materials and methods

Data sources and sample selection

The first level of observations consists of households and the second level contains the Iranian provinces in the year of 2010. We apply different data sources: 1) the 2010 HEIS, 2) the 2010 IrMIDHS, 3) the National Census of Population and Housing, and 4) the Iran statistical year books provided by Statistical Center of Iran. We link the micro-data provided by the HEIS to the published provincial data from the three other data sources.

The HEIS, operated by Statistical Center of Iran and Iranian central bank, is a nationally representative survey administered to two samples of urban and rural households in all provinces. The 2010 HEIS is the main data set in this study. A valid and reliable questionnaire, which was designed and employed by this centre, was used for data collection. The household-level variables consisting of number of children in each household, household educational expenditure in real price, total household expenditure in real price, income deciles, household’s wife’s employment status and gender composition of children have been constructed by extracting data from this survey. The total sample includes 38950 households. The present study emphasizes on the normal type of families, indicated by the monogamous married couples living together and having their own children. Hence, we exclude the following households from the sample: households with single parent because they could not exhibit distribution of bargaining power between parents and polygamous households since this study is based on the assumption that each household has one married woman as the wife. Households including wives aged less than fifteen years when the first child had been borne are dropped because they were under the childbearing age. We drop households including wives aged more than forty at the time of interview because the number of children in these households may be fewer than total number of children, which has not been recorded by the HEIS. Hence, limiting the sample to younger wives lowers the risk of underestimating the total number of children. We also eliminate childless households because we do not have any information about the length of marriage among them, and those with a multiple births because they may have unwanted children. Therefore, the final sample consists of 13952 households containing monogamous married couples living within thirty provinces.

The 2010 IrMIDHS, which was carried out by the Iran ministry of health, includes a representative sample of 31350 households in all provinces. In this paper, we use a number of provincial-level variables from this survey, including unmet needs for contraception and polygamy rate.

The National Census of Population and Housing, which was conducted by the Statistical Center of Iran, was started in 1956 and conducted every ten years until 2006 and every five years after that time. We make use of the surveys conducted in 1986, 1996, 2006 and 2011 to compile a number of provincial variables by calculating the average amount of each variable during stated period. The variables are the population of each province, the average percentage of urban population and the average household size in each province. Moreover, the provinces with sizable minorities of Sunnite population were selected from this data source.

Other variables, at the provincial level, were obtained from the “International Statistics”, “Price Indices” and “Political Statistics” were parts of the 1985–2010 Iran statistical year books. We use an average of selected variables such as the average rate of house rent, the average value added in manufacturing establishments, the average amount of Consumer Price Index (CPI), the average employment rate, the average male to female wage ratio in manufacturing establishments, the average ratio of highly educated male to female employees in manufacturing establishments, the average female employment rate, the average ratio of male to female election onto City and Village Councils, in addition to the average percentage of urban population in each province over this period. The logic behind using an average value of data for multiple years is the fact that fertility is determined, developed, and changed during a long period of time. As a result, all economic and demographic conditions during those years could impact the Iranian fertility behavior.

Method and measures

In this paper, the data has a two-level hierarchal structure in which 13952 households are nested within thirty provinces. It should be noticed that the Alborz province was formed by dividing the Tehran province into two provinces (Tehran and Alborz) in the year of 2010. But there was no comprehensive separate data and information about Alborz province in 2010. Therefore, Alborz province is regarded as part of Tehran province.

Clustering the observations within higher-level units can result in a hierarchically structured data set in which observations are not independent. The Ordinary Least Square (OLS) method is not suitable for observations of this type because it can give inefficient estimates of parameters and downwardly biased estimates of standard errors (62–64). Besides, the dependent variable is counts of children in each household. The count data are constrained to be non-negative. Fitting a normal model to these data can cause negative predictions (65–67). Therefore, we apply a multilevel count model based on the extra Poisson distribution with random intercept to account for hierarchal structure of the observations and to avoid any bias resulted from fitting a linear model to count data. According to evidence (66,67), the probability mass function of $y_i$ conditional on $x_i$ in Poisson distribution is:
Following similar studies \((32,44,58)\), \textit{Childnum}, the number of children in household (i.e. those children still living with their parents), is proxied for total children ever born in each household. We use this variable as a measure of fertility in the sample. \textit{Lfpoure} is the offset variable to control for the “exposure time” (i.e. the years that a household’s wife is at risk of childbearing). It is defined as the natural logarithm of the “age of the oldest child in the household plus one” as a proxy for marriage span because the HEIS does not record any information about the length of marriage. \(X_{ij}\) and \(X_{2j}\) are the individual-level explanatory variables and the provincial-level ones respectively. \(v_{ij}\) explains the province random effects and \(\epsilon_{ij}\) is the individual-level error.

The main Independent variables, measured either at the household or provincial levels, are economic determinants. Education can enhance the quality of children. Hence, to test the quantity-quality hypothesis of childbearing, we enter the per capita household educational expenditure in real price based on CPI of the provinces in 2010 which express parents’ preference for the educated children as an indicator for the quality of children. More, we add the total household expenditure in real price based on CPI of the provinces in 2010, as a proxy for household income. Another independent variable is a categorical variable which divide households into three categories: households in the first to third income deciles (the low-income households), households in the fourth to seventh income deciles (the middle-income households), and those in the eighth to tenth income deciles (the high-income households). One dummy variable, indicating whether or not a household’s wife has a job is included to measure the opportunity cost of childbearing and childrearing. All above covariates were measured at the household level.

A number of provincial level covariates are incorporated into the model to determine the economic status, at the macro level. These independent variables are the average rate of house rent, measuring the cost of living in each province, the average value added in manufacturing establishments which is indicative for industrial and economic development, the average amount of CPI representing inflation rate of each province, and the average employment rate.

Gender gap indices are derived from a number of variables at the provincial level to capture benchmarking tools introduced by WEF. The main variables consist of the average male to female wage ratio in manufacturing establishments, the average ratio of highly educated male to female employees (i.e. employees with master or doctoral degree) in manufacturing establishments, the average female employment rate, in addition to the average ratio of male to female election onto City and Village Councils of Iran during its first, second and third periods. Two other variables, selected to measure gender gap based on the existing literature, are percentage of unmet needs for contraception \((68,69)\) and polygamy rate. Polygamy is known as an indicator of discriminatory family code which reflects gender gap \((29,58,59)\). Unmet needs for contraception is the other key indicator reflecting inequality in reproductive health as one of the indices of gender gap developed by WEF \((68,69)\).

In order to control for demographic factors, we add household-level characteristics in addition to provincial level covariates; the model contains a categorical variable classified as “having only boys”, “having only girls” and “having mixed-gender children” to specify gender composition of children. Two different dummies, indicating whether or not either wife or husband is literate, are used as independent variables, explaining variation in the fertility behavior of literate and illiterate spouses. The average percentage of urban population in each province is incorporated as a demographic covariate at the second level. Further, a binary variable, defined as living in the provinces with sizable minorities of Sunnite population, is included in the model. These two demographic dummy covariates are used to control for the regional, religious and developmental differences which can impact fertility in Iran. We also incorporate the average provincial household size to measure the effect of social norms related to reproductive choice on fertility behavior of households, as suggested by previous studies \((70,71)\).

Data analyses are performed using MLwiN version 2.20 (Bristol University, Bristol) and Stata version 9 (Stata Corporation, College Station, Texas). We use Iterated Generalized Least Square (IGLS) estimation and the 2nd order Predictive Quasi Likelihood (PQL) method.

**Results**

Table 1 reports the means and the standard deviations of continuous variables, and the percentage of the categorical variables and Table 2 reports the distribution of the number of children in the Iranian households.

The average number of children per household is 2.15 and both the median and the mode are two children. The provinces of Gilan and Sistan and Baluchistan, with the average numbers of children equal to 1.69 and 2.92, display the lowest and the highest numbers of children per household, respectively. While 88% of households in Gilan and Mazandaran provinces have a maximum of two children, nearly 52% in Sistan and Baluchistan and 51% in Kohkloye and Boyerahmad have at least three children. This suggests a considerable difference in the average numbers of children per household across the Iranian provinces.

Since, the variance of the number of children is 1.19, which
is quite less than its mean, the dependent variable has an under-dispersion distribution; hence, we consider an extra Poisson distribution assumption in the estimation procedure. Significance level is assumed to be at 0.05.

Table 3 reports the final results from the multilevel count data model. The value of the Wald test indicates the overall goodness of fit of the model. The standard deviation of the provincial random effects (συ) is small but significantly different from zero meaning that intercepts vary slightly over the provinces.

Because the coefficients are not presented as marginal effects, only their signs are interpreted here. More, each variable's coefficient is interpreted under ceteris paribus meaning that while interpreting each variable, all other variables should be equal or hold constant (65–67).

The coefficient of household per capita educational expenditure is significant and negative. Spending more on the education and training of the children is related to a lower probability of having more children. The variable of the total household expenditure has a significantly negative coefficient. Noticeably, the estimated parameters for either the low-income households or the high-income ones compared to the reference group (i.e. the middle-income households) are significantly positive. Then, ceteris paribus, both the low-income and the high-income households show higher probability of having more children than the middle-income households. The estimated parameter for the dummy variable of being an employed wife is found to be insignificant.

At the second level, the average value added in manufacturing establishments has a positive coefficient and the average rate of house rent has a significant negative coefficient. Thus, to live in the provinces with higher value added in manufacturing establishments is associated with a higher probability of having more children. By contrast, to live in those provinces with higher average rate of house rents is related to have fewer children. The coefficients of the average amount of CPI and the average employment rate are not significant.

Among variables addressing dimensions of gender gap, polygamy rate and unmet needs for contraception and the average ratio of highly educated male to female employees in manufacturing establishments have significantly positive signs. The other variables in this group are insignificant. This finding indicates, ceteris paribus, the number of children is significantly higher among the households living in provinces with higher rate of polygamy, higher rate of unmet needs for contraception or lower ratio of highly educated female to male employees working in manufacturing establishments.

All of the demographic variables except for the average percentage of urban population in each province are significant and in the expected directions. The coefficients of both dummy variables relating to literacy of wife and husband are negative, indicating the inverse relation between parents’ literacy and their number of children. Incidentally,
the estimated parameter for “having only boys” compared to the reference group of “having only girls” is negative and significant but the coefficient for “having mixed-gender children” is insignificant, which reveal that the number of children is higher in households with no boys. The provincial average household size has a significant positive coefficient and the binary variable for living in the provinces with sizable minorities of Sunnite people has significant negative coefficient. As a result, living in areas where larger household size is more common and living in provinces where the Shiite population is dominant increase the probability of having more children.

Discussion
In this paper, the statistical analysis is based on a multilevel approach, as applied in related works \(64, 72, 73\). The standard deviation of the provincial effects obtained from the random part of the multilevel regression function is statistically
significant, providing evidence that the differences in the number of children among Iranian households could in part be explained by provincial effects.

The empirical results obtained from the fixed part of the regression function appear to indicate that economic determinants at both household and provincial levels are the key factors associated to fertility of Iranian households. First, the higher the educational expenditure a household spends for each member, the smaller is the number of its children. Second, the probability of having a larger number of children drops significantly as the real total household expenditure, a proxy for household income, rises. These results are supported by previous studies (18,22,44,74,75). This suggests that, in total, the impact of substitution income on fertility is greater than its offsetting effect. These two findings can confirm the Becker's theory of "quality and quantity of children". In general, the preferences of Iranian households have shifted towards fewer but higher educated children. Third, the probability of having more children is significantly higher among both the low-income and the high-income households than those of middle-income households. This result is in line with some earlier research (38). We can conclude that while the high-income households can afford more children with good quality, households in the middle-income group decide to substitute the quantity with the quality of their children. Thus, the high-income households do not lower the number of their children as many as those of the middle-income ones. However, it should be noted that as the middle-income group constitute a sizeable portion of our sample, the negative effect of the real expenditure on the number of children can be related to the fertility behavior of this specific group. Still, some studies have found the reverse (16,38).

We found no significant association between household's wife's employment status and the number of children (45). However, there is broad evidence on the negative association between women's or wives' employment and fertility at the micro level (45). This finding can be explained by the fact that only 13% of wives in our sample are employed. Then, the opportunity cost of childbearing and childrearing for wives in our sample is not as much important as those in samples with higher employment rates. This finding can be explained by the fact that three groups of determinants influence fertility behavior of Iranian households. The first group consists of economic factors either at the micro or at the macro levels. Especially the findings reveal that: 1) preferences of parents have shifted towards fewer but more qualified children, which confirms the Becker's theory of "quality and quantity of children", and 2) economic conditions at the macro level such as house rent prices and value added in manufacturing establishments is inversely associated with the number of children, suggesting the direct relation between the macro-level economic difficulties and the smaller size of households in our sample. The results are parallel to the finding of related research showing that economic crisis is associated with lower fertility and childbearing postponement (36–38,40,42,50,76,77). However, there are other studies supporting the reverse (76,78).

As discussed earlier, we utilize gender gap dimensions measured at the provincial level as indicators of intra-household bargaining power. Three of the variables referring to gender gap indices are significant with the anticipated effects. Higher rates of polygamy and unmet needs for contraception and a lower rate of scholar female to male employees working in the manufacturing establishments as indicators for higher level of gender gap cause wives to lose their bargaining power over household decision-making especially the quantity of children. The lower the household's wife's gains bargaining power, the more is the number of her children. It confirms a negative relation between wives' bargaining power and the number of their children which is well established in the literature (29,58,59). We can imply that the preference of Iranian women for fewer children but of better quality is stronger than their husbands. However, only wives with higher bargaining power can express this preference and reduce their number of children.

As expected, almost all of the demographic variables are statistically significant meaning that, as evidenced by the previous research, demographic determinants including gender composition of children (9,38,79–82), education (9,10,32,73,83), and social norms about household size (70,71,84) exert prominent influences over fertility behavior. According to our results, urbanization has no significant influence on the number of children (72,85), meaning that variation in household sizes between urban and rural areas has been diminished in Iran. Still, it is in contrast with some other studies (32,38,83,86,87). However, the probability of having more children is higher in provinces with sizable religious minorities (10,88–91). It may be rooted in religious belief of minorities or more importantly in severe deprivations in those provinces.

This study faced some limitations. First, some of the provincial level covariates were derived from individual-level data especially those coming from 2010 IRMIDHS. Second, we did not access some important information at the first level. As a result, cautions should be exercised in interpreting results and making conclusions. Third, gender gap as indicator for intra-household bargaining power was measured based on several separate and simple variables which could make concerns about developing these two complex concepts. The study also had several strengths. One of the strongest merits of this study was that we combined several appropriate data sources. Additionally, we applied multilevel models to analyze determinants of fertility in household and provincial level which was the other merit of this study.

Conclusion

This investigation of the determinants influencing the number of children among a sample of 13952 Iranian monogamous married couples is relied on a collective model of household decision-makings and uses a multilevel extra Poisson regression function. The empirical results of the analysis derive us to conclude that three groups of determinants influence fertility behavior of Iranian households. The first group consists of economic factors either at the micro or at the macro levels. Especially the findings reveal that: 1) preferences of parents have shifted towards fewer but more qualified children, which confirms the Becker's theory of "quality and quantity of children", and 2) economic conditions at the macro level such as house rent prices and value added in manufacturing establishments are related to the number of children. Second, distribution of intra-household bargaining power has a strong influence
on fertility in Iran. Spouses exercise their power, measured through extra-household indices of gender gap to achieve their desired number of children. As gender gap indices at provincial level increase, wives' power in household decision-making falls, resulting in larger number of children which implies that Iranian women have stronger preference for fewer children than their husbands. Finally, although there is no difference between the number of children among urban and rural households, the findings yield a support for the effective role of the other demographic determinants such as literacy, social norms of household size, and religion in fertility behavior of Iranian households.
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Key Messages

- The result of this paper can help policy-makers to find the roots of the dramatic change in fertility rate in Iran during the last two recent decades.
- The findings give policy-makers an opportunity to adopt the most influential policies if they wanted to affect Iranian fertility.
- Policy-makers should adopt suitable policies among Iranian provinces due to the economic, social and demographic differences of these provinces.
- This paper tries to study fertility behavior of Iranian household focusing on differences related to economic, cultural and demographic background. Iranian parents play a key role in the existing fertility trends. More importantly, wives have profound impact on fertility behavior of Iranian families.