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Abstract

Background: Although the incidence and mortality of gastric cancer (GC) decreased in the last years, some countries remain highly affected. Especially in high-income economies the cases of cardia types are steadily increasing. Currently, GC is ranked third as cause for cancer death worldwide, whereby two-thirds of deaths still occur in low-income countries. But the global numbers are changing, and new regional challenges must be addressed.

Methods: Therefore, this disease has been chosen for in-depth bibliometric analyses that combines the evaluation of publication meta-data with density equalizing visualization techniques. This study focuses on the chronological and geographical characteristics of GC research worldwide. Epidemiological and socio-economic parameters were analyzed and the influence of political framework conditions was examined. In addition, international collaborations and research priorities were evaluated.

Results: In the last years, the publication numbers are rising more extensively in comparison to other cancer types. Albeit the usual leading positions, the United States is not the most publishing country on GC. It occupies the third position. Instead, China and Japan are the most publishing countries and together with South Korea also the key players as well as the most affected countries. These countries' governments are also the main donors for GC-research. The number of articles and the expenditures for research and development (R&D) as well as the incidence rate are correlated. Despite the scarce contribution of low-economic countries to the publication output, international collaborations lead to a modest output in those regions.

Conclusion: This study pools background information for scientists, practitioners, funders and decision-makers by providing information on the development and priorities of GC research. Adaptive international approaches and partnerships are crucial to meet future changing epidemiological features worldwide.
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Background

Gastric cancer (GC) is a heterogeneous disease, determined by specific genetic modifications in conjunction with the occurrence of various environmental risk factors. Here, nutrition, life style, occupational exposures and technological development status has to be named among others.

After breast, prostate, lung, colorectal, and cervix cancer, GC is assessed to be the neoplasm with the sixth highest incidence rate in 2018. Affecting 723,000 deaths in 2012, GC was ranked third as cancer cause of deaths worldwide. The incidence rates vary strongly and differ up to 20-fold in various regions. Low-income countries are mostly affected. Additionally, approximately two-thirds of the deaths caused by GC occur in low-income countries. The highest mortality rates are presumed for Eastern Asia, where the most of the cases (405,000 from 952,000) occur in China alone. But also, Eastern Europe and Central and South America are high incidence regions, while the risk of disease is relatively low in North America, Southern Asia, Australia, and in parts of Africa. Worldwide, men are still diagnosed more than twice as often as women.1

The differing histology between cardia and non-cardia malignancies lead to extremely varying epidemiological backgrounds. Though the incidence rates of non-cardia GC decreased in the last decades particularly in high-income countries, associated with the declining infection rate of Helicobacter pylori, the rates of gastric corpus cancer were found to be increasing. This type is defined as corpus-, young age- and female-dominant, therefore called CYF-cancer. Like esophageal adenocarcinoma, that is currently gravely spreading in the industrial world, this newly observed type of GC appears to be increasing in an equal way. Therefore, a completely new epidemiological direction for GC can be assumed in the future. It is to be expected that the identification of this new cancer type will initiate new regional approaches leading to a changing of the global research landscape, which
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Only a few other databases offer citation numbers, albeit reports (JCR) that allows the analysis of citation numbers. Additionally, it provides the Journal Citation Indices in Science.

This study is methodologically incorporated in the bibliometric platform NewQIS (New Quality and Quantity Indices in Science). Within its scope various analyses on different biomedical issues were already carried out. The established methodology combines advanced bibliometric approaches with state-of-the-art visualization techniques. Its data source is the Core Collection of Web of Science (WoS) that is one of the most extensive and highly esteemed scientific databases that sets high requirements for its listed journals. Additionally, it provides the Journal Citation Reports (JCR) that allows the analysis of citation numbers. Only a few other data bases offer citation numbers, albeit with the disadvantages of covering smaller time periods or insufficient quality requirements.

**Search Procedure and Data Processing**

The following search term was applied in the title search of WoS: (*stomach* OR *gastric*) AND (*neoplasm* OR *cancer* OR *carcinoma*). The title search secured a representative data pool with only a minimum of faulty entries. By filtering the document types, only original articles were incorporated in the data base to relate the analysis to the research activities.

The meta-data of the results were downloaded and sorted according to the included tags that represent an information unit. They were stored in a MS Access database and sorted according to the evaluation parameters.

**Performed Analyses**

The retrieved data was analyzed according to their chronological and geographical features, eg, publication numbers, research areas. Additionally, socio-economic and epidemiological data was evaluated to assess the performance of the publishing countries in a meaningful way. Ergo, the scientific performances of the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) member countries were analyzed by determination of a possible association between the number of articles and the incidence rates of GC as well as the expenditures for Research and Development (R&D). Different citation parameters served for assessment of the relevance of the retrieved articles. So, big players could be identified and incentives and benchmarks for research determined and assessed.

Another point was the identification of the funding institutions, respectively programs that mostly supported global research on GC. For this purpose, the funders were specified by the indications of the authors. All available data was retrieved software supported. Due to the wide variety of
Funder notations, data had to be unified manually. This was done by grouping them to the countries of origin and the type of funding. Subsequently, unification of funders could be performed. WoS provides data on funding from 2008 onwards, so that the respective results are limited to the last decade.

For comparison purposes, the development of publication numbers of esophageal, cervix, and endometrial cancer were chosen. On the one hand, a related cancer type and on the other hand, two cancer types out of another medical specialty were selected to generate a similar as well as a different comparison background. For this purpose, the times frame from 1900 to 2016 was defined to allow comparison with the results on the publication output on esophageal cancer, which was also analyzed within this time frame. The numbers on esophageal cancer have already been published.

Visualization of Findings
Density equalizing map projections by Gastner and Newman were applied to visualize the geographical findings. By means of this expressive method, the world map is distorted based on the value of an analysis parameter according to the osmotic density equilibrium. A huge value amplifies the size of the publishing country and low values downsize it. Consequently, a new picture of the world map emerges.

The VOSviewer technology was applied for visualizing the findings of the cluster analyses of keywords.

Results
From 1900 until 2017, a total amount of 34,194 articles (n) could be identified and included in the data base.

Chronological Analyses
Descriptions of GC can be found in papyri from Egyptian antiquity, already in 3000 BC, indicating that stomach cancer has already been known since then. Not surprisingly, the first articles of this study on GC were published in the first evaluation year of 1900, too. But only after the Second World War the publication numbers reached middle double-digit values. The subsequent numbers developed exponentially until the maximum of n = 2698 articles was reached in 2016. This course is following a conventional pattern of scientific output.

The development of the numbers of citations (c) showed also a significant increase over time that was more outstanding at the beginning of the 1980s. Salient peaks can be noticed in 1965 with c = 4590, 1991 with c = 17,163 and 2001 with c = 28,627. The articles published in 2008 received the highest amount of citations (c = 30,260). Articles that were published later have had little time to receive the full attention of the scientific community (Cited Half Life), so the citation numbers dropped rapidly to c = 7368. Looking at the average rate of citations per article (cr), the year 1965 presented itself outstanding, too (cr = 127.5) (Figure 1A).

The continuous course of the absolute publication numbers does not reflect the trend of the relative share of GC articles out of the totality of biomedical publications (Figure 1B).

With surpassing proportions at the beginning of the 20th century, it decreased in the 1960s. After that the numbers increased steadily. Compared to research on other cancer types, the publication numbers on GC increased exceptionally since the 1970s revealing a heightened interest.

Compared with the publication years of the most prolific articles, an association with the history of publication output can be stated. The years 1965, 1991, and 2001 are remarkable again (Table 1). The most cited article was published in 1965 and received 3836 citations. The Finnish article from Lauren is focused on the histological classification of GC for clinicians. In particular, the association with H. pylori infection was represented among the most cited articles. Articles on the influence of interleukin polymorphism as well as epidemiological studies were also often cited. The USA first-authored 7 of the 10 most cited articles. In addition, Finland, Japan, and South Korea published respectively one article as first-author-country.

Geographical Analyses
The provision of the author’s countries of origin in WoS from 1972 onwards allows the assignment of n = 23,604 articles reflecting 69% of the overall data base.

Previous analyses on the publication output on gastroenterological or oncological issues have shown the
dominance of the USA within the global research landscape. This deviated considerably from the result of the publication output on GC, where other countries were the big players (Figure 2A). The most publishing country was China with n = 8931 articles, followed by Japan on rank 2 with n = 8454 articles. On the third position, the USA followed with less than half of number of articles (n = 4051). South Korea reached rank 4 (n = 3424), followed by Germany (n = 1750). Another usually high-performance country, the UK, only reached rank 9 (n = 1427) behind the USA (n = 8931 articles). The analysis of the development over time showed the increase of the Chinese publication share among the 10 most publishing countries starting with 0.26% and gradually growing to 50.54% until the last time interval (Figure 2B). South Korea started to publish on GC in 1985 (0.55% in the third interval 1983-1987) and raised its output to 12.55% between 2013 and 2017. In contrast, Japan’s contribution to articles reached 9792 citations until now. Insofar, Belgium received the highest rate of this study with cr = 62.77. New Zealand followed on second position with cr = 55.95. The following order consists of Portugal (cr = 48.36), the Netherlands (cr = 47.36), the UK (cr = 43.67), and Switzerland (cr = 43.40). Japan was only ranked 30th (cr = 27.08) and China ranked 46th (cr = 46.856), and the UK followed close behind (c = 45 631). The evaluation of the average citation rate (cr = number of citations/article) of the countries with more than 30 articles (threshold) emphasizes the contribution of Belgian scientists. Their 156 articles reached 9792 citations until now. Insofar, Belgium received the highest rate of this study with cr = 62.77. The following order consists of Portugal (cr = 48.36), the Netherlands (cr = 47.36), the UK (cr = 43.67), and Switzerland (cr = 43.40). Japan was only ranked 30th (cr = 27.08) and China ranked 46th (cr = 46.856), and the UK followed close behind (c = 45 631). The evaluation of the average citation rate (cr = number of citations/article) of the countries with more than 30 articles (threshold) emphasizes the contribution of Belgian scientists. Their 156 articles reached 9792 citations until now. Insofar, Belgium received the highest rate of this study with cr = 62.77. New Zealand followed on second position with cr = 55.95. The following order consists of Portugal (cr = 48.36), the Netherlands (cr = 47.36), the UK (cr = 43.67), and Switzerland (cr = 43.40). Japan was only ranked 30th (cr = 27.08) and Germany came short behind on rank 32 (cr = 26.78). Two South-American countries meeting the threshold came into view while assessing their citation rate: Colombia (cr = 35.23), and Chile (cr = 22.23) (Figure 3B).

The inclusion of socio-economic aspects leads to a divergent picture of the global results once again (Figure 4; Supplementary file 1, Table S1). The first parameter sets the number of articles in relation to the population in million inhabitants of each country (\( R_{POP} \)) (Figure 4A). Here, the leading countries were South Korea (\( R_{POP} = 67.24 \)) and Japan were collaboration articles. Here, the scientific linkage to China (n = 731), Japan (n = 360) and South Korea (n = 310) becomes visible.

In terms of citation numbers, a slightly different picture became visible (Figure 3A). Here, Japan was the leading country with c = 228 969 received citations, followed by the USA (c = 154 648) and China (c = 120 978). South Korea reached rank 4 (c = 72 160), Germany rank 5 (c = 46 856), and the UK followed close behind (c = 45 631). The evaluation of the average citation rate (cr = number of citations/article) of the countries with more than 30 articles (threshold) emphasizes the contribution of Belgian scientists. Their 156 articles reached 9792 citations until now. Insofar, Belgium received the highest rate of this study with cr = 62.77. New Zealand followed on second position with cr = 55.95. The following order consists of Portugal (cr = 48.36), the Netherlands (cr = 47.36), the UK (cr = 43.67), and Switzerland (cr = 43.40). Japan was only ranked 30th (cr = 27.08) and Germany came short behind on rank 32 (cr = 26.78). Two South-American countries meeting the threshold came into view while assessing their citation rate: Colombia (cr = 35.23), and Chile (cr = 22.23) (Figure 3B).

The inclusion of socio-economic aspects leads to a divergent picture of the global results once again (Figure 4; Supplementary file 1, Table S1). The first parameter sets the number of articles in relation to the population in million inhabitants of each country (\( R_{POP} \)) (Figure 4A). Here, the leading countries were South Korea (\( R_{POP} = 67.24 \)) and Japan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Citations</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Journal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Lauren(^{15})</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td>3836</td>
<td>The two histological main types of gastric carcinoma: diffuse and so-called intestinal-type carcinoma: an attempt at a histological classification</td>
<td>Acta Pathologica et Microbiologica Scandinavica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Parsonnet et al(^{13})</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>3040</td>
<td>Helicobacter pylori infection and the risk of gastric carcinoma</td>
<td>New England Journal of Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Correa(^{17})</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>1879</td>
<td>Human gastric carcinogenesis: a multistep and multifactorial process--first American Cancer Society award lecture on cancer epidemiology and prevention</td>
<td>Cancer Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Macdonald et al(^{30})</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>1868</td>
<td>Chemoradiotherapy after surgery compared with surgery alone for adenocarcinoma of the stomach or gastroesophageal junction</td>
<td>New England Journal of Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA, UK, Poland</td>
<td>El-Omar et al(^{14})</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1541</td>
<td>Interleukin-1 polymorphisms associated with increased risk of gastric cancer</td>
<td>Nature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Devesa et al(^{14})</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>Changing patterns in the incidence of esophageal and gastric carcinoma in the United States</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea, Belgium, Switzerland, China, Japan, Germany, Italy, Russia, Australia</td>
<td>Bang et al(^{11})</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1314</td>
<td>Trastuzumab in combination with chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for treatment of HER2-positive advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction cancer (ToGA): a phase 3, open-label, randomised controlled trial</td>
<td>The Lancet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Blaser et al(^{15})</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>1174</td>
<td>Infection with helicobacter-pyloir strains possessing CAGA is associated with an increased risk of developing adenocarcinoma of the stomach</td>
<td>Cancer Research</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: GC, gastric cancer.
The subsequent order of the $R_{POP}$ values is as follows: Finland ($R_{POP} = 43.47$), Singapore ($R_{POP} = 39.95$), and Sweden ($R_{POP} = 35.52$), to name the top five.

The analysis of the ratio between the number of articles and the gross domestic product of each country ($R_{GDP}$) ranked South Korea first ($R_{GDP} = 1775.01$), followed by Japan $R_{GDP} = 1714.11$, Portugal ($R_{GDP} = 1040.05$), Finland ($R_{GDP} = 999.16$), and Estonia ($R_{GDP} = 981.91$) (Figure 2B).

Regarding both socio-economic parameters, the USA was dropped back with $R_{POP} = 12.50$ (rank 25) and $R_{GDP} = 218.27$ (rank 33).

**Correlation Analyses of Socio-Economic and Epidemiological Parameters**

Looking at the association between both socio-economic parameters, a significant correlation can be shown ($r^2 = 0.84$, $P < .0001$). Moreover, the concentration of high-income countries on higher ranks and that of the middle-income countries at lower ranks could be illustrated (Figure 5). The classification of the economic status was retrieved from the grouping of the World Bank.34

The analysis of the association between the incidence rate per 100,000 (ASR = age standardized rate) and the number of
articles on GC showed a correlation (Pearson $P < .0001$) for OECD countries. In this context, it should be stressed that the highly affected East-Asian countries South Korea, China, Japan, and South Korea were among the most publishing countries, but non-OECD countries published relatively little irrespective of their incidence rates (Figure 6A). However, based on incidence rates of the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) for 2018, a stronger correlation can be stated for all countries (Pearson $P < .0001$).

Moreover, for OECD countries, the correlation between the number of articles and the expenditures for R&D was highly significant. Despite this strong connection some countries occupy a more positive or more negative position. South Korea and Japan published comparatively more in relation to their overall R&D expenditures, whereas the USA contributed less compared with its overall R&D expenditures. Here, the relatively low epidemiological risk seems to play a role (Figure 6B) (Supplementary file 1, Table S2).

**Funding Analyses**

The funding landscape for GC was mainly characterized by governmental grants from the most affected countries China, Japan, and South Korea (Figure 7). This is additionally supported by the strong correlation of publication numbers with the expenditures for R&D (Figure 6B). The government of USA, with the NIH (National Institutes of Health), came also into view on a leading position. The NIH is an authority of the US Ministry of Health and the largest organization for research funding worldwide. It reached the highest citation rate of the most funding institutions. As part of the NIH, the NCI (National Cancer Institute) coordinates the National Cancer Program that carries out cancer research and is listed separately, because it mostly contributed to this success and gained a higher citation rate on its own. This can be clearly assessed as high-impact research (Table 2). For the Asian countries it can be stated that each of the top Japanese grants

---

**Figure 5.** Correlation of the Socio-Economic Parameters: $R_{POP}$ (number of articles/population in million) and $R_{GDP}$ (number of articles/gross domestic product in US$1000$ billion). Threshold $= 30$ articles per country. $R^2 = 0.84$ (coefficient of determination); Spearman $*** P < .0001$.

**Figure 6.** Incidence Rate and R&D Expenditures. (A) Number of articles and incidence rate ASR per 100,000. Brown dots: All countries: $r^2=0.15$, (coefficient of determination), Pearson $***P < .0001$. Black dots: OECD countries: linear regression $r^2=0.36$, Pearson $***P < .0001$. (B) Number of articles and expenditures for R&D in million US dollar for OECD countries: linear regression $r = 0.83$, Pearson $***P < .0001$. Abbreviations: R&D, research and development; ASR, age standardized rate; OECD, Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development.
reached more citations than its Chinese and South Korean counterparts.

Analysis of Research Areas and Keywords

The most assigned subject category according to the WoS categories was *Oncology*, and therefore, it represents the most focused research area of this study (n = 14,436). The assignments of the following areas were very close with n = 6,423 articles (*Surgery*) and n = 6,329 (*Gastroenterology & Hepatology*). Subsequently, *General and Internal Medicine* followed with n = 2,285 articles and *Pathology* with n = 2,174.

The evaluation of the author’s keywords occurring at least 100 times (threshold) showed four different clusters. One cluster represents issues referring to genetics, and tumor growth aspects. Another one comprises articles on pharmaceutical therapy forms. Surgical approaches are focused in a separate cluster. Epidemiological aspects and the association to *H. pylori* infection are dealt with in the last cluster of keywords (Figure 8A).

Furthermore, the keywords were analyzed regarding the time of their occurrence (Figure 8B). In this respect, the first cluster of genetics and cancer development seems to be the most current. Also striking are meta-analyses, which are also of recent date.

Another focus was laid on the evaluation of the number of citations each keyword received. Resulting, the keyword “epidemiology” can be singled out as the most cited (Figure 8C).

Discussion

Methodological Limitations and Strengths

The most cited paper from Finland by Lauren et al could not be assigned to a country of origin by the software used. Due to the evaluation frame from 1973 onwards, it could not be included in the geographic analysis of the citation rates. Prior to this date, the articles are seldom assigned to a country of origin, so that the application of the algorithm was not feasible. With a manually calculated value, Finland would be pushed to position 8 (cr = 56.66) before New Zealand. In retrospect, this individual value should not be manually integrated in the overall analysis, because the inclusion of one single value would endanger the objectivity and reproducibility of
results. However, this example shows the limitations of the applied method. The accuracy of the results always depends on the completeness and the correctness of the entries. This problem also applies to the evaluation of citation-based parameters. Incorrect information or self-quotations distort the results. The analysis of funding sources covers only the clearly specified institutions or programs. Many grants are listed only by numbers or abbreviations, which could not be exactly determined. Additionally, unclear designation and information did not allow the evaluation of every funded article. Nevertheless, because of the big amount of data and the careful and unified application of the methodology, these effects can be dismissed and the database as well as the findings can be regarded as representative.

Development of the Publication Output

The number of annual articles follows the usual pattern of scientific output and an exponential development can be shown. Also, the increase of the citation numbers ran steadily with the exception of some years with high prolific articles. In 1965, the most cited article from Pekka Laurén was published. It histologically classified GC for the first time into intestinal, diffuse and indeterminate types. This classification was outdated by the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of 2010 that distinguished GC according to the pattern of cells into tubular, mucinous, papillary or poorly cohesive types and uncommon variants. In 2010, the highest number of citations has been received so far. Due to the effect of the so-called cited half-life, publications that are published later are too new to achieve the maximum citation frequency. The cited half-life in biomedical research areas is proven to be about 8 years. The interpretation of the citation rate emphasizes the findings show that the number of articles is relatively closely linked to the countries’ expenditures on R&D, it can be assumed that the publication output of China on GC will rise even more in the future, because China is assumed to reach a top position regarding the R&D expenditures very soon. Actually, it could be ranked even higher, because the salaries of basic research scientists are not included. However, in terms of citation numbers China was falling behind the United States and Japan. The quality of Chinese research has often been called into question, but it is assumed to improve soon. Actually, it could be ranked even higher, because the salaries of basic research scientists are not included. However, in terms of citation numbers China was falling behind the United States and Japan. The quality of Chinese research has often been called into question, but it is assumed to improve currently. A report from 2017 showed that, overall, Chinese scientific articles were the second most cited in absolute numbers, but the citation rate remains below the global average. Despite the high number of scientists, advanced graduates are as rare as the number of graduates returning.

Countries’ Publication Performance

In the United States, the expenditures for R&D, the scientific infrastructure and the conditions for researchers lead to an outstanding publication output that could be documented already in previous studies. Albeit this usually leading position, the publication numbers on GC do not show the United States as most publishing country. In this study, the United States reached only the third position. With more than 8000 articles on GC, China and Japan were the most publishing countries in this analysis. Since the findings show that the number of articles is relatively closely linked to the countries’ expenditures on R&D, it can be assumed that the publication output of China on GC will rise even more in the future, because China is assumed to reach a top position regarding the R&D expenditures very soon. Actually, it could be ranked even higher, because the salaries of basic research scientists are not included. However, in terms of citation numbers China was falling behind the United States and Japan. The quality of Chinese research has often been called into question, but it is assumed to improve currently. A report from 2017 showed that, overall, Chinese scientific articles were the second most cited in absolute numbers, but the citation rate remains below the global average. Despite the high number of scientists, advanced graduates are as rare as the number of graduates returning.

Table 2. The Most Funding Programs and Institutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Funder/Program</th>
<th>Grants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>National Science Foundation</td>
<td>1892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>NIH (all) USA</td>
<td>1103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>NCI USA</td>
<td>709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>National Research Foundation South Korea</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Ministry of Education, Culture, Science and Technology Japan</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>MHW South Korea</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare Japan</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>863 Program China</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Society for the Promotion of Science Japan</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities China</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: NIH, National Institutes of Health; NCI, National Cancer Institute; MHW, Ministry of Health and Welfare.
from abroad. The incentive not only for the quantity but also for the quality of research must be strengthened. Together with South Korea, Japan, and China are the most affected countries and they were among the most publishing countries of the present study.

China’s and South Korea’s contribution over time was steadily increasing, whereas the number of Japanese articles had its maximum between 1993 and 1997 and decreased afterwards. In the early 1990s of the last century the evidence became clear that eating habits and environmental factors affect GC to a large extent and cause the regional differing incidence rates. Japanese children got GC more often, due to the eating habits that includes the consumption of raw fish and meat. Only in Japan the survival rate is relatively good with up to 90%. This may be a result of early diagnosis by regular check-ups. However, changes in the gastric mucosa are often diagnosed as GC in Japan. In this case, most other countries do not diagnose cancer, because the criteria for cancer of invasive growth is not met. This can bias the statistics especially the survival rates in Japan. The evaluation of the funding sources showed that the governments of China, the United States, Japan, and South Korea supported most GC research, leading to high-impact publications. Here, the highly affected countries fulfilled their obligation and the United States lived up to their leading status. The comparison of the funded articles’ citation rates revealed likewise the huge resonance of the USA/NIH funds. The growing Chinese citation numbers can only partly be explained by the increasing funding. It is also due to a shift towards prolific research field, eg, cancer biology. Also, international collaborations are causing the rise in citation numbers. Studies found the best science as an outcome of international networks and that collaboration articles are cited more often. In our study, the most publishing countries China, Japan, the United States, and South Korea were also the most collaborating countries, with the United States at its core. It has already been shown that the number of collaborations between the United States and Asian countries is increasing sharply. China has built up a strong international network with more than 150 countries since its economic reform and open-door policy. Additionally, it has been found that the number of collaboration articles in China rose above average.

It is explainable that the socio-economic status and the expenses for R&D and political strategies have strong influences on the countries’ publication output. Additionally, the importance of epidemiological challenges for science is clear. Here, the association between the incidence rates of the countries and the publication endeavors is also given. Nevertheless, low-income countries with an overload of disease anamneses were only partially involved in GC research. Africa in particular was often underrepresented. African research has been proved to be more local than international, in addition to other disadvantages based on socio-economic indicators. The industrial countries keep privileges that result in a negative self-perception of African scholars. Hence, the international network of GC research is still mainly dominated by industrial countries. After all, the growing participation of emerging economies is changing the global balance affecting new ways of scientific working. Due to cross-border health hazards and priorities, international approaches will continue to gain weight. Especially for low economies, the advantage of collaborations lies in the “accumulation of knowledge,” which enables important economic growth through the exchange of skills and techniques. It has been found that the number of publications correlates with the number of international collaborations and with the impact of the publications.

Conclusion
The impact of the status-quo of scientific infrastructure standards in combination with political frameworks becomes apparent when looking at the global publication output and the countries’ funding behavior for GC research. The association of the publication endeavors with the epidemiological burden is also noticeable, albeit to a far lesser extent. Especially, the highly affected low-economy countries are under-represented. Therefore, the encouragement of scientists from low-income countries and the respectful exchange and engagement are on demand by developing advantageous partnerships. Thus, the exchange of knowledge and experience between countries of different cultural backgrounds and scientific infrastructures should be enforced for mutual benefit. Although both geographical and cultural proximity play a role in international networking, the strongest cooperation in GC has been between the United States and the Asian countries China, Japan, and South Korea.

The growing incidence of GC in the industrial world suggests that the future epidemiological data will be different than current rates. This trend will lead to regional peculiarities that differ from those of today and which must be taken into account by adapting scientific priorities. Only by the profound insight of the successes and failures of past, current and expected research efforts a targeted planning for new strategies can be realized.
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