Article title: Clinical Priority Setting and Decision-Making in Sweden: A Cross-sectional Survey Among Physicians

Journal name: International Journal of Health Policy and Management (IJHPM)

Authors' information: Catharina Drees¹*, Barbro Krevers^{2,3}, Niklas Ekerstad^{2,3,4}, Annette Rogge¹, Christoph Borzikowsky⁵, Stuart McLennan^{6,7}, Alena M. Buyx⁶

¹Division of Biomedical Ethics, Institute of Experimental Medicine, Christian-Albrechts-University of Kiel, Kiel, Germany.

²Department of Health, Medicine and Caring Sciences, Unit of Health Care Analysis, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden.

³National Centre for Priorities in Health, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden.

⁴NU Hospital Group, The Research and Development Unit, Trollhättan, Sweden.

⁵Institute of Medical Informatics and Statistics, University Hospital Schleswig-Holstein, Kiel, Germany.

⁶Institute of History and Ethics in Medicine, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany.

⁷Institute for Biomedical Ethics, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland.

(*corresponding author: <u>catharina.drees@skane.se</u>)

Supplementary file 1

Table S1: Summary of study results of "Clinical Priority Setting and Decision-Making in Sweden"

		Both	Group	Group
Questions	Responses	groups	1	2
I. CLINICAL PATIENT CARE				
1.1. How often do the following statem	ents match the hospital patier	nt care that you are fa	miliar wit	h?
1.1.1. All patients are equally treated.	Never (1)	0%	0%	0%
	Rarely (2)	1%	1%	1%
	Somewhat (3)	8%	4%	12%
	Often (4)	38%	36%	39%
	Very often (5)	53%	58%	48%
	Can't say	1%	1%	1%
1.1.2. All resources are distributed according to patients' need.	Never (1)	0%	0%	0%
	Rarely (2)	4%	3%	5%
	Somewhat (3)	17%	9%	25%
	Often (4)	47%	48%	45%
	Very often (5)	32%	40%	25%
	Can't say	0%	0%	1%
1.1.3. All decisions are made in consideration of costs in relation to patients' benefit.	Never (1)	0%	0%	1%
	Rarely (2)	16%	18%	13%
	Somewhat (3)	37%	33%	41%
	Often (4)	35%	38%	33%
	Very often (5)	12%	12%	11%
	Can't say	1%	0%	1%
1.1.4. All patient care is optimal.	Never (1)	0%	1%	0%

	Rarely (2)	7%	4%	10%
	Somewhat (3)	33%	30%	35%
	Often (4)	49%	56%	42%
	Very often (5)	10%	9%	11%
	Can't say	1%	1%	1%
1.2. How often did you face scarcity of	At least once per day	12%	9%	15%
resources in your clinical work during	At least once per week	26%	27%	25%
the last six months?	At least once per month	21%	25%	16%
	Less than once per month	25%	25%	26%
	Until now never	11%	7%	14%
	Can't say	5%	7%	4%
1.3. How often did you	At least once per day	2%	1%	2%
not prescribe/perform a diagnostic/	At least once per week	7%	8%	7%
therapeutic measure because of scarcity	At least once per month	11%	12%	9%
of resources during the last six months?	•	34%	30%	37%
	Less than once per month		45%	
	Until now never	43%		41%
1.4. How do you handle scarcity of	Can't say I discuss the situation with my	4%	4%	4%
resources in your clinical work? Please	colleagues.	70%	76%	64%
tick every option that matches.	I discuss the situation with my			
	superior.	52%	57%	47%
	I put the patient on a waiting list.	34%	36%	31%
	I talk to the patient about it.	33%	34%	31%
	I send the patient to another			
	hospital within the "landsting".	21%	26%	15%
	I send the patient earlier home.	26%	25%	27%
	I send the patient to another department.	15%	17%	12%
	I refer to Socialstyrelsen's	13%	1 / %	12%
	national guidelines.	21%	15%	28%
	I send the patient to another			
	"landsting".	14%	12%	16%
	I do not offer all the treatment/			
	diagnostics some patients	00/	604	0.0/
	require. I refer to the principles of the	8%	6%	9%
	ethical platform.	9%	4%	14%
	I recommend an additional	270	170	11/0
	private health insurance.	2%	1%	3%
1.5 How well do you feel	Not at all (1)	4%	3%	4%
personally prepared to handle scarcity	Little (2)	17%	12%	21%
of resources in your clinical work?	Somewhat (3)	39%	44%	35%
	Well (4)	29%	32%	25%
	Very well (5)	8%	6%	10%
	Can't say	4%	3%	4%
II CLINICAL DECISION MAKING 2.1 How often can you	Novor (1)	00/	00/	00/
make independent clinical decisions	Never (1)	0%	0%	0%
according to your own assessment	Rarely (2)	2%	2%	1%
	Somewhat (3)	11%	7%	15%

vithout being limited?	Often (4)	43%	45%	41%
	Very often (5)	43%	44%	42%
	Can't say	2%	2%	1%
2.2 Which of these factors limit your	Local lack of staff per patient	45%	53%	39%
own choices of diagnostic & therapeutic options in your clinical decision making? Please tick every described	Political directives (e.g.			
	"vårdgaranti", "standardiserade			
	vårdförlopp")	27%	26%	27%
factor that matches.	Local lack of			
	diagnostic/therapeutic resources	30%	25%	36%
	Administrative & bureaucratic tasks	26%	21%	30%
	Local clinical guidelines (PM)	19%	19%	19%
	Socialstyrelsen's national			
	guidelines & their follow up	19%	15%	22%
	Directives of own clinical	100/	110/	1 50/
	superior	13%	11%	15%
	Other national guidelines than Socialstyrelsen's (e.g. from			
	Swedish clinical			
	societies/centers)	12%	11%	13%
	Regional medication and device	1270	11/0	10,0
	recommendations	17%	11%	23%
	Lack of official approvals for			
	medical diagnostics/treatments	11%	10%	11%
	National quality registers within	0.07	-	0.04
	health care & their follow up Economic incentives to	8%	7%	9%
	undertreat	7%	4%	10%
	Economic incentives to overtreat	2%	1%	4%
	Leonomie meentives to overtreat	270	170	7/0
2.3. How much influence do the following	ng factors have on your own clinical	decision maki	ng?	
2.3.1. Your own medical assessment	None (1)	1%	1%	1%
	Little (2)	2%	2%	2%
	Somewhat (3)	3%	4%	2%
	Much (4)	25%	22%	27%
	Very much (5)	69%	71%	68%
	Can't say	1%	1%	1%
2.3.2. Guidelines in general	None (1)	0%	0%	0%
8	Little (2)	1%	1%	1%
	Somewhat (3)	11%	12%	10%
	Much (4)	48%	49%	46%
	Very much (5)	40%	37%	43%
	Can't say	0%	0%	1%
2.3.3. A colleague's medical assessment	None (1)	1%	1%	1%
	Little (2)	10%	9%	10%
	Somewhat (3)	33%	31%	35%
	Much (4)	42%	41%	42%
	Very much (5)	15%	18%	13%
	Can't say	0%	0%	1%
2.3.4. Costs for the hospital	None (1)	13%	14%	11%
2.5.4. Costs for the hospital				
2.3.4. Costs for the nospital	Little (2)	45%	49%	42%

	Much (4)	6%	5%	7%
	Very much (5)	1%	0%	2%
	Can't say	1%	0%	2%
<u></u>				
2.4. How much influence do the followin making?	ig sources of information have on	your own clinica	al decisioi	1
2.4.1. Local clinical guidelines (PM)	None (1)	1%	0%	1%
-	Little (2)	5%	4%	5%
	Somewhat (3)	21%	18%	24%
	Much (4)	43%	44%	42%
	Very much (5)	31%	34%	27%
	Can't say	1%	0%	1%
2.4.2. Socialstyrelsen's national	None (1)	1%	1%	6%
guidelines	Little (2)	5%	5%	5%
	Somewhat (3)	25%	25%	25%
	Much (4)	44%	46%	42%
	Very much (5)	24%	20%	27%
	Can't say	2%	3%	1%
2.4.3. Other national clinical guidelines/	None (1)	1%	3%	0%
recommendations than Socialstyrelsen's	Little (2)	8%	6%	10%
(e.g. from Swedish clinical	Somewhat (3)	22%	20%	24%
societies/centers)	Much (4)	47%	47%	47%
	Very much (5)	20%	22%	18%
	Can't say	2%	0%	2%
2.4.4. European clinical guidelines	None (1)	3%	7%	0%
	Little (2)	9%	17%	6%
	Somewhat (3)	23%	32%	13%
	Much (4)	38%	28%	48%
	Very much (5)	26%	15%	37%
	Can't say	1%	1%	1%
			1	
III CLINICAL PRIORITY SETTING				
3.1. How much do you know the ethical	Not at all (1)	10%	12%	9%
platform of priority setting? (The three	Little (2)	18%	15%	21%
ethical principles.)	Somewhat (3)	32%	33%	30%
	Well (4)	24%	25%	22%
	Very well (5)	13%	11%	14%
	Can't say	4%	4%	4%
3.2. How much do you	Not at all (1)	3%	4%	1%
know Socialstyrelsen's national guidelines within your own working	Little (2)	8%	14%	1%
	Somewhat (3)	20%	20%	21%
field?(see list of existing guidelines)	Well (4)	39%	27%	51%
	Very well (5)	25%	24%	25%
	Can't say	6%	11%	1%
3.3. In which aspects do you consider Socialstyrelsen's national guidelines to be helpful?	Improvement of equity of patients in health care	52%	54%	50%
	Improvement of quality of patient care	52%	50%	53%
	Priority setting of clinical	46%	38%	53%

	measures in times of scarcity of resources			
	Writing local guidelines	43%	47%	40%
	Improvement of distribution of resources according to patients' need	40%	41%	39%
	Improvement of consideration of costs in relation to patients' benefit	39%	35%	44%
	Health care politics	33%	31%	34%
	Every clinical decision for which there is information in them	29%	28%	29%
	Hospital management	24%	24%	24%
3.4. Would you like to get further knowledge and training in the ethical platform of priority setting?	No (0)	33%	31%	34%
	Yes (1)	57%	57%	57%
	Can't say	9%	12%	9%
3.5. Would you like to get further support for the application of Socialstyrelsen's national guidelines?	No (0)	40%	39%	41%
	Yes (1)	51%	51%	52%
	Can't say	9%	11%	7%