Article title: Understanding Marketing Responses to a Tax on Sugary Drinks: A Qualitative Interview Study in the United Kingdom, 2019

Journal name: International Journal of Health Policy and Management (IJHPM) **Authors' information:** Hannah Forde¹*, Tarra L. Penney^{2,1}, Martin White¹, Louis Levy^{3,4}, Felix Greaves^{5,6,4}, Jean Adams¹

¹MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge School of Clinical Medicine, Institute of Metabolic Science, Cambridge, UK.

²Global Food Systems and Policy Research, School of Global Health, Faculty of Health, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada.

Supplementary file 1. COREQ (COnsolidated criteria for REporting Qualitative research) Checklist

Торіс	Item no.	Guide question/description	Page			
Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity						
Personal characteristics						
Interviewer/facilitator	1	Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group?	3			
Credentials	2	What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD	Title page			
Occupation	3	What was their occupation at the time of study?	Title page			
Gender	4	Was the researcher male or female?	N/A			
Experience and training	5	What experience or training did the researcher have?	4			
Relationship with participal	nts					
Relationship established	6	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	3			
Participant knowledge of the interviewer	7	What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research	3			

³The Faculty of Health and Social Care, University of Chester, Chester, UK.

⁴Public Health England, London, UK.

⁵Department of Primary Care and Public Health, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK.

⁶National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, London, UK.

Interviewer characteristics	8	What characteristics were reported about the interviewer/facilitator? E.g. bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic	3
Domain 2: Study design			
Theoretical framework			
Methodological orientation and Theory	9	What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis	2
Participant selection			
Sampling	10	How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball	2
Method of approach	11	How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email	2
Sample size	12	How many participants were in the study?	4
Non-participation	13	How many participants refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	4
Setting			
Setting of data collection	14	Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace	3
Presence of non- participants	15	Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	3
Description of sample	16	What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic, data, date	4
Data collection			•
Interview guide	17	Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested?	3
Repeat interviews	18	Were repeat interviews carried out? If yes, how many?	N/A
Audio/visual recording	19	Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	3
Field notes	20	Were field notes made during and/or after the interview or focus group?	3
Duration	21	What was the duration of the interviews or focus groups?	4
Data saturation	22	Was data saturation discussed?	2
Transcripts returned	23	Were transcriptions returned to participants for comment and/or correction?	4

Domain 3: analysis and findings Data analysis					
Description of the coding tree	25	Did authors provide description of the coding tree?	5		
Derivation of themes	26	Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	3-4		
Software	27	What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	4		
Participant checking	28	Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	4		
Reporting					
Quotations presented	29	Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number	5		
Data and findings consistent	30	Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	5-8		
Clarity of major themes	31	Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	5-8		
Clarity of minor themes	32	Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	8		

Developed from: Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. International Journal for Quality in Health Care. 2007. Volume 19, Number 6: pp. 349-357