**Article title:** Nurses' and Physicians' Responses to a New Active Antimicrobial Stewardship Program: A Two-Phase Study of Responses and Their Underlying Perceptions and Values

**Journal name:** International Journal of Health Policy and Management (IJHPM)

Authors' information: Jacob Strahilevitz<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Shaul Oreg<sup>3</sup>, Ran Nir Paz<sup>1,2</sup>, Lilach Sagiv<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Hadassah-Hebrew University Medical Center, Jerusalem, Israel.

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Medicine, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel.

<sup>3</sup>School of Business Administration, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel.

(\*Corresponding author: jstrahilevitz@hadassah.org.il)

**Supplementary file 3.** Items for Assessing Attitudes Toward the New ASP in Phase 2

The new system leads to:...

- 1. Greater control
- 2. Less unnecessary medications
- 3. Better distribution process
- 4. Less antibiotic resistance
- 5. Greater efficiency
- 6. Financially beneficial
- 7. Waste of time
- 8. Harm to physicians' status
- 9. Technical difficulties using system
- 10. Insufficient physician cooperation
- 11. infectious diseases consultants should not have authority to prescribe antibiotics

Questions for assessing overall satisfaction with the new ASP:

- 12. I am very satisfied with the new system
- 13. I would recommend to other hospitals to use the new system
- 14. I prefer the former system (reverse-scored).