Article title: How the Stringency of the COVID-19 Restrictions Influences Motivation for Adherence and Well-Being: The Critical Role of Proportionality

Journal name: International Journal of Health Policy and Management (IJHPM)

Authors' information: Joachim Waterschoot¹*, Sofie Morbée¹, Omer Van den Bergh², Vincent Yzerbyt³, Eveline Raemdonck⁴, Marie Brisbois³, Mathias Schmitz³, Olivier Klein⁴, Olivier Luminet^{3,5}, Pascaline Van Oost³, Maarten Vansteenkiste¹

¹Department of Developmental, Personality and Social Psychology, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium. ²Health Psychology, Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences, University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium.

³Institute for Research in the Psychological Sciences, Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium.

⁴Faculty of Psychological Sciences and Education, Université libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium.
⁵Fund for Scientific Research (FRS-FNRS), Brussels, Belgium.

*Correspondence to: Joachim Waterschoot; Email: Joachim.Waterschoot@ugent.be

Citation: Waterschoot J, Morbée S, Van den Bergh O, et al. How the stringency of the COVID-19 restrictions influences motivation for adherence and well-being: the critical role of proportionality. Int J Health Policy Manag. 2023;12:8021. doi:<u>10.34172/ijhpm.2023.8021</u>

Supplementary file 1.



Figure S1. Daily total of hospitalized COVID-19 patients in Belgium





Figure S3. Visualization of Johnson-Neyman interval in prediction of adherence



Note. String.c = (standardized) stringency index; hosplog.c = (standardized and logged) hospitalization numbers; the blue line refers to the hospitalization-stringency association in prediction of the current outcomes 'adherence' across values of the moderator 'stringency index'. Herein, it is showed that this association is not significant in the moderator values -0.94 to -0.14 (red part of the line). The blue shadow refers to the 95%-confidence interval.