IJHPAN International Journal of Health Policy and Managemen



Correspondence

# **Consucrats and Pathocrats: The Prequel, Quel, and Sequel; A Response to the Recent Commentaries**



Evelyne de Leeuw<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>

\*Correspondence to: Evelyne de Leeuw, Email: e.deleeuw@unsw.edu.au Copyright: © 2022 The Author(s); Published by Kerman University of Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Citation:** de Leeuw E. Consucrats and pathocrats: the prequel, quel, and sequel; a response to the recent commentaries. *Int J Health Policy Manag.* 2022;11(7):1231–1232. doi:10.34172/ijhpm.2021.124

Received: 24 August 2021; Accepted: 4 September 2021; ePublished: 6 September 2021

To recapitulate: I wrote a piece critical of 'consumer' involvement and representation mechanisms in the medical-industrial complex. In that piece, I coined the term 'consucrat.' Consucrats, I suggested, are "*a volunteer channel of the voice of the receiving ends of healthcare procedures and policies; embedded in the system they have grown to become co-opted apparatchiks who may rhetorically claim to speak truth to power, but may no longer be the representative voice of 'the consumer.*"<sup>1</sup>

I proceeded by outlining how the key values of consumer action in health are challenged and challenging. These crystallise around designation, professionalization, and representation. I suggested that a firmer and more critical foundation for action of people being enabled to influence and improve not only health service delivery, but more broadly, the determinants of health, is important.

The editors of this journal then invited comments on what I thought might have been perceived as a slightly exotic or esoteric analysis. The importance of user representation in any system is considered an immutable article of faith. My ranting might have been seen as the sales pitch of a raincoat saleswoman in the desert. But fortunately O'Donovan,<sup>2</sup> DeCampet al<sup>3</sup> and Keeling<sup>4</sup> were gracious enough to think and argue along with me.

They all challenged, to some extent, my framing of the phenomenon, and my neologising the consucrat. They not only criticised the notion for it being too derogatory<sup>2</sup> (in line with the femocrat and abocrat), but also suggested an expansion of my casting. Keeling extends the argument and proposes the importance of an analysis of the 'profecrat' – professional service representatives.<sup>4</sup> She nicely applies the triad of designation-professionalisation-representation to complex service ecosystems. It did make me realise that the

consucrat only exists – and is legitimised – by the grace of other parts of the ecosystem, be they structures, people, or institutions.

And indeed, there is a very sound argument to be made – and a great deal to be learned – from such ecosystems. Both Keeling and O'Donovan provide strong pointers. Critically, with DeCamp et al,<sup>3</sup> the issue of power is prominently presented. Power, of course, is critical in understanding how systems develop and maintain themselves.<sup>5</sup> I have made that argument earlier in an assessment of the feasibility of thriving Health in All Policies.<sup>6</sup> It does not mean that these and similar lessons<sup>7</sup> are heeded, though. There is still much to be appreciated and transferred from these 'service ecosystems' into the healthcare delivery advocacy and enhancement.

For one, a small body of literature is emerging about the health promotion dimensions of unionisation.<sup>8,9</sup> Although there is a general lament that the golden age of trade and labour unions is over, and new modi operandi need to be forged for worker representation in the era of the gig economy,<sup>10</sup> if there is any service ecosystem that has a long standing track record in militant, activist and empowered representation, it is the union movement. Whether we call them consucrats or profecrats, I think much can be learned from the rise and fall of unionism in advocacy and bargaining.

Part of the effectiveness (and nature of true representation) indeed is agency of actors – and the mastery of the critical tools of agency: language and semiotics. I was pleased (and philosophically a bit dumb-founded, I admit) to see O'Donovan's<sup>2</sup> reference to the work of Donna Haraway, and in particular to her casting of the Chthulucene<sup>11</sup> as a vast, interconnected and intersectional environment. O'Donovan capably applies this tentacled notion to a world of pandemics, healthcare system exuberance and collapse, and planetary climate change crises. Coming from a perhaps more optimistic health promotion background I prefer the metaphor of the 'rhizome' pervading any and all<sup>12</sup> – it paints a slightly less catastrophic picture. But urgency is what we need – and a slow growing connected rhizome may not be the right metaphor.

And this is, I guess, what the debate boils down to. I have covered the prequel to this episode and need to set up you, the audience, for the sequel. Where are the consucrats, profecrats, and O'Donovan's cosmedics<sup>2</sup> heading?

I spent many years thinking about my consucrat argument (in fact, since I edited a book<sup>13</sup> with Hans Löfgren and Michael

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Centre for Health Equity Training, Research and Evaluation (CHETRE), University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia. <sup>2</sup>South Western Sydney Local Health District Population Health, Liverpool, NSW, Australia. <sup>3</sup>Ingham Institute for Applied Medical Research, Liverpool, NSW, Australia.

Leahy on the role of the consumer health movement in health policy development – to which O'Donovan contributed a chapter). The crux of my concern was that patients, healthcare users, and anyone exposed to the (social, commercial, political) determinants of health have become accomplices in the maintenance of the medical-industrial complex and the perverse effects of its components.<sup>14</sup> That is, that people and/in their environments somnambulate into ever greater exploitation by a, yes let's call it, Chthulucene. An epoch that uncritically disembodies people as simple elements in a very large perverse planetary mechanism that ultimately is not aiming for health.

For instance, for decades now I have been stunned by the term 'patient-centred care.'<sup>15</sup> It implies that such a novel gaze complements or critiques 'disease-centered care' or worse 'physician-centered care.' What? Really? Such 'cares' exist? Yes – simply by not naming them they do exist. Linguistic semantics suggest that issues and phenomena only come into being by crafting their sounds or names. The fields of politics, health and medicine seem particularly rich bodies of linguistic ore.

With the three commentaries and the consucrat piece we have started to develop a language to describe how to precisely 'enable individuals, groups and communities to take control over the determinants of health, and thereby improve their health.'<sup>16</sup> This goes beyond simplistic notions about empowerment, consumers, and patients. It involves Chthulucenes, profecrats, cosmedics, consucrats, and pathocrats. You haven't heard of the latter? Then – *give* it meaning!

# Ethical issues

Not applicable.

## **Competing interests**

Author declares that she has no competing interests.

### Author's contribution

EdL is the single author of the paper.

### References

- de Leeuw E. The rise of the consucrat. Int J Health Policy Manag. 2021; 10(4):176-180. doi:10.34172/ijhpm.2020.36
- O'Donovan Ó. The pandemic, patient advocacy, and the importance of thinking comment on "the rise of the consucrat." *Int J Health Policy Manag.* 2021;10(8):500-502. doi:10.34172/ijhpm.2020.114
- DeCamp M, Brewer SE, Dukhanin V. Patient, public, consumer, and community engagement: from consucrat to representative comment on "the rise of the consucrat." *Int J Health Policy Manag.* 2021;10(8):503-506. doi:10.34172/ijhpm.2020.148
- Keeling DI. Consucrats have agency: what next for the profecrat? comment on "the rise of the consucrat." *Int J Health Policy Manag.* 2021; 10(8):507-510. doi:10.34172/ijhpm.2021.41
- Ulrich W. Critical heuristics of social systems design. *Eur J Oper Res.* 1987;31(3):276-283. doi:10.1016/0377-2217(87)90036-1
- de Leeuw E. Policy, theory, and evaluation: stop mixing the fruit salad comment on "developing a framework for a program theory-based approach to evaluating policy processes and outcomes: Health in All Policies in South Australia." *Int J Health Policy Manag.* 2018;7(8):763-765. doi:10.15171/ijhpm.2018.35
- Harris P, Baum F, Friel S, Mackean T, Schram A, Townsend B. A glossary of theories for understanding power and policy for health equity. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2020;74(6):548-552. doi:10.1136/jech-2019-213692
- Muller J, Raphael D. Does unionionization and working under collective agreements promote health? *Health Promot Int.* 2021; In Press.
- Raphael D, Muller J, Mohamed F, et al. A bibliometric analysis of health promotion international content regarding unions, unionization and collective agreements. *Health Promot Int.* 2021; In Press.
- Johnston H, Land-Kazlauskas C. Organizing on-demand: Representation, voice, and collective bargaining in the gig economy. Conditions of Work and Employment Series No. 94. Geneva: International Labour Organization; 2018.
- Haraway D. Anthropocene, capitalocene, plantationocene, chthulucene: making kin. *Environ Humanit*. 2015;6(1):159-165. doi:10.1215/22011919-3615934
- Dupéré S, Ridde V, Carroll S, O'Neill M, Rootman I, Pederson A. Conclusion: the rhizome and the tree. In: O'Neill M, Pederson A, Dupéré S, Rootman I, eds. *Health Promotion in Canada: Critical Perspectives*. 2nd ed. Toronto: Canadian Scholars Press Inc; 2007:371-388.
- Löfgren H, Leahy M, de Leeuw E. Democratizing Health: Consumer Groups in the Policy Process. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing Limited; 2011.
- Illich I. Limits to medicine. In: *Medical Nemesis: The Expropriation of Health*. Random House; 1976.
- 15. Stewart M, Brown JB, Donner A, et al. The impact of patient-centered care on outcomes. *J Fam Pract*. 2000;49(9):796-804.
- Mahler H. Towards a new public health. *Health Promot Int.* 1986;1(1):1. doi:10.1093/heapro/1.1.1