

in Ghana and Kenya



Meta-Synthesis

CrossMark

Article History:

Received: 31 May 2020

Accepted: 12 December 2020

ePublished: 9 February 2021

Andrew Booth^{1*}, Amy Barnes¹, Amos Laar², Robert Akparibo¹, Fiona Graham³, Kristin Bash¹, Gershim Asiki⁴, Michelle Holdsworth⁵

Promote Healthy Food Consumption: A Realist Synthesis

Policy Action Within Urban African Food Systems to

Abstract

Background: Obesity and nutrition-related non-communicable diseases (NR-NCDs) are increasing throughout Africa, driven by urbanisation and changing food environments. Policy action has been limited - and influenced by high income countries. Socio-economic/political environments of African food systems must be considered in order to understand what policy might work to prevent NR-NCDs, for whom, and under what circumstances.

Methods: A realist synthesis of five policy areas to support healthier food consumption in urban Africa: regulating trade/foreign investment; regulating health/nutrition claims/labels; setting composition standards for processed foods; restricting unhealthy food marketing; and school food policy. We drew upon Ghana and Kenya to contextualise the evidence base. Programme theories were generated by stakeholders in Ghana/Kenya. A two-stage search interrogated MEDLINE, Web of Science and Scopus. Programme theories were tested and refined to produce a synthesised model. **Results:** The five policies operate through complex, inter-connected pathways moderated by global-, national- and local contexts. Consumers and the food environment interact to enable/disable food accessibility, affordability and availability. Consumer relationships with each other and retailers are important contextual influences, along with political/ economic interests, stakeholder alliances and globalized trade. Coherent laws/regulatory frameworks and government capacities are fundamental across all policies. The increasing importance of convenience is shaped by demographic and sociocultural drivers. Awareness of healthy diets mediates food consumption through comprehension, education, literacy and beliefs. Contextualised data (especially food composition data) and inter-sectoral collaboration are critical to policy implementation.

Conclusion: Evidence indicates that coherent action across the five policy areas could positively influence the healthiness of food environments and consumption in urban Africa. However, drivers of (un)healthy food environments and consumption reflect the complex interplay of socio-economic and political drivers acting at diverse geographical levels. Stakeholders at local, national, and global levels have important, yet differing, roles to play in ensuring healthy food environments and consumption in urban Africa.

Keywords: Africa, Food Environments, Food Policy, Food Consumption, Realist Review

Copyright: © 2021 The Author(s); Published by Kerman University of Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Booth A, Barnes A, Laar A, et al. Policy action within urban African food systems to promote healthy food consumption: a realist synthesis in Ghana and Kenya. *Int J Health Policy Manag.* 2021;10(12):828–844. doi:10.34172/ijhpm.2020.255

Background

Many African countries are experiencing a nutrition transition with shifting dietary patterns, related to rapid urbanisation and changing food systems.¹ Consequently, obesity and nutrition-related non-communicable diseases (NR-NCDs) are rapidly increasing; becoming an important public health challenge.² Ghana and Kenya exemplify trends in rapid urbanisation and shifting dietary patterns.³⁻⁵ Rising overweight and obesity sit alongside persistent and significant burdens of under-nutrition and multiple micronutrient deficiencies in many African countries³; and thus this "multiple burden of malnutrition"^{3,6} presents a considerable challenge within African food systems, particularly within urban food environments.⁷ The food environment merits particular attention as the interface that mediates people's food

acquisition and consumption within the wider food system, that is influenced by policy and governance.⁸ Preventive policy action to address obesity and NR-NCDs within African urban food systems has been limited. High-level political commitments and strategies have been made on hunger and food security (eg, the 2014 Malabo Declaration,⁹ the Africa Region Nutrition Strategy 2015-2025,¹⁰ and Agenda 2063.¹¹ Yet integrated efforts by African governments to address NCDs are scant, poorly coordinated and/or incompletely implemented. Research in Ghana and Kenya has, for example, identified gaps in policy implementation to promote healthy food environments, particularly in relation to food advertising (except marketing breastmilk), food trade, food retail, food prices, food provision (except schools).^{12,13} At the same time, evidence to inform action within food systems tends to be



*Correspondence to: Andrew Booth Email: A.Booth@sheffield.ac.uk drawn from potentially less-relevant higher-income settings, partly due to data poverty in many African contexts. What works to enable healthy food consumption in one context need not indicate what could work in another. This lack of contextually-sensitive evidence on what could work in urban Africa undermines the transformative changes that will be required to create healthier food environments and healthier consumption.

Transforming food systems to address the rising challenge of NR-NCDs, particularly in urban Africa, needs to be driven by well-governed policy that considers whether decisions would support or undermine changes elsewhere in the food system. Policy-makers need evidence on what might work, and for whom, in specific urban contexts, if it is to support their decision-making and to inform the political trade-offs that are inevitably needed to secure transformational food system change.^{14,15} In practice, this means that evidence to inform strategies to support healthier food consumption, particularly in urban Africa, needs to account for the diverse socio-economic and political environments that drive unhealthy food consumption.

We carried out a realist synthesis against this backdrop, to contextualise what policy might work to prevent NR-NCDs in urban Africa, for whom, and under what circumstances. Given that food systems are diverse culturally, socio-economically and politically, we drew upon two African countries: -Ghana and Kenya - to contextualise the evidence base. We selected these two countries because they typify dietary and epidemiological transition seen in urban Africa,^{3,4,16,17} and their governments have recognised NR-NCDs as a pressing public health concern through the development of national policies to prevent NR-NCDs.18,19 We focused on evidence relating to five 'good preventative policy' actions from those identified internationally^{20,21} and locally^{12,13} to improve the healthiness of food systems: regulation of trade in goods/services and foreign direct investment (FDI); regulation of food health/ nutrition claims; setting food composition standards/targets for processed foods; restricting the promotion of unhealthy food; and clear/consistent healthy food promotion policy in schools.

Methods

Realist synthesis is an "approach to reviewing research evidence on complex social interventions, which provides an explanatory analysis of how and why they work (or do not work) in particular contexts or settings" ('programme theory'; PT).²² By extension, realist analysis offers insights on whether similar action might work in other contexts.²³ Policy actions are complex interventions that operate across multiple levels of interconnected food systems. A realist synthesis approach thus aligned with our research objectives in exploring 'context' at diverse levels; for example, community environments, cultures, and organisational structures, as well as wider socio-economic and political conditions.²⁴

A realist synthesis starts by generating programme theories for specific policy actions from a scoping search of relevant literature. Empirical evidence is then examined to 'test' how theory relates to practice.²² Our realist synthesis followed a 'realist template,'²⁵ involving 4 main steps (see Table 1) and is reported according to RAMESES guidance.²⁶ Our initial overarching question was: 'What policies could support healthier food consumption in urban Africa, using Ghana and Kenya as examples to contextualise what might work, for whom, and under what circumstances?' Potentially, the policy action landscape is broad, we therefore needed to identify focal areas of importance to Ghana and Kenya.

As a starting point we focused on the seven policy domains (eg, food labelling, promotion, composition, provision, retail, prices, trade and investment) and associated good practice indicators identified in the Healthy Food Environment Policy Index (Food-EPI): a tool developed by INFORMAS (International Network for Food and Obesity/Noncommunicable Diseases Research, Monitoring and Action Support) for monitoring government action to improve food environments.¹⁶ The research team systematically gathered evidence on government action in relation to each policy domain/good practice indicator in Ghana and Kenya, as part of wider work to apply the Food-EPI in both countries.^{12,13} As part of this process, the Ghanaian and Kenyan research teams also consulted informally with key stakeholders in each country about the implementation of different actions locally, including with government officials and representatives of non-government organisations who had relevant expertise (eg, in nutrition, trade, academia, advocacy). Each country team used insights from these stakeholder discussions, alongside evidence identified in the Food-EPI policy review, to independently short list seven policies (one from each Food-EPI policy domain) for possible investigation in the realist review. The country teams then compared their short lists, identifying five focal policies for the realist review where

Stage	Task
1. Define the scope of the review	Identify the question Clarify the purpose(s) of the review Find and articulate the programme theories
2. Search for and appraise the evidence	Search for the evidence Appraise the evidence
3. Extract and synthesise findings	Extract the results Synthesise findings
4. Draw conclusions and make recommendations	
Adapted from Pawson. ²⁵	

Booth et al

there was complete overlap:

- Regulate trade in goods/services and FDI (PT1)
- Regulate food health/nutrition claims (PT2)
- Set food composition standards/targets for processed foods (PT3)
- Restrict promotion/marketing of unhealthy food (PT4)
- Provide clear/consistent healthy food promotion policy in schools (PT5)

The importance of these policies to Ghana and Kenya was later confirmed when future government action was prioritised by Food-EPI expert panels (n = 34 across Ghana/Kenya), who discussed evidence collected in the respective countries and independently ranked all five areas as priorities for implementation.^{12,13}

Programme Theories Underlying Policies

Programme theories for the five policy areas are articulated in Box 1.

A format was agreed for the initial PT for each policy action (Box 1). This was developed from the above-mentioned stakeholder discussions, the policy review, evidence identified from scoping searches (see Table 2), and discussions across the research team. This involved 'reality testing' how policy intent translates into practice, and considered how contextual factors might influence food consumption. Initial agreed programme theories formed the basis for a common framework to be 'populated' with evidence addressing: the components of each policy action; contextual factors; potential mechanisms and outcomes. The review was registered with PROSPERO International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (2018) (No: CRD42018111034).

For inclusion, sources had to be published in the English language and focus (in whole/part) on one of the five prioritised policy actions within Ghana, Kenya, or comparable African low- and middle-income countries (LMICs); or, within review papers, in LMICs more generally or at a global level. In contrast to other review types, a realist synthesis includes diverse literature types (unless an item does not relate to any of the theory areas).²⁷ Because a paper is excluded in one theory area does not automatically require exclusion from other theory areas. Exclusion criteria are explicit; a clear rationale is documented for each excluded article. Consistent with this, our review asked: Is the evidence provided in this theory area 'good and relevant enough' to be included (considering issues of sample size, data collection, data analysis, and claims made).²⁷

Search Strategy, Sources and Screening

A two-stage search was conducted using MEDLINE, Web of Science and Scopus databases. Exploratory literature scoping was conducted on PubMed MEDLINE (April-May 2018) to identify initial PTs 1-5 from within the included sources. The scoping search was used to refine subsequent searches. Follow up iterative, comprehensive searches were carried out to identify studies/reports from Kenya and Ghana, to test the identified theories (Supplementary file 1). Follow-up searches were conducted in June and July 2018, with search updates for reviews completed using MEDLINE and Scopus (May 2020).

Box 1. PT for the Five Policy Areas

PT1: Regulate Trade in Goods/Services and FDI

IF Governments introduce policies that seek to regulate trade in goods, trade in services and/or FDI

THEN industry/businesses will make strategic choices that negate the import, production, processing, retail and/or marketing of unhealthy foods

LEADING TO (*i*) Reduced consumption of unhealthy foods and (*ii*) Increased consumption of healthy foods

PT2: Regulate Food Health/Nutrition Claims

IF a government restricts inappropriate claims for health made for foods

THEN consumers limit their consumption of misrepresented food LEADING TO (*i*) Reduced consumption of unhealthy food and (*ii*) Increased consumption of healthy foods

PT3: Set Food Composition Standards/Targets for Processed Foods

IF the Government sets targets for composition of processed foods in terms of healthy and unhealthy ingredients

THEN consumers reduce their purchase of unhealthy foods

LEADING TO (*i*) Reduced consumption of unhealthy foods and (*ii*) Increased consumption of healthy foods

PT4: Restrict Promotion/Marketing of Unhealthy Food

IF a Government restricts the ability of businesses to promote unhealthy foods

THEN consumers (the public, especially children) will not purchase unhealthy foods

LEADING TO (*i*) Reduced consumption of unhealthy food and (*ii*) Increased consumption of healthy foods

PT5: Clear/Consistent Healthy Food Promotion Policy in Schools

IF schools implement clear and consistent policies on healthy food promotion

THEN children will be exposed to healthy foods (eg, fruit/ vegetables), which will have a positive impact on their food choices LEADING TO (*i*) Reduced consumption of unhealthy food and (*ii*) Increased consumption of healthy foods

Abbreviations: FDI, foreign direct investment; PT, Programme Theoriy.

Supplementary searching using reference list (backward) checking and citation (forward) searches were undertaken to identify additional papers not picked up by database searches.

One reviewer initially screened titles to eliminate obviously irrelevant references. The remaining titles and abstracts were divided between five reviewers who, after assessing a test set, applied inclusion criteria to all potentially-relevant sources. Decisions on inclusion were recorded in an Excel spreadsheet. A second member of the team reviewed each final selection of sources across the five policy areas to ensure consistency.

Data Collection and Analysis

For each policy area, sub-teams of two-three members extracted text against the evaluative framework (ie, extracting the components of policy action; contextual factors; potential mechanisms and outcomes). The outcome of interest was change in food consumption, but intermediate outcomes were recorded if mentioned. Data extraction was checked by a second member of the review team. Given that the value of risk of bias assessments within realist syntheses is contested, particularly in examining how complex policies operate (compared to the effectiveness of biomedical interventions) we assessed the overall profile of study designs (ie, the distribution of reviews, quantitative or qualitative research studies and other papers) supporting each policy action. This approach to assessing the whole evidence base, not individual included studies, proved most useful. In summary, the team considered three Rs, namely, the 'relevance' of each source (ie, its contribution to theory under test); its 'rigour' (ie, credible and trustworthy methods); and its richness (ie, the substance, quantitative or qualitative, of its contribution).

Data analysis was iterative. Evidence for each policy area was mapped against the evaluative framework derived from our initial programme theories. Logic models for each policy action were generated from extracted data, illustrating how each might work within the socio-economic and political environments of Ghana and Kenya. Aligned to retroductive connected Context-Mechanism-Outcome approaches, elements are identified and coded within the data.²⁸ Sets of these configurations are presented within a Microsoft Excel table to show individual components and their relationships and to help to explain how, and under what circumstances outcomes relating to food consumption are achieved. The previously agreed programme theories were continually revised. We drew on our multi-country (UK, Ghana, Kenya)

and multi-disciplinary (public health, nutrition, epidemiology, information science, politics/geography) expertise to discuss and challenge the logic models, revised programme theories and supporting evidence at regular team meetings. This added analytical rigour. The logic model for each PT was considered in turn, before combining these into a summary conceptual map by consensus.

Results

Overall, 13317 references were retrieved. After removal of duplicates 6162 were assessed for eligibility. Of these, 5727 were excluded at first screening (ie, historical, not human studies, pre-dating included time period) (Figure 1). The remaining 435 were assessed for relevance. Included sources comprised: 46 evidence reviews, 40 primary research studies (Ghana 25 and Kenya 15) and 59 discussion/opinion papers (Table 2).

Results From the Empirical Evidence

This section highlights findings for the five focal policy actions; discussing how each could support policy action to improve healthier food consumption in urban Africa, drawing on evidence from Ghana and Kenya to contextualise the findings identified (Table 2).

Programme Theory 1: Regulate Trade in Goods/Services and Foreign Direct Investment

PT1 articulates a generalised pathway between trade and investment policy and food consumption (Table 2)



Figure 1. PRISMA Diagram. Abbreviations: PRISMA, Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses; PTs, Programme Theories.

Table 2. Evidence From Ghana, Kenya and beyond for PTs for All Five Policies

DT	Summary of Fuidence Dece	Supporting Evidence			
PT	Summary of Evidence Base	Reviews	Ghana	Kenya	Other Countries
PT1 trade	Review papers (systematic reviews, narrative commentaries) set out generalised potential pathways between government action and food consumption. Primary studies focusing on Ghana and Kenya indirectly relevant, providing insight on topics relating to trade and/or investment. Limited evidence of policy examples to regulate trade in goods of direct relevance. No examples of action to regulate trade in services/FDI. Limited consideration of differential impacts across population/socio-economic groups.	21 reviews ^{29,31-33,35-37,41-46,49,58, 60,67-70}	10 studies 12,39,50,52, 53,57,59, 61,71	7 studies 13,47,48,51, 56,63,72	11 papers 30,34,40,54,55,62,64,65,66,73-74
PT2 nutrition claims/labels	Extensive international coverage of issues relating to food labelling, including front-of-package and back-of-package marketing. ⁷⁵⁻⁸⁸ Ghana has seven research studies examining effects of food labelling on consumer attitudes and/or behaviour. ⁸⁹⁻⁹⁵ Kenya has no identified studies of food labelling, with only partially relevant studies on food composition and consumer perceptions. ⁹⁶⁻⁹⁸ Across both Ghana and Kenya, therefore, data specifically on effect of health claims is comparatively sparse. Experience from South Africa, further advanced along nutrition transition, demonstrates potential for coherent programme of investigation. ⁸⁴ Overall, studies are of limited rigour, involving surveys and small-scale qualitative research, but Ghanaian studies benefit from contextual relevance. The need for context sensitive research on the effect of health claims is common theme. Consumer knowledge, use and understanding of nutrition labelling has been investigated extensively in international literature. ⁸⁴	14 reviews ⁷⁵⁻⁸⁸	7 studies ⁸⁹⁻⁹⁵	3 studies 96-98	22 papers 99-120
PT3 food composition	Few studies focus on actions taken by governments in Africa to set targets for composition of processed foods. ^{122,123} Regulations aimed at restricting consumption of unhealthy foods, ¹²⁴ have resulted in healthier food choices. ¹²³ Data from Ghana and Kenya on government actions to regulate consumption of unhealthy foods is scant. The FDA of Ghana enforces food labelling on processed pre-packed foods. ⁹⁰ We did not find studies related to enforcement of regulations to restrict consumption of unhealthy foods and beverages in Kenya. Lack of studies on food regulations in most African countries may be partly explained by the lack, until recently, of good quality food composition data, particularly Ghana (2012) and Kenya (2018). ¹²⁵	7 reviews ^{122,124,126-130}	1 study ⁹⁰	0 studies	8 papers ^{123,125,131-136}
PT4 food marketing	Evidence supporting PT4 included 28 sources ^{12,13,110,137-169} (mainly global, focused on High Income countries) with two from Ghana ¹⁴⁴⁻¹⁴⁵ and one from Kenya. ¹⁴⁶ In Ghana and Kenya, political will is communicated in national policies, but with little implementation. Systematic reviews relating to the impact of food marketing on children abound; little data exists on the effect of promoting healthy food or healthy foods choices. Mid-range theories suggest pathways between food promotion and food preferences, food choices, food consumption. Few link food promotion and obesity. Very limited evidence from Ghana and Kenya provides background context. Increasing regulatory diversity with industry self-regulatory approaches as a major response, despite accumulating evidence that industry policies are designed to minimise changes to marketing practices with a minimal impact on reducing children's exposure to unhealthy food marketing.	7 reviews ^{110,137-143}	2 studies 144-145	1 study ¹⁴⁶	18 papers ¹⁴⁷⁻¹⁶⁴
PT5 school food	Literature on issues related to healthy school food environment in Ghana and Kenya is sparse. (Ghana has eight peer reviewed publications, ¹⁶⁵⁻¹⁷³ Kenya nine ¹⁷⁴⁻¹⁸²). Most evidence is limited to food provision in schools in context of food security. Most studies are surveys with small sample sizes, or are qualitative. Need for robust studies to assess the healthiness of school food environments, the effect policies have on these environments and the implications they have for childhood obesity and NCDs in general.	8 reviews ^{137,143,153,163,167,183-185}	8 studies 165-173	9 studies 175-182	7 papers ¹⁸⁶⁻¹⁹³

Abbreviations: FDI, foreign direct investment; PT, programme theory; FDA, Food and Drugs Authority; NCDs, non-communicable diseases.

(Supplementary file 2). Primary studies on Ghana/Kenya were indirectly relevant; providing insight on topics relating to trade and FDI. We identified limited policy examples of regulating trade in goods of relevance to urban Africa, no specific examples of intervention to regulate trade in services/FDI, and limited consideration of differential impacts across socioeconomic groups. Despite these limitations, we found that trade regulation is assumed to work by providing behavioural incentives/disincentives for stakeholders (eg, international food companies, suppliers, investors, buyers) operating within global, regional and domestic food systems: preventing or encouraging particular forms of trade/investment activity or strategic choices to be made.^{29,30} This, in turn, is assumed to precipitate changes in urban consumer food environments, altering availability, accessibility, desirability, purchasing and consumption of 'healthy' or 'unhealthy' foods (as highlighted in the summary model (Figure 2).³¹⁻³⁷

Import barriers (eg, product bans, tariffs, standards) exemplify regulatory actions that theoretically work by

disincentivising trade in goods: reducing cross-border flows of 'unhealthy' foods to urban centres by preventing/ limiting trade in these foods, raising trade costs and/or encouraging 'healthier' product reformulation. Included sources highlighted Ghana's 'innovative' standards for meat products to address rising imports of low-quality fatty meat.^{38,39} Regulatory controls on nutrition labelling (see PT2) exemplify trade policies that create 'technical barriers' to cross-border movement of packaged foods.⁴⁰ Governments can also regulate trade in services and FDI; for example, introducing diet- or health-oriented conditionalities on FDI by transnational food companies within an integrated package that covers marketing and provision of information (see also PT4).⁴¹

Removing barriers to trade in goods/services or FDI can theoretically improve healthy food consumption.^{31,33,42,43} Reducing import restrictions (eg, eliminating quotas, removing licensing, reducing tariffs) can incentivise trade in raw materials and finished products, bringing cheaper



Figure 2. Synthesised Conceptual Map Across Five PTs. Abbreviation: PTs, Programme Theories.

international food imports (eg, essential foodstuffs, processed food) into domestic markets, increasing food availability, price competition, affordability, and healthy food consumption.^{31,32,35,44} Similarly, removing restrictions on market access for foreign investors in domestic service retail/supermarkets, marketing) sectors (ie, and/or reducing restrictions on foreign ownership of companies, can potentially deliver urban dietary gains: enabling food processing, expansion of food retailing, widening food choices and lowering prices.35,41,45 Many LMIC governments, including in Kenya/Ghana, implemented liberalisation measures from the mid-1980s: removing price controls on domestic products like maize and sugar in Kenya, removing import licensing, and loosening investment rules.41,44,46-48

The effects of trade policy on healthy food consumption and on the wider food system are strongly mediated by global, regional, national and local contexts. 29,31-33,36,38,41,42,44-46,49 For trade/investment regulations to work, stakeholders must respond in anticipated ways to incentives/disincentives²⁹ and urban consumers must adapt purchasing/consumption behaviours in anticipated ways to food environment changes.44,48,50 In practice, many factors affect 'success' at these two points and it is difficult to anticipate what will happen in urban Africa due to limited knowledge of the complex socio-material dynamics of supply, demand and local competition, and in contexts occupied by many small and medium enterprises.43,45,50-53 Many LMICs collate limited data to inform/evaluate policy action (eg, product-specific retail sales, consumption patterns, sector-specific FDI, market concentration of transnational corporations, existing tariffs/ import duties).33,54 Effects of trade regulation on urban food consumption via, for example, import barrier removal not only depend on how domestic producers respond to new/ cheaper products competing in local markets, but also on associated shifts in food environments, food culture and behaviours.^{31,33,35,44} Domestic producers could be displaced and/or domestic staples production converted to export cash crops, leading to reduced availability of traditional crops and increasing people's exposure to 'unhealthy' imported food.^{32-35,44} Impacts on 'healthy' consumption depend on types of foods imported (eg, wheat; dairy; highly-processed nutrient-poor), competitive market dynamics and shifts in consumer responses over time.^{31,33,35,44} Included sources offered limited consideration of who might be affected by government action, though emphasised differential impacts across urban population groups, with the most vulnerable often disproportionately affected in negative ways.43,55-58

While trade liberalisation measures potentially decrease food importation costs, increasing food diversity and availability/affordability of 'healthy' foods (eg, staples like maize)^{45,47,56} one review reported mixed results for 'trade openness' and undernutrition: with evidence of reductions in underweight and increases in nutrient supply, intakes, and proxies for dietary quality.⁴³ Yet lower tariffs have increased imports of sugar-sweetened beverages⁵⁸ and may also coincide with increased availability (and likely consumption) of 'hazardous products' like sweeteners via a 'hazard substitution' pathway.⁵⁵ Kenya's 2015 removal of sugar protections surfaced fears of cheaper sugar imports competing domestically, making greater quantities of confectionary available.⁴⁸ Growth of vegetable oil imports in Kenya coincided with liberalising reforms, with vegetable fats now commonly consumed by Kenyan women.⁴⁸ FDI is the 'preferred method' for transnational companies to enter new markets for processed foods: allowing product marketing that creates demand while adapting to consumer preferences.^{41,43} Evidence highlighted FDI's role in exposing populations to unhealthy products (eg, sugary, highly-processed foods, soft drinks) in LMICs, and undermining food security in Ghana.^{41,43,59,60}

Stricter trade regulation can lead to reduced imports of 'unhealthy' food products. Higher tariffs on sugar-sweetened beverages can significantly decrease per capita imports.⁵⁸ In Ghana, standards for meat products reduced imports and availability of low-quality, high-fat meats; with standards more useful than product-specific bans because they apply to imported *and* domestic meats, thus meeting multilateral trade commitments, and automatically apply to new meat products without needing amendments to legislation.³⁹ Efforts by Ghanaian producers to get government to impose an additional duty on frozen poultry imports were less successful; tied interests of domestic/international producers/ importers and lack of strategic policy framing (eg, as a health/ safety issue) undermined such action.⁶¹

Effective implementation of trade regulation requires government infrastructural capacity, policy framing, coherent/organised alliances/interest groups and public support (potentially with more politically-powerful urban consumers).^{12,13,43,61} The complexity of trade-nutrition-health links, makes collaborative capacity between ministries important: promoting understanding and policy coherence, so economic objectives do not undermine 'preventative' action on trade and FDI.40-42,45,46,62 Achieving this can be challenging in LMICs where liberal economic policies may be stated priorities of government and partner international financial institutions.31,56,61,63 In Ghana, trade and health ministries collaborated successfully to control fatty meat imports^{39,40} but found enforcement challenging due to limited local authority capacities.^{39,40,53,64} A key challenge to regulation of FDI is that action may undermine country sources of external financing.⁴¹ Another challenge is regional trading dynamics, with no evidence identified on how membership of regional trading areas affected action in Ghana and Kenya. However, sources illustrated that treaties can limit 'policy space' to intervene, given that regulation of food labelling/ composition/marketing may infringe agreements (risking legal challenge) whilst also incentivising trade in 'unhealthy' products.^{33,42,55,62,65-69} For example, South Africa's regional 'hub' role promoted import, investment in and access to soft drinks and processed foods in other Southern African Development Community countries.46,56

Programme Theory 2: Regulate Food Health/Nutrition Claims

PT2 embodies an assumption that providing information on nutritional/health advantages of particular foods/nutrients to consumers suffices to advance healthy food consumption choices (Supplementary file 3). Conversely, inappropriately connecting a food, food component or nutrient to desired health may counter public health objectives.¹⁰³ Seven studies from Ghana examine how food labelling impacts upon consumer attitudes/behaviour,⁸⁹⁻⁹⁵ with three studies identified from Kenya (Table 2).96-98 Notwithstanding an extensive international literature,77-87,111 the need for more contextsensitive research recurs across included papers. Evidence suggests an asymmetrical relationship; the balance favouring inappropriate claims unless regulated by government. The regulatory environment shapes "the types of food that can and cannot be sold, and who is involved in producing, distributing and selling food"87 and seeks to "allow better-informed choice."121 However, food labels extend beyond consumer information to offer manufacturers a marketing technique to communicate attributes of products to potential consumers⁹⁵ thereby increasing the likelihood of purchase.82

Many countries adopt labelling regulations during the 'nutrition transition.^{'85} Food labels help regulatory bodies to protect public interests by ensuring that food produced and sold meets required standards.⁹⁵ Food labels also remind citizens of their government's duty of care when intervening in their daily lives.⁹⁵ Health claims in Ghana are regulated under the Food and Drugs Law, 1992. Kenya provides voluntary state-sponsored guidelines that define nutrients to be listed and on what basis.⁹⁸ Labelling is not mandatory unless a health or nutrition claim is made or unless the food is for special dietary uses. The food industry may respond to tighter control of health claims by launching new products targeting health-conscious consumers. Other consumers persist in an 'unhealthy/tasty' intuition, disincentivising firms from reformulating existing products.⁸⁶

For labelling to work, consumers must read, understand, and believe the information content of the label. Nutrition knowledge exerts a low/average impact on consumer food choices⁹² even among those who report reading food labels. Ghanaian consumers are influenced by product price (cost), time (convenience), adverts, label information, expiry data, nutritional information, ingredients, taste and appearance.^{89,93} African studies report that price is paramount when selecting food products, irrespective of quality and nutritional value.¹⁰⁶ In Kenya, geographical origin may be influential.⁹⁶ Too much information can confuse consumers and too little may mislead them.¹¹⁰ Advertising and price,⁹⁵ cultural expectations, the taste-nutrition trade-off,120 and availability of affordable and attractive alternatives contend with regulation of health claims to influence purchase and consumption behaviour.117 Limited comparison exists with other African countries; Kenya (25%) and Ghana (33.3%) demonstrate higher non-compliance in nutrition claims than South Africa (10%).105

Consumers must have time to read and understand health claims.⁸⁰ Although 75/100 consumers in Ghana reported reading labels prior to selecting food⁹² self-reporting is inaccurate.¹¹⁵ One Ghanaian study showed that consumers are not dissuaded by a label in a language they could not understand.⁸⁹ A contemporaneous study found low levels of label reading.⁹¹ Even Ghanaian respondents with tertiary education experience difficulties explaining recommended

dietary allowances per serving.⁹² Older individuals, white participants and those with higher education and income read nutrition information more frequently.^{107,109,119} Females were most likely to read⁹¹ and be influenced by food labels.⁹³

Studies link reading a label that advances a health claim to consumption of that product. A meta-analysis found that perceptions of health claims varied based on the food involved and how it was perceived before the health claim.79 Consumers may read information to assess nutritional value or health properties, to avoid allergens and to determine quality.¹⁰⁶ Consumers reading front-of-pack claims may conclude a product is healthier, making them more likely to purchase, particularly if predisposed towards that product.⁸⁰ Combining short front-of-pack health claims with full claims on the back of the package can lead consumers to better process and believe the claim.¹¹⁰ Commentators suggest that improving educational levels in urban centres can be exploited as the population becomes interested in food labelling.75 For this type of action to work, consumers need to be educated to improve nutritional knowledge and to utilize information on food labels. Food labels often require simplification¹⁰⁹; simple terminology, pictures/colours, a single health endorsement logo and bigger fonts.¹⁰⁷ Presentation of health claims may favourably impact upon product, nutrition attitudes, and purchase intentions.¹¹⁸ However, price¹⁰⁶ and ingrained purchasing habits exert greater influence than the label.

Programme Theory 3: Set Food Composition Standards/ Targets for Processed Foods

PT3 postulates that, "if governments set targets for composition of processed foods, in terms of healthy and unhealthy ingredients, consumers will reduce their purchase of unhealthy foods and this will ultimately lead to (i) reduced intake of unhealthy food and (ii) increased consumption of healthy foods" (Supplementary file 4). The theory statement was informed by existing evidence from high-income countries demonstrating that reformulating processed foods could significantly contribute to reducing the consumption of unhealthy foods and beverages to reduce the risks of dietrelated NCDs.135 This review found few studies conducted in Ghana/Kenya or in other African countries (Table 2) focusing on actions taken by governments towards the setting of targets for composition of processed foods, in terms of healthy and unhealthy ingredients. Limited studies from South Africa^{122,124,126-127} report that regulations have been legislated and implemented by the South African government, aimed at restricting the promotion and sale of unhealthy processed foods. In Kenya, we found no studies specifically reporting on actions by the government to regulate sales or consumption of processed unhealthy foods. One small-scale study⁹⁰ mentions that the Food and Drugs Authority (FDA) of Ghana enforces food labelling on all processed pre-packed foods.

The lack of targets set, or general non-existence of clear guidelines/standards in many African countries to facilitate the regulation of sales and consumption of processed unhealthy foods and beverages could be widely impacted by poor quality or non-existent food composition data.¹²⁸ Experts warn that low/poor quality food composition tables can

misdirect or lead to inappropriate policies for the regulation of unhealthy food purchase or their consumption.^{125,128} Conventionally, high-quality food composition tables are recommended as useful resources that can be used to facilitate the reformulation of processed foods because they provide a better standard for evaluating the effects of diets for individuals and populations.^{134,135}

In high-income and LMICs, some evidence supports the important role of high quality food composition data in national food standards guidelines development and implementation to regulate processed unhealthy foods and sugar-sweetened beverages, and the impact of this in reducing diet-related NCDs burden.^{134,135} For instance, in South Africa, food composition tables make it possible for government to enact legislation related to advertising, labelling, and consumption of certain food items (See PT2). This aims to ensure that consumers are provided with adequate useful nutritional, compositional and other information related to food products manufactured locally or imported and sold in South Africa.¹²² This has impacted positively on changes in consumers' behaviours towards healthier food choices and preventing food waste.^{122,126,129}

In African countries that lack high quality food composition databases to provide accurate estimates of nutrients for local food items, such restrictions barely exist. For instance, in Uganda, the lack of a national food composition database is suggested as a potential reason for the lack of national dietary guidelines.¹³¹ Lack of expertise and skills of African nutrition scientists, as well as capacities of governments to collect and undertake food/nutrient analysis, are identified as key factors influencing food composition data quality, and/or lack of food composition tables in setting targets.^{126,132} The West African Food Composition Table, including local ingredients from Ghana, provides an opportunity to regulate the sales of processed foods,¹³⁶ and in Kenya, national food composition tables have recently been published.¹³³ However, no such regulation has yet taken place in either country.

Programme Theory 4: Restrict Promotion/Marketing of Unhealthy food

PT4 hypothesizes that if governments introduce policy measures that restrict marketing of unhealthy foods, their production, processing, importation, marketing by industry will reduce–leading to reduced consumption (Supplementary file 5). Plentiful evidence (Table 2) shows that food marketing affects food preferences, purchase behaviour, and pester power/purchase requests (of children).^{103,137,138,142,149,153,156,160} Independent of other factors, exposure to unhealthy food marketing is also a modifiable risk factor for obesity.¹⁶³ As a result, the World Health Organization (WHO) through World Health Assembly (WHA) Resolution 63.14 called for governments to ensure that "... settings where children gather are free from all forms of marketing of unhealthy foods (eg, foods high in saturated fats, trans-fatty acids, sugars or salt)."¹⁵¹ Ghana and Kenya are both signatories.

Globally, countries are actively implementing WHA Resolution 63.14, although few are from Africa.¹⁶⁴ Only Morocco has fully achieved implementation of NCD progress monitoring indicator #7C "marketing to children restrictions."164 In Ghana and Kenya, government policies exist to restrict exposure and power of promotion of unhealthy foods to or for children across diverse settings. The Ghana FDA requires products to be registered, and advertisement scripts approved before they can be advertised.¹⁵⁰ National NCD Policy priorities to achieve healthy diets for Ghana include regulating advertising of unhealthy foods and nonalcoholic beverages, particularly to children.^{20,158} In Kenya, the Code of Advertising Practice and Direct Marketing (2003) restricts advertisements addressed to or targeted at children with potential to harm them mentally, morally, physically or emotionally.147 The Kenyan National School Health Policy (2017) prohibits marketing foods and beverages within and around schools.¹⁷⁶ Both Ghana and Kenya embed policies within the national NCD prevention and control strategies focusing on restriction of unhealthy food promotion to children in schools, however local policy-makers rated implementation as low.^{12,13}

Recent research confirms inadequate government action in enforcing the policies.^{12,13} Unhealthy foods are sold to Ghanaian children in school by private/independent vendors¹⁷⁰ and soft drinks are heavily advertised.38,152 Contextual evidence from beyond Ghana and Kenya demonstrates that incentives (positive or negative), and underlying factors such as food security, food cost, food/nutrition literacy, health literacy and the respective political economies are important.^{89,92,93,155,157,159} Effective implementation and enforcement of these interventions requires robust planning processes and resources.161 Additionally, all stakeholders (government and non-government) should respect the core values of the intervention. Also critical is the mode of regulation; governments favour approaches that encourage the food industry to self-regulate,¹⁶⁰ notwithstanding accumulating evidence that industry policies have minimal impact on reducing children's exposure to unhealthy food marketing.^{139,142,148,162} In Ghana and Kenya, political will is communicated in national policies, but with insufficient implementation. While systematic review evidence relating to the impact of food marketing on children is plentiful, it fails to address the effect of promoting healthy food on healthy food consumption.

Programme Theory 5: Clear/Consistent Healthy Food Promotion Policy in Schools

Literature is sparse on school food environments in Ghana/ Kenya (Table 2). Evidence focuses on school food provision to ensure food security in marginalised populations to increase enrolment in school and improve school grades. Most studies are surveys with small sample sizes or are qualitative in nature. PT5 postulates that if government regulates the school food environment, then healthy foods will be provided or sold around schools, reducing/limiting children's exposure to unhealthy foods (Supplementary file 6). The school food environment exerts a large impact on the dietary intake of children and adolescents, contributing between 19%-50% of daily calories.¹⁹⁰ Food and beverages consumed at school are typically available through meals provided/sold by school/ around school or brought to school. A whole school policy should therefore target all avenues that increase access to unhealthy foods as well as encouraging healthier food choices.191 School food policies can promote healthy food consumption through several means: nutrition guidelinesproviding nutrition standards for menu planning based on food and/or nutrients and applied at school meal programs or at other meals sold in school environment; regulation of food and beverage availability- by controlling the type of food and beverages sold or provided at school; price interventionfree or subsidised provision of healthy foods, or controlling the price of foods or beverages sold in schools. A systematic review of school food policies globally showed that nutrition guidelines and price interventions focused on healthier foods are effective in improving the school food environment and dietary intake.184

Effective, enforced school food policies require schoollevel implementation; Ghana and Kenya have successfully used a decentralized approach.^{186,187} Where schools lack a functional implementation committee, such as in some regions in Ghana, implementation is a challenge.¹⁷³ Linking school feeding policies directly with agricultural development in communities surrounding schools, can lead to success in implementing policies,¹⁸⁹ incentivising farmers to grow healthier foods and thus sustaining local food systems.

To be successful, government at all levels need resources for implementing and evaluating policies. Programmes are planned at national level, with local authorities/councils and municipalities responsible for implementation. In some cases, parents take responsibility for preparing meals.¹⁷¹ The Ghana school feeding programme relies on financial and technical support from government and development partners; partnerships with the Ministry of Agriculture are needed to ensure that local products are used.¹⁸⁸ In Kenya, a national policy framework for school feeding programs exists, although national school feeding guidelines are not established. School feeding in Kenya lacks stable funding and the institutional and implementation arrangements necessary for sustainability and efficiency.¹⁸⁷ Success of school policies also depends on appropriate monitoring. For example, in Kenya, an innovative approach was the development of a computer-based monitoring system jointly run by the Ministry of Education and World Food Programme, identifying poor management practices and assistance needs in vulnerable areas.¹⁷⁸ Overall, school feeding programme targeting and implementation are best undertaken at school, rather than, national level. Most LMICs do not have standalone policies promoting healthy food consumption in schools for preventing NR-NCDs and instead are established to increase school attendance and address food security of vulnerable populations; thus, emphasizing food supply (quantity) rather than healthy food consumption (quality). For example, Ghana piloted and scaled-up the Ghana School feeding programme to encourage educational participation and improve nutrient intake167,169,172; while Kenya targeted socially-disadvantaged and nutritionally-vulnerable children, used as an incentive to attract school-aged children to class and was largely supported by World Food Program funding but transitioned

to a government funded program in 2009.174,180

Positive elements in guidelines to provide healthy food consumption include micronutrient fortification of commonly-eaten foods and school education to increase children's knowledge of healthy dietary behaviours.^{169,172} Other programmes promote healthy diets to teachers and parents, regulate products sold in school canteens and by neighbourhood vendors and improve dietary knowledge,¹⁹² leading to higher nutrient intakes and dietary diversity,^{165,169,172,181} and increased vegetable consumption in families with recipient children.¹⁸² Consequently, overall health outcomes can be better among children in schools where school feeding programs are implemented.

Although the main school-based food policies in Ghana and Kenya involve school feeding programs, unhealthy foods are frequently available. More than half of Ghanaian schools sell food, most of which is unhealthy¹⁷⁰ and private food vendors frequently sell unhealthy food adjacent to school^{166,193} exposing children to energy dense foods.¹⁶⁵ In both Ghana and Kenya, children who take money or food to school often purchase energy-dense nutrient poor foods.^{166,175} In Ghana, the national policy for prevention and control of NCDs recommends restriction of fizzy drinks,145,168 however this has not served to curb vendors from selling unhealthy foods and drinks to schoolchildren.¹⁷⁰ Evidence suggests that school food policies may support changes to the order of foods presented in buffets which may, in turn, encourage shifts towards healthier consumption.¹¹⁰ However, in African contexts, school staff and economic dynamics influence behaviours.

Discussion and Conclusion

This review examined policy actions to support healthier food consumption in urban Africa, with Ghana and Kenya as focal examples to contextualise what policy actions might work to prevent NR-NCDs, for whom, and under what circumstances. Taken together, findings provide a novel and comprehensive evaluation of how five types of government action, identified as particularly important for our selected African countries, may exert effects. We found evidence that implementing these five policies could positively influence the healthiness of food environments and consumption in urban Africa. However, the drivers of (un)healthy food environments and consumption are clearly shaped by a complex interplay of economic, social and political drivers acting at a range of geographical scales. Stakeholders at all levels (local, national and global) have differing roles to play in ensuring healthy food environments and consumption in urban Africa. Our contribution adds to existing academic work that seeks to understand how food policies work, by more fully considering the context of urban Africa and the "nutrition transition."194

Social, Political and Economic Complexities in Practice

The five focal PTs suggest relatively simple causal pathways between intervention and effects; with success predicated on assumed predictable and rational choices of stakeholders to differing forms of state intervention. Yet our findings illustrate the socio-cultural, economic and political complexity of policy action in practice, revealing whole system impediments to compliance and illustrate the interdependence of policies seeking to effect transformational preventative action within African food systems. For example, trade and investment regulations (PT1) are inevitably enacted (or not) against a politically and economically contingent backdrop. Intersecting with trade, nutrition labelling, as explored through regulation of food claims (PT2) and setting targets for food composition (PT3), is similarly complex. While in theory the aim is to "induce a food-systems response; overcome barriers to meeting healthy preferences caused by inadequate information,"194 the contextual reality of urban Africa sees Governments influenced by competing actors, including industry actors, leading to poor enactment and enforcement.195,196 Where policies exist and are enforced, outcomes can be suboptimal due to a myriad of contextual and sociocultural influences. For instance, where textual labelling is in English, functional literacy and health literacy are required, yet these are low in urban Africa.¹⁹⁷ Additionally, literate, but busy urban consumers lack time to read and process information. Similarly, school food policies (PT5) seek to "overcome barriers to meeting healthy preferences caused by lack of financial or physical access...and poor information skills."194 Elsewhere, evidence suggests that school food policies may encourage children to reassess their preferences at point-of-purchase.¹¹⁰ However, in African contexts, school staff and economic dynamics influence behaviours.

Integration of the different programme theories (Figure 2) highlights that the five policies target interfaces between food environment and consumers, within the wider urban African food system, through the enabling (or disabling) forces of food accessibility, affordability and availability. These in turn are influenced by the consumer's relationships with the retail sector in urban Africa, as well as by political and economic drivers, such as food price volatility and globalized trade. Laws and regulations are key to implementing all five policy actions, whether by implementing national regulations around food composition and health claims (usually required by an FDA), controls on the media for marketing of unhealthy food and beverages by national government, and regulations on trade and FDI. Controlling food sold in/around schools requires proactive regulation, set at national-level, to ensure implementation by local and municipal authorities and other actors. The convenience of food is a further mediator of food consumption, driven by changing dietary habits and (lack of) time, often leading to increased consumption of energy dense foods and beverages. The increasing importance of convenience is shaped by wider demographic drivers linked to rapid urbanisation and rural to urban migration, as well as sociocultural drivers, such as women's empowerment. Consumers' awareness of healthy diets is an important mechanism for mediating food consumption through comprehension, education, literacy and beliefs.

A Need for Better Data-Evaluation Systems

Contextualised Food Composition Data are key to how policies operate, for example in controlling marketing to children or food labelling, and could explain contextual differences between Ghana and Kenya. Yet, such data are either unavailable, poor in quality of or dated. Food Composition Tables specific to Ghana have not been updated since 1975 with Ghana relying, instead, on regional West African Food Composition Tables¹³⁶ while Kenya has recently launched its own locally developed food composition tables.¹³³ Significantly, all programme theories lacked other evidence directly relating to urban African contexts. Our findings thus highlight a need for better data systems to inform and monitor transformational policy action. Research, monitoring and evaluation systems are critical to government policy infrastructure for LMICs, including Ghana and Kenya, for effective action within food systems to address NCDs.¹²

Dealing with Interdependencies between Policy Actions

Interdependencies between policy actions (eg, between regulatory action on trade/investment and nutritional labelling), and the critical role of contextualised food composition data, highlight that transformational policy action for urban contexts in Africa depends on the complex interplay of economic, political and socio-cultural forces. These interdependencies illustrate the need for policy coherence; particularly challenging in African contexts where 'policy space' to address healthy food consumption is limited by the prioritisation of economic growth by governments and partner IFIs, the role/interests of economic stakeholders in external financing and policy decision-making, and limits in government infrastructural capacities.^{12,13} An additional challenge is the persistence of food insecurity impacting on government policy decisions on the promotion of healthy foods consumption in Africa.^{12,13} Therefore, there is the need to highlight the potential double duty actions of the policies being discussed. These issues are highlighted in relation to trade policy actions, and substantiate studies that find limited institutional checks and balances on commercial policy influence in Ghana¹² and Kenya,¹³ which can drive resistance to policy implementation. As evidenced in other LMICs, policy action to regulate private sector activity relating to food and nutrition can quickly be revoked following extensive industry lobbying at government-level.¹⁹⁶ For example, Morocco repealed its Sugar Sweetened Beverage tax in 2018 prior to implementation in 2019 in response to pressures from the agri-food industry.¹⁹⁵ A year later, an attempt was made to introduce a significantly 'watered-down' bill.¹⁹⁸ Only South Africa within the African Region has been able to introduce such a tax with concerted efforts, resources, and alliances of civil society, academia, and government to defeat resistance from food companies. Implementation of WHA Resolution 63.14 has faced similar challenges.

Of 54 countries in Africa, only Morocco fully achieved implementation of WHO NCD progress monitoring indicator #7C "marketing to children restrictions."¹⁶⁴ The political economy of implementing healthy food system actions within the African context is unique and complex. Studying the myriad of influencers (functional literacy, health literacy, data and related resources, power etc), is required to fully appreciate the variegated political economies of the African food system, and to intervene effectively.

The Importance of Government Institutional Capacities

Our findings highlight the importance of government institutional capacities here: to develop policy collaboratively across government, to develop alliances with non-government actors, and to implement and enforce decisions at multiple levels. Capacity for enforcing regulatory action was highlighted as important to trade policy action, yet limited by what authorities can achieve within existing resources. Controls on food labelling and food composition similarly require capacity for enforcement as highlighted in previous studies.^{12,13}

One such actor is The Scaling Up Nutrition Network (a non-for-profit network of academics and non-academics, including government agencies, United Nations agencies, international organisations, the private sector and civil society groups, that harnesses multiple sectors for effective global and in-country planning and implementation of evidence-based nutrition policies and programmes). This Network may have a potential role to play; in supporting cross-sectoral alliances, in better understanding local contexts and in framing strategic action to prioritise NR-NCDs.¹⁵⁷

Strengths and Limitations

Key strengths of this realist review include an integrated approach that draws on systematic reviews, primary data and the involvement of high-income and LMIC stakeholders. However, such a synthesis is limited by the evidence available for inclusion. Much of the data was indirect; ie, the research questions of sources did not always directly correspond with the focal programme theories. Findings from the two focus countries may not be applicable internationally. Additionally, many studies employed weak research designs potentially impacting on the results. Lastly, in synthesising the current evidence base, the realist review highlights the dearth of country-specific information on how and why policy actions might 'work' (or not). Results, although indicative of the available evidence, should be viewed cautiously until directlyrelevant studies become more plentiful.

Ethical issues

Not applicable.

Competing interests

AB holds an honorary contract with the WHO. MH holds an honorary contract with the University of Sheffield. No other relationships or activities have been disclosed.

Authors' contributions

AB and MH are both responsible for the study design. AB performed the searches and led data extraction and analysis. All Authors selected items for inclusion, extracted data, checked data extraction and contributed to data analysis. All authors contributed to writing the manuscript.

Disclaimer

The views presented in this paper are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views of the funder or of their employing organizations.

Funding

Sources of support: Review undertaken as part of the Dietary Transitions in African Cities (TACLED), involving a collaboration between the University of Ghana, African Health and Population Centre, Kenya; and the Universities of Sheffield, Liverpool, Loughborough in the UK. The TACLED project was supported by a Global Challenges Research Fund Foundation Award from the UK MRC [grant number MR/P025153/1], and supported by AHRC, BBSRC, ESRC and NERC. The funders played no role in the design of the study, data collection, data analysis, interpretation of the data or writing of the publication.

Authors' affiliations

¹School of Health and Related Research (ScHARR), University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK. ²School of Public Health, University of Ghana, Accra, Ghana. ³Institute for Health and Society, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK. ⁴African Population and Health Research Center (APHRC), Nairobi, Kenya. ⁵French National Research Institute for Sustainable Development (IRD), NUTRIPASS Unit, IRD-Univ Montpellier, Montpellier, France.

Supplementary files

Supplementary file 1. Search Strategy.

Supplementary file 2. Pathway From Trade/Investment Policy to Food Consumption.

Supplementary file 3. Pathway From Nutritional/Health Information to Healthy Food Consumption.

Supplementary file 4. Pathway From Food Composition Standards to Healthy Food Consumption.

Supplementary file 5. Pathway From Restricting Promotion/Marketing of Unhealthy Foods to Reduced Consumption of Unhealthy Foods.

Supplementary file 6. Pathway From Food Promotion/Regulation in Schools to Reduced Consumption of Unhealthy Foods.

References

- Steyn NP, McHiza ZJ. Obesity and the nutrition transition in Sub-Saharan Africa. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2014;1311:88-101. doi:10.1111/ nyas.12433
- World Health Organization (WHO). The Double Burden of Malnutrition: Policy Brief. WHO; 2016.
- Ofori-Asenso R, Agyeman AA, Laar A, Boateng D. Overweight and obesity epidemic in Ghana-a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health*. 2016;16(1):1239. doi:10.1186/s12889-016-3901-4
- Ghana Statistical Service (GSS), Ghana Health Service (GHS), ICF International. *Ghana Demographic and Health Survey 2014*. Rockville, Maryland, USA: GSS, GHS, ICF International; 2015.
- Kenya National Bureau of Statistics. Kenya STEPwise Survey for Non-Communicable Diseases Risk Factors 2015 Report. Nairobi, Kenya: Ministry of Health, Kenya National Bureau of Statistics, WHO; 2015.
- Downs S. The multiple burdens of malnutrition. 16 Sight and Life. 2016;30(1):41-46.
- Holdsworth M, Landais E. Urban food environments in Africa: implications for policy and research. *Proc Nutr Soc.* 2019;78(4):513-525. doi:10.1017/s0029665118002938
- HLPE. Food Security and Nutrition: Building a Global Narrative Towards 2030. Rome: High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition of the Committee on World Food Security; 2020.
- Africa Union. Malabo Declaration on Accelerated Agricultural Growth and Transformation for Shared Prosperity and Improved Livelihoods. Malabo, Equatorial Guinea: Africa Union; 2014.
- African Union Commission. Africa Region Nutrition Strategy 2015-2025. Geneva: WHO; 2014.
- 11. African Union Commission. *Agenda 2063: The Africa We Want*. Addis Ababa: Africa Union;2015.
- Laar A, Barnes A, Aryeetey R, et al. Implementation of healthy food environment policies to prevent nutrition-related non-communicable diseases in Ghana: national experts' assessment of government action. *Food Policy*. 2020;93:101907. doi:10.1016/j.foodpol.2020.101907
- Asiki G, Wanjohi MN, Barnes A, et al. Benchmarking food environment policies for the prevention of diet-related non-communicable diseases in Kenya: national expert panel's assessment and priority recommendations. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(8):e0236699. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0236699
- 14. Parsons K. What Transforming Food Systems Means for Policy: From Ideas to Action. Global Food Security; 2019.
- Parsons K, Hawkes C. Connecting Food Systems for Co-Benefits: How Can Food Systems Combine Diet-Related Health with Environmental and Economic Policy Goals? London: WHO Regional Office for Europe, European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies; 2018.
- 16. Kenya National Bureau of Statistics, Ministry of Health (Kenya),

National AIDS Control Council (Kenya), Kenya Medical Research Institute, National Council for Population and Development (Kenya). *Kenya Demographic and Health Survey 2014*. Rockville, Maryland, USA: 2015.

- Rousham EK, Pradeilles R, Akparibo R, et al. Dietary behaviours in the context of nutrition transition: a systematic review and meta-analyses in two African countries. *Public Health Nutr.* 2020;23(11):1948-1964. doi:10.1017/s1368980019004014
- Ministry of Health. National Policy for the Prevention and Control of NCDs in Ghana. Accra, Ghana: Ministry of Health; 2012.
- Ministry of Health Kenya. Kenya National Strategy for the Prevention and Control of Non-Communicable Diseases 2015-2020. Nairobi, Kenya: Ministry of Health Kenya; 2015.
- Swinburn B, Vandevijvere S, Kraak V, et al. Monitoring and benchmarking government policies and actions to improve the healthiness of food environments: a proposed Government Healthy Food Environment Policy Index. *Obes Rev.* 2013;14 Suppl 1:24-37. doi:10.1111/obr.12073
- 21. World Health Organization (WHO). Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of NCDs 2013-2020. WHO; 2013.
- Pawson R, Greenhalgh T, Harvey G, Walshe K. *Realist Synthesis:* An Introduction. Manchester: ESRC Research Methods Programme, University of Manchester; 2004.
- Omoniyi OS, Williams I. Realist synthesis of the international theory and evidence on strategies to improve childhood vaccination in lowand middle-income countries: developing strategies for the Nigerian healthcare system. *Int J Health Policy Manag.* 2020;9(7):274-285. doi:10.15171/ijhpm.2019.120
- 24. HLPE. Nutrition and Food Systems. A Report by the High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition of the Committee on World Food Security. Rome: HLPE; 2017.
- 25. Pawson R. Realist synthesis: new protocols for systematic review. In: *Evidence-Based Policy*. SAGE Publications; 2006.
- Wong G, Greenhalgh T, Westhorp G, Buckingham J, Pawson R. RAMESES publication standards: realist syntheses. *BMC Med.* 2013;11(1):21. doi:10.1186/1741-7015-11-21
- Rycroft-Malone J, McCormack B, Hutchinson AM, et al. Realist synthesis: illustrating the method for implementation research. *Implement Sci.* 2012;7:33. doi:10.1186/1748-5908-7-33
- Jagosh J, Macaulay AC, Pluye P, et al. Uncovering the benefits of participatory research: implications of a realist review for health research and practice. *Milbank Q.* 2012;90(2):311-346. doi:10.1111/ j.1468-0009.2012.00665.x
- Willett W, Rockström J, Loken B, et al. Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. *Lancet*. 2019;393(10170):447-492. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(18)31788-4
- Ouma C. Using new methods to assess coverage of decentralized nutrition programmes in complex emergencies in east and the horn of Africa. Ann Nutr Metab. 2013;63(Suppl 1):905. doi:10.1159/000354245
- Thow AM. Trade liberalisation and the nutrition transition: mapping the pathways for public health nutritionists. *Public Health Nutr.* 2009;12(11):2150-2158. doi:10.1017/s1368980009005680
- Schram A, Labonté R, Sanders D. Urbanization and international trade and investment policies as determinants of noncommunicable diseases in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis.* 2013;56(3):281-301. doi:10.1016/j.pcad.2013.09.016
- Friel S, Hattersley L, Snowdon W, et al. Monitoring the impacts of trade agreements on food environments. *Obes Rev.* 2013;14 Suppl 1:120-134. doi:10.1111/obr.12081
- Labonté R, Ruckert A, Schram A. Trade, investment and the global economy: are we entering a new era for health? *Glob Soc Policy*. 2017;18(1):28-44. doi:10.1177/1468018117731415
- Labonté R. Trade, investment and public health: compiling the evidence, assembling the arguments. *Global Health*. 2019;15(1):1. doi:10.1186/ s12992-018-0425-y
- Schram A, Ruckert A, VanDuzer JA, et al. A conceptual framework for investigating the impacts of international trade and investment agreements on noncommunicable disease risk factors. *Health Policy Plan.* 2018;33(1):123-136. doi:10.1093/heapol/czx133
- Mozaffarian D, Angell SY, Lang T, Rivera JA. Role of government policy in nutrition-barriers to and opportunities for healthier eating. *BMJ*. 2018;361:k2426. doi:10.1136/bmj.k2426

- Thow AM, Annan R, Mensah L, Chowdhury SN. Development, implementation and outcome of standards to restrict fatty meat in the food supply and prevent NCDs: learning from an innovative trade/food policy in Ghana. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;14:249. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-14-249
- Annan RA, Apprey C, Oppong NK, Petty-Agamatey V, Mensah L, Thow AM. Public awareness and perception of Ghana's restrictive policy on fatty meat, as well as preference and consumption of meat products among Ghanaian adults living in the Kumasi Metropolis. *BMC Nutr.* 2018;4:2. doi:10.1186/s40795-018-0209-z
- Thow AM, Jones A, Hawkes C, Ali I, Labonté R. Nutrition labelling is a trade policy issue: lessons from an analysis of specific trade concerns at the World Trade Organization. *Health Promot Int.* 2018;33(4):561-571. doi:10.1093/heapro/daw109
- 41. Hawkes C. The role of foreign direct investment in the nutrition transition. *Public Health Nutr.* 2005;8(4):357-365. doi:10.1079/phn2004706
- Schram A. When evidence isn't enough: Ideological, institutional, and interest-based constraints on achieving trade and health policy coherence. *Glob Soc Policy*. 2017;18(1):62-80. doi:10.1177/1468018117744153
- García-Dorado SC, Cornselsen L, Smith R, Walls H. Economic globalization, nutrition and health: a review of quantitative evidence. *Global Health.* 2019;15(1):15. doi:10.1186/s12992-019-0456-z
- 44. Labonté R, Mohindra KS, Lencucha R. Framing international trade and chronic disease. *Global Health*. 2011;7:21. doi:10.1186/1744-8603-7-21
- Rayner G, Hawkes C, Lang T, Bello W. Trade liberalization and the diet transition: a public health response. *Health Promot Int.* 2006;21 Suppl 1:67-74. doi:10.1093/heapro/dal053
- Thow AM, Sanders D, Drury E, et al. Regional trade and the nutrition transition: opportunities to strengthen NCD prevention policy in the Southern African Development Community. *Glob Health Action*. 2015;8:28338. doi:10.3402/gha.v8.28338
- Neven D, Reardon T. The rise of Kenyan supermarkets and the evolution of their horticulture product procurement systems. *Dev Policy Rev.* 2004;22(6):669-699. doi:10.1111/j.1467-7679.2004.00271.x
- O'Neill K. Big food without big diets? food regimes and Kenyan diets. Crit Public Health. 2015;25(3):265-279. doi:10.1080/09581596.2015.1 007922
- Blouin C, Chopra M, van der Hoeven R. Trade and social determinants of health. *Lancet.* 2009;373(9662):502-507. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(08)61777-8
- Wilhelmina Q, Joost J, George E, Guido R. Globalization vs. localization: global food challenges and local solutions. *Int J Consum Stud.* 2010;34(3):357-366. doi:10.1111/j.1470-6431.2010.00868.x
- Toure D, McClafferty B, Neufeld LM. Shaping markets for better nutrition: insights from the assessment of the marketplace for nutritious foods. *Ann Nutr Metab.* 2017;71(Suppl 2):94-95. doi:10.1159/000480486
- Ouma S. Creating and maintaining global connections: agrobusiness and the precarious making of fresh-cut markets. *J Dev Stud.* 2012;48(3):322-334. doi:10.1080/00220388.2011.635201
- Anim-Somuah H, Henson S, Humphrey J, Robsinson E. Policy Guidelines: Enhancing Markets for Nutrient-Dense Foods in Ghana. Institute of Development Studies (IDS); 2013.
- Stuckler D, McKee M, Ebrahim S, Basu S. Manufacturing epidemics: the role of global producers in increased consumption of unhealthy commodities including processed foods, alcohol, and tobacco. *PLoS Med.* 2012;9(6):e1001235. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001235
- Barlow P, Labonte R, McKee M, Stuckler D. Trade challenges at the World Trade Organization to national noncommunicable disease prevention policies: a thematic document analysis of trade and health policy space. *PLoS Med.* 2018;15(6):e1002590. doi:10.1371/journal. pmed.1002590
- 56. Bird K, Kamau P, Odhiambo W. *Trade Policy and Poverty Linkages in Kenya*. London: Overseas Development Institute; 2007.
- Stevano S, Johnston D, Codjoe E. The urban food question in the context of inequality and dietary change: a study of schoolchildren in Accra. J Dev Stud. 2020;56(6):1177-1189. doi:10.1080/00220388.20 19.1632434
- Mendez Lopez A, Loopstra R, McKee M, Stuckler D. Is trade liberalisation a vector for the spread of sugar-sweetened beverages? a cross-national longitudinal analysis of 44 low-and middle-income countries. Soc Sci Med. 2017;172:21-27. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2016.11.001
- 59. Djokoto JG. Effects of foreign direct investment inflows into agriculture

on food security in Ghana. J Econ Sustain Dev. 2012;3(2):81-92.

Stuckler D, Nestle M. Big food, food systems, and global health. *PLoS Med*. 2012;9(6):e1001242. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001242

- Johnson MC. Lobbying for trade barriers: a comparison of poultry producers' success in Cameroon, Senegal and Ghana. *J Mod Afr Stud.* 2011;49(4):575-599. doi:10.1017/s0022278x11000486
- Thow AM, Greenberg S, Hara M, Friel S, duToit A, Sanders D. Improving policy coherence for food security and nutrition in South Africa: a qualitative policy analysis. *Food Secur.* 2018;10(4):1105-1130. doi:10.1007/s12571-018-0813-4
- Serem A. International trade opportunities and challenges for small scale horticultural production systems. *Acta Hortic*. 2011;911:53-60. doi:10.17660/ActaHortic.2011.911.6
- Battams S, Townsend B. Power asymmetries, policy incoherence and noncommunicable disease control-a qualitative study of policy actor views. *Crit Public Health*. 2019;29(5):596-609. doi:10.1080/09581596 .2018.1492093
- Delany L, Signal L, Thomson G. International trade and investment law: a new framework for public health and the common good. *BMC Public Health*. 2018;18(1):602. doi:10.1186/s12889-018-5486-6
- Gleeson D, Friel S. Emerging threats to public health from regional trade agreements. *Lancet.* 2013;381(9876):1507-1509. doi:10.1016/ s0140-6736(13)60312-8
- Koivusalo M, Schrecker T, Labonté R. Globalization and policy space for health and social determinants of health. In: *Globalization and Health*. Routledge; 2009. p. 127-152.
- Koivusalo M. Policy space for health and trade and investment agreements. *Health Promot Int.* 2014;29 Suppl 1:i29-47. doi:10.1093/ heapro/dau033
- Friel S, Hattersley L, Townsend R. Trade policy and public health. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2015;36:325-344. doi:10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031914-122739
- Walls H, Baker P, Parkhurst J. Addressing trade policy as a macrostructural determinant of health: the role of institutions and ideas. *Glob Soc Policy*. 2018;18(1):94-101. doi:10.1177/1468018117748700
- Ouma S, Boeckler M, Lindner P. Extending the margins of marketization: frontier regions and the making of agro-export markets in northern Ghana. *Geoforum*. 2013;48:225-235. doi:10.1016/j. geoforum.2012.01.011
- Burke WJ, Myers RJ, Jayne TS. A triple-hurdle model of production and market participation in Kenya's dairy market. *Am J Agric Econ*. 2015;97(4):1227-1246. doi:10.1093/ajae/aav009
- Barlow P. Exporting Pandemics: Free Trade Agreements and the Global Diffusion of Unhealthy Behaviours [dissertation]. University of Oxford; 2018.
- Townsend B, Schram A, Baum F, Labonté R, Friel S. How does policy framing enable or constrain inclusion of social determinants of health and health equity on trade policy agendas? *Crit Public Health*. 2020;30(1):115-126. doi:10.1080/09581596.2018.1509059
- Bosu WK. An overview of the nutrition transition in West Africa: implications for non-communicable diseases. *Proc Nutr Soc.* 2015;74(4):466-477. doi:10.1017/s0029665114001669
- Bovell-Benjamin AC, Bromfield E. Nutrition and Bioavailability. In: Ensuring Global Food Safety. Elsevier; 2010. p. 289-309.
- Campos S, Doxey J, Hammond D. Nutrition labels on pre-packaged foods: a systematic review. *Public Health Nutr.* 2011;14(8):1496-1506. doi:10.1017/s1368980010003290
- Cannoosamy K, Pugo-Gunsam P, Jeewon R. Consumer knowledge and attitudes toward nutritional labels. *J Nutr Educ Behav.* 2014;46(5):334-340. doi:10.1016/j.jneb.2014.03.010
- Dolgopolova I, Teuber R. Consumers' willingness to pay for health benefits in food products: a meta-analysis. *Appl Econ Perspect Policy*. 2018;40(2):333-352. doi:10.1093/aepp/ppx036
- Drichoutis AC, Lazaridis P, Nayga RM. Consumers' use of nutritional labels: a review of research studies and issues. *Acad Mark Sci Rev.* 2006;9(9):1-22.
- Hawkes C. Government and voluntary policies on nutrition labelling: a global overview. In: Albert J, ed. *Innovations in Food Labelling*. Elsevier; 2010:37-58. doi:10.1533/9781845697594.37
- Ikonen I, Sotgiu F, Aydinli A, Verlegh PWJ. Consumer effects of frontof-package nutrition labeling: an interdisciplinary meta-analysis. *J Acad Mark Sci.* 2020;48(3):360-383. doi:10.1007/s11747-019-00663-9

- Kasapila W, Shaarani SM. Legislation--impact and trends in nutrition labeling: a global overview. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.* 2016;56(1):56-64. doi:10.1080/10408398.2012.710277
- Koen N, Blaauw R, Wentzel-Viljoen E. Food and nutrition labelling: the past, present and the way forward. *South Afr J Clin Nutr.* 2016;29(1):13-21. doi:10.1080/16070658.2016.1215876
- Mandle J, Tugendhaft A, Michalow J, Hofman K. Nutrition labelling: a review of research on consumer and industry response in the global South. *Glob Health Action*. 2015;8:25912. doi:10.3402/gha.v8.25912
- Réquillart V, Soler LG. Is the reduction of chronic diseases related to food consumption in the hands of the food industry? *Eur Rev Agric Econ.* 2014;41(3):375-403. doi:10.1093/erae/jbu010
- 87. Smit W. Urban governance and urban food systems in Africa: examining the linkages. *Cities*. 2016;58:80-86. doi:10.1016/j.cities.2016.05.001
- World Health Organization (WHO). The Double Burden of Malnutrition: Case Studies from Six Developing Countries. Vol 84. Food and Agriculture Organization; 2006.
- Ababio PF, Adi DD, Amoah M. Evaluating the awareness and importance of food labelling information among consumers in the Kumasi metropolis of Ghana. *Food Control.* 2012;26(2):571-574. doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.02.015
- Affram PC, Darkwa S. Consumersknowledge, understanding and use of food label information, and how it affects purchasing decision in ho, Ghana. Asian J Empir Res. 2015;5(3):24-39.
- Azila-Gbettor EM, Avorgah SMK, Adigbo ED. Exploring consumer knowledge and usage of label information in Ho Municipality of Ghana. *Eur Sci J.* 2013;9(28):297-311.
- Darkwa S. Knowledge of nutrition facts on food labels and their impact on food choices on consumers in Koforidua, Ghana: a case study. *South Afr J Clin Nutr.* 2014;27(1):13-17.
- Hayford F, Steiner-Asiedu M, Sakyi-Dawson E. Food choice behaviour among Ghanaians: implications for health promotion. *World J Nutr Health*. 2015;3(1):22-28. doi:10.12691/jnh-3-1-4
- Kwesi Saalia F, Mankanta C, Budu A, Essilfie G. Knowledge and consumption patterns of coffee creamers in Accra, Ghana. *Nutr Food Sci.* 2013;43(1):23-30. doi:10.1108/00346651311295879
- Osei MJ, Lawer DR, Aidoo R. Consumers' use and understanding of food label information and effect on their purchasing decision in Ghana; a case study of Kumasi metropolis. *Asian Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development*. 2013;2(3):351-365. doi:10.22004/ag.econ.197981
- Juma CN, Otieno DJ, Oluouch-Kosura W, Gyau A, Oduol JA. A Survey of Consumer Perceptions and Preferences for Geographical Indication and Quality Attributes of Honey in Kenya. African Association of Agricultural Economists (AAAE); 2016.
- Kunyanga C, Imungi J, Okoth M, Vadivel V, Biesalski HK. Development, acceptability, and nutritional characteristics of a low-cost, shelf-stable supplementary food product for vulnerable groups in Kenya. *Food Nutr Bull.* 2012;33(1):43-52. doi:10.1177/156482651203300104
- Ndiema BC. Status of Utilization of Nutrition Information on Processed Meat Products in Making Purchasing Decisions Among Consumers in Nakuru Town, Kenya. Kenyatta University; 2017.
- Codex Alimentarius Commission. Current official standards 2012. http:// www.fao.org/fao-who-codexalimentarius/codex-texts/list-standards/en/. Accessed August 1, 2021.
- EUFIC. Global Update on Nutrition Labelling The 2018 edition. https:// www.eufic.org/images/uploads/healthy-living/Executive-Summary-GUNL-2018-V2.pdf. Accessed August 1, 2021. Published 2018.
- 101. Food and Agriculture Organization, World Health Organization. Human Vitamin and Mineral Requirements, Report of a Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation, Bangkok, Thailand. Rome, Italy: Food and Nutrition Division FAO; 2002.
- 102. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, World Health Organization (WHO). *Codex Alimentarius: Food labelling*. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2007.
- Hawkes C, World Health Organization (WHO). Nutrition Labels and Health Claims: The Global Regulatory Environment. WHO; 2004.
- Igumbor EU, Sanders D, Puoane TR, et al. "Big food," the consumer food environment, health, and the policy response in South Africa. *PLoS Med.* 2012;9(7):e1001253. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001253
- Kasapila W, Shaarani SM. A survey of nutrition labelling on packaged foodstuffs sold in Malawi: manufacturer practices and legislative issues. *Food Control.* 2013;30(2):433-438. doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.08.006

- 106. Koen N, Wentzel-Viljoen E, Blaauw R. Price rather than nutrition information the main influencer of consumer food purchasing behaviour in South Africa: a qualitative study. *Int J Consum Stud.* 2018;42(4):409-418. doi:10.1111/ijcs.12434
- 107. Koen N, Wentzel-Viljoen E, Nel D, Blaauw R. Consumer knowledge and use of food and nutrition labelling in South Africa: a cross-sectional descriptive study. *Int J Consum Stud.* 2018;42(3):335-346. doi:10.1111/ ijcs.12422
- Lartey A, Hemrich G, Amoroso L. Influencing Food Environments for Healthy Diets. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2016.
- Olatona FA, Nwankwo CO, Ogunyemi AO, Nnoaham KE. Consumer knowledge and utilization of food labels on prepackaged food products in Lagos State. *Res J Health Sci.* 2019;7(1):28-38. doi:10.4314/rejhs. v7i1.4
- Wansink B. How do front and back package labels influence beliefs about health claims? J Consum Aff. 2003;37(2):305-316. doi:10.1111/j.1745-6606.2003.tb00455.x
- Becker MW, Bello NM, Sundar RP, Peltier C, Bix L. Front of pack labels enhance attention to nutrition information in novel & commercial brands. *Food Policy*. 2015;56:76-86. doi:10.1016/j.foodpol.2015.08.001
- 112. Draper AK, Adamson AJ, Clegg S, Malam S, Rigg M, Duncan S. Front-of-pack nutrition labelling: are multiple formats a problem for consumers? *Eur J Public Health.* 2013;23(3):517-521. doi:10.1093/ eurpub/ckr144
- Egnell M, Talati Z, Hercberg S, Pettigrew S, Julia C. Objective understanding of front-of-package nutrition labels: an international comparative experimental study across 12 countries. *Nutrients*. 2018;10(10):1542. doi:10.3390/nu10101542
- 114. Goodman S, Vanderlee L, Acton R, Mahamad S, Hammond D. The impact of front-of-package label design on consumer understanding of nutrient amounts. *Nutrients*. 2018;10(11):1624. doi:10.3390/ nu10111624
- Grunert KG, Wills JM, Fernández-Celemín L. Nutrition knowledge, and use and understanding of nutrition information on food labels among consumers in the UK. *Appetite*. 2010;55(2):177-189. doi:10.1016/j. appet.2010.05.045
- Kanter R, Vanderlee L, Vandevijvere S. Front-of-package nutrition labelling policy: global progress and future directions. *Public Health Nutr.* 2018;21(8):1399-1408. doi:10.1017/s1368980018000010
- 117. Kempen E, Bosman M, Bouwer C, Klein R, van der Merwe D. An exploration of the influence of food labels on South African consumers' purchasing behaviour. *Int J Consum Stud.* 2011;35(1):69-78. doi:10.1111/j.1470-6431.2010.00928.x
- Kozup JC, Creyer EH, Burton S. Making healthful food choices: the influence of health claims and nutrition information on consumers' evaluations of packaged food products and restaurant menu items. J Mark. 2003;67(2):19-34.
- Olatona FA, Sosanya A, Sholeye OO, Obrutu OE, Nnoaham KE. Knowledge of fruits and vegetables, consumption pattern and associated factors among adults in Lagos State, Nigeria. *Res J Health Sci.* 2018;6(2):50. doi:10.4314/rejhs.v6i2.2
- Papoutsi GS, Klonaris S, Drichoutis AC. The health-taste trade-off in consumer decision making: an experimental approach. *Br Food J*. 2019. doi:10.1108/bfj-10-2018-0694
- Traill WB. Trends towards overweight in lower-and middle-income countries: some causes and economic policy options. http://www.fao. org/tempref/docrep/fao/009/a0442e/a0442e03.pdf. Published 2006.
- 122. Schönfeldt HC, Hall N, Pretorius B. The important role of food composition in policies and programmes for better public health: a South African case study. *Food Chem.* 2018;238:94-100. doi:10.1016/j. foodchem.2016.12.067
- 123. Schönfeldt HC, Gibson Hall N. Dietary protein quality and malnutrition in Africa. Br J Nutr. 2012;108 Suppl 2:S69-76. doi:10.1017/ s0007114512002553
- 124. Wolmarans P, Kunneke E, Laubscher R. Use of the South African Food Composition Database System (SAFOODS) and its products in assessing dietary intake data: Part II. South Afr J Clin Nutr. 2009;22(2):59-67. doi:10.1080/16070658.2009.11734220
- 125. Ene-Obong H, Schönfeldt HC, Campaore E, et al. Importance and use of reliable food composition data generation by nutrition/dietetic professionals towards solving Africa's nutrition problem: constraints

and the role of FAO/INFOODS/AFROFOODS and other stakeholders in future initiatives. *Proc Nutr Soc.* 2019;78(4):496-505. doi:10.1017/s0029665118002926

- Schönfeldt HC. Food composition program of AFROFOODS. J Food Compost Anal. 2002;15(4):473-479. doi:10.1006/jfca.2002.1093
- 127. Wolmarans P, Chetty J, Danster-Christians N. Food composition activities in South Africa. *Food Chem.* 2013;140(3):447-450. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.10.064
- Progress with a global branded food composition database. Food Chem. 2013;140(3):451-457. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.10.065
- 129. Schönfeldt HC, Hall N, Pretorius B. Nutrition-Sensitive Agricultural Development for Food Security in Africa: A Case Study of South Africa. In: International Development. IntechOpen; 2017. doi:10.5772/67110
- Stadlmayr B, Charrondière UR, Burlingame B. Development of a regional food composition table for West Africa. *Food Chem*. 2013;140(3):443-446. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.09.107
- Baingana RK. The need for food composition data in Uganda. J Food Compost Anal. 2004;17(3):501-507. doi:10.1016/j.jfca.2004.03.012
- 132. de Bruyn J, Ferguson E, Allman-Farinelli M, et al. Food composition tables in resource-poor settings: exploring current limitations and opportunities, with a focus on animal-source foods in sub-Saharan Africa. Br J Nutr. 2016;116(10):1-11. doi:10.1017/s0007114516003706
- 133. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations/Government of Kenya. Kenya Food Composition Tables. Nairobi: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, The Ministry of Health, Republic of Kenya and The Ministry of Agriculture and Irrigation, Republic of Kenya; 2018.
- Greenfield H, Southgate DA. Food Composition Data: Production, Management and Use. Food and Agriculture Organization; 2003.
- 135. Pivk Kupirovič U, Miklavec K, Hribar M, Kušar A, Žmitek K, Pravst I. Nutrient profiling is needed to improve the nutritional quality of the foods labelled with health-related claims. *Nutrients*. 2019;11(2). doi:10.3390/ nu11020287
- Stadlmayr B, Charrondiere U, Enujiugha V, et al. West African Food Composition Table/Table De composition Des Aliments D'afrique De L'ouest. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2012.
- 137. Boyland EJ, Nolan S, Kelly B, et al. Advertising as a cue to consume: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the effects of acute exposure to unhealthy food and nonalcoholic beverage advertising on intake in children and adults. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2016;103(2):519-533. doi:10.3945/ ajcn.115.120022
- Cairns G, Angus K, Hastings G, Caraher M. Systematic reviews of the evidence on the nature, extent and effects of food marketing to children. A retrospective summary. *Appetite*. 2013;62:209-215. doi:10.1016/j. appet.2012.04.017
- Galbraith-Emami S, Lobstein T. The impact of initiatives to limit the advertising of food and beverage products to children: a systematic review. Obes Rev. 2013;14(12):960-974. doi:10.1111/obr.12060
- 140. Hastings G, Stead M, McDermott L, et al. Does Food Promotion Influence Children? A Systematic Review of the Evidence. London: Food Standards Agency; 2003.
- 141. Hastings G, Stead M, McDermott L. From the billboard to the school canteen: how food promotion influences children. *Educ Rev.* 2004;17(2):17-23.
- 142. Hawkes C. Regulating and litigating in the public interest: regulating food marketing to young people worldwide: trends and policy drivers. Am J Public Health. 2007;97(11):1962-1973. doi:10.2105/ajph.2006.101162
- 143. Sadeghirad B, Duhaney T, Motaghipisheh S, Campbell NR, Johnston BC. Influence of unhealthy food and beverage marketing on children's dietary intake and preference: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Obes Rev.* 2016;17(10):945-959. doi:10.1111/ obr.12445
- 144. Kumi IA. Healthiness of Foods and Beverages Advertised on Ghanaian Television Programmes Targeting Children [dissertation]. University of Ghana; 2018.
- 145. Laar A, Barnes A, Tandoh A, et al. Benchmarking Ghana's Food Environment Policies Against International Examples and Progress Within Development Cycle: The Ghana Healthy Food Environment Policy Index (Food-EPI) Evidence Paper. Accra, Ghana: September 2018.
- Freidberg S, Goldstein L. Alternative food in the global south: reflections on a direct marketing initiative in Kenya. J Rural Stud. 2011;27(1):24-34.

doi:10.1016/j.jrurstud.2010.07.003

- 147. Advertising Standards Body of Kenya. Code of Advertising Practice and Direct Marketing. Advertising Standards Body of Kenya; 2003.
- Bannon K, Schwartz MB. Impact of nutrition messages on children's food choice: pilot study. *Appetite*. 2006;46(2):124-129. doi:10.1016/j. appet.2005.10.009
- Collier N, Boedhihartono AK, Sayer J. Indigenous Livelihoods and the Global Environment: Understanding Relationships. In: 18th World IMACS / MODSIM Congress; July 13-17, 2009; Cairns, Australia.
- 150. Food and Drugs Authority (FDA). *Guidelines for the Advertisement of Foods Accra Ghana*. FDA; 2013.
- Garde A, Xuereb G. The WHO recommendations on the marketing of food and non-alcoholic beverages to children. *Eur J Risk Regul.* 2017;8(2):211-223. doi:10.1017/err.2017.20
- 152. Green MA, Pradeilles R, Laar A, et al. Investigating foods and beverages sold and advertised in deprived urban neighbourhoods in Ghana and Kenya: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open.* 2020;10(6):e035680. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2019-035680
- Hastings G, McDermott L, Angus K, Stead M, Thomson S. The Extent, Nature and Effects of Food Promotion to Children: A Review of The Evidence. WHO; 2007.
- Hawkes C, Lobstein T. Regulating the commercial promotion of food to children: a survey of actions worldwide. *Int J Pediatr Obes*. 2011;6(2):83-94. doi:10.3109/17477166.2010.486836
- 155. Hayford FEA, Issah R, Ainuson-Quampah J. Association between dietary habits, body composition indices and HbA1c of adult type-2 diabetes patients attending routine out-patient clinic review: a cross-sectional study. Ann Nutr Metab. 2017;71(Suppl 2):918. doi:10.1159/000480486
- Kelly B, King L, Baur L, et al. Monitoring food and non-alcoholic beverage promotions to children. *Obes Rev.* 2013;14 Suppl 1:59-69. doi:10.1111/obr.12076
- 157. Laar AK, Aryeetey RNO, Annan R, et al. Contribution of scaling up nutrition Academic Platforms to nutrition capacity strengthening in Africa: local efforts, continental prospects and challenges. *Proc Nutr Soc.* 2017;76(4):524-534. doi:10.1017/s0029665117001124
- Ministry of Health. Policy for the Prevention and Control of Non-Communicable Diseases in Ghana 2020. Accra, Ghana: Ministry of Health; 2020.
- 159. Muthuri SK, Onywera VO, Tremblay MS, et al. Relationships between parental education and overweight with childhood overweight and physical activity in 9-11 year old children: results from a 12-country study. *PLoS One.* 2016;11(8):e0147746. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147746
- Reeve B. Self regulation of food advertising to children: an effective tool for improving the food marketing environment. *Monash Univ Law Rev.* 2016;42:419.
- Reeve E, Thow AM, Bell C, et al. Implementation lessons for school food policies and marketing restrictions in the Philippines: a qualitative policy analysis. *Global Health*. 2018;14(1):8. doi:10.1186/s12992-017-0320-y
- 162. Sharma LL, Teret SP, Brownell KD. The food industry and self-regulation: standards to promote success and to avoid public health failures. *Am J Public Health*. 2010;100(2):240-246. doi:10.2105/ajph.2009.160960
- Smith R, Kelly B, Yeatman H, Boyland E. Food marketing influences children's attitudes, preferences and consumption: a systematic critical review. *Nutrients*. 2019;11(4):875. doi:10.3390/nu11040875
- 164. World Health Organization (WHO). Noncommunicable Diseases: Progress Monitor 2020. Geneva: WHO; 2020.
- 165. Abizari AR, Buxton C, Kwara L, Mensah-Homiah J, Armar-Klemesu M, Brouwer ID. School feeding contributes to micronutrient adequacy of Ghanaian schoolchildren. *Br J Nutr.* 2014;112(6):1019-1033. doi:10.1017/s0007114514001585
- 166. Amidu N, Owiredu WKBA, Saaka M, et al. Determinants of childhood obesity among basic school children aged 6-12 years in Tamale Metropolis. J Med Biomed Sci. 2013;2(3):26-34. doi:10.4314/jmbs. v2i3.5
- 167. Awojobi ON. A systematic review of the impact of Ghana's school feeding programme on educational and nutritional outcomes. *Agro-Science*. 2019;18(2):42-50. doi:10.4314/as.v18i2.8
- Bosu WK. A comprehensive review of the policy and programmatic response to chronic non-communicable disease in Ghana. *Ghana Med J.* 2012;46(2 Suppl):69-78.
- 169. Ernst & Young. End of Programme Evaluation for the Ghana School

Feeding Programme. Accra, Ghana: Ernst & Young; 2012.

- 170. Fernandes M, Folson G, Aurino E, Gelli A. A free lunch or a walk back home? the school food environment and dietary behaviours among children and adolescents in Ghana. *Food Secur.* 2017;9:1073-1090. doi:10.1007/s12571-017-0712-0
- 171. Gelli A, Masset E, Folson G, et al. Evaluation of alternative school feeding models on nutrition, education, agriculture and other social outcomes in Ghana: rationale, randomised design and baseline data. *Trials.* 2016;17:37. doi:10.1186/s13063-015-1116-0
- 172. Ghana School Feeding Programme (GSFP). Programme Document 2007-2010. Accra, Ghana: GSFP; 2006.
- 173. Netherlands Development Organisation. Food for Development: An inventory of the implementation of the Ghana Schoolfeeding Programme in Northern, Upper East, Volta, Central, and Western Region. December 2007.
- 174. Khatete I, Pendo S, Oyabi J. School feeding program and pupils' participation in primary schools in Kenya. A study of Taita Taveta and Nairobi districts. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Educational Research* and Policy Studies. 2013;4(6):895-900.
- 175. Kigaru DMD, Loechl C, Moleah T, Macharia-Mutie CW, Ndungu ZW. Nutrition knowledge, attitude and practices among urban primary school children in Nairobi city, Kenya: a KAP study. *BMC Nutr.* 2015;1(1):44. doi:10.1186/s40795-015-0040-8
- 176. Kimwele AM, Ochola SA, Mugambi MN. School Feeding Programmes, National Food Policies, Action Plan and Strategies on School Meals and Food Security Among School Children in Kenya (Review). Machakos, Kenya: Machakos University; 2019.
- Kyallo F, Makokha A, Mwangi AM. Overweight and obesity among public and private primary school children in Nairobi, Kenya. *Health*. 2013;5(8):85-90. doi:10.4236/health.2013.58a3012
- 178. Mohamed AO. Influence of feeding programs on the participation of learners at early childhood development education institutions: a case of Bungoma South district. *Int Acad J Soc Sci Educ.* 2015;1(4):1-14.
- 179. Muthuri SK, Wachira LJ, Onywera VO, Tremblay MS. Correlates of objectively measured overweight/obesity and physical activity in Kenyan school children: results from ISCOLE-Kenya. *BMC Public Health.* 2014;14:436. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-14-436
- Langinger N. School feeding programs in Kenya: transitioning to a homegrown approach. *Stanford Journal of International Relations*. 2011;13(1):30-37.
- Walingo MK, Ekesa BN. Nutrient intake, morbidity and nutritional status of preschool children are influenced by agricultural and dietary diversity in Western Kenya. *Pak J Nutr.* 2013;12(9):854-859. doi:10.3923/ pjn.2013.854.859
- Walingo MK, Musamali B. Nutrient intake and nutritional status indicators of participant and nonparticipant pupils of a parent-supported school lunch program in Kenya. *J Nutr Educ Behav.* 2008;40(5):298-304. doi:10.1016/j.jneb.2008.04.353
- Engler-Stringer R, Le H, Gerrard A, Muhajarine N. The community and consumer food environment and children's diet: a systematic review. BMC Public Health. 2014;14:522. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-14-522
- 184. Jaime PC, Lock K. Do school based food and nutrition policies improve diet and reduce obesity? *Prev Med.* 2009;48(1):45-53. doi:10.1016/j. ypmed.2008.10.018
- Ochola S, Masibo PK. Dietary intake of schoolchildren and adolescents in developing countries. *Ann Nutr Metab.* 2014;64 Suppl 2:24-40. doi:10.1159/000365125
- African Union. The New Partnership for Africa's Development (NEPAD): Health Strategy. Addis Ababa: African Union; 2007.
- Buhl A. Meeting Nutritional Needs Through School Feeding: A Snapshot of Four African Nations. Global Child Nutrition Foundation; 2010.
- Bundy D, Burbano C, Grosh M, Gelli A, Jukes M, Drake L. Rethinking School Feeding: Social Safety Nets, Child Development, and the Education Sector. Washington, DC: The World Bank; 2009.
- Sumberg J, Sabates-Wheeler R. Linking agricultural development to school feeding in sub-Saharan Africa: theoretical perspectives. *Food Policy*. 2011;36(3):341-349. doi:10.1016/j.foodpol.2011.03.001
- Gleason P, Suitor C. Food for Thought: Children's Diets in the 1990s. Princeton, NJ: Mathematica Policy Research; 2001.
- Gorski MT, Roberto CA. Public health policies to encourage healthy eating habits: recent perspectives. *J Healthc Leadersh*. 2015;7:81-90. doi:10.2147/jhl.s69188

- 192. Skar M, Kirstein E, Kapur A. Lessons learnt from school-based health promotion projects in low- and middle-income countries. *Child Care Health Dev.* 2015;41(6):1114-1123. doi:10.1111/cch.12231
- 193. Vepsäläinen H, Mikkilä V, Erkkola M, et al. Association between home and school food environments and dietary patterns among 9-11-yearold children in 12 countries. *Int J Obes Suppl.* 2015;5(Suppl 2):S66-73. doi:10.1038/ijosup.2015.22
- 194. Hawkes C, Smith TG, Jewell J, et al. Smart food policies for obesity prevention. *Lancet*. 2015;385(9985):2410-2421. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(14)61745-1
- 195. Bazza T. Morocco to Cancel Increased Soft Drink Taxes Under Manufacturer Pressure. *Morocco World News*. December 3, 2018. https://www.moroccoworldnews.com/2018/12/259398/morocco-taxessoft-drink/. Accessed August 1, 2021.
- Du M, Tugendhaft A, Erzse A, Hofman KJ. Sugar-sweetened beverage taxes: industry response and tactics. *Yale J Biol Med.* 2018;91(2):185-190.
- 197. Gupta S, Tutu RA, Boateng J, Busingye JD, Elavarthi S. Self-reported functional, communicative, and critical health literacy on foodborne diseases in Accra, Ghana. *Trop Med Health*. 2018;46:15. doi:10.1186/ s41182-018-0097-6
- Lim GY. One Year on: Morocco Still Debating Introducing Sugar Tax on Soft Drinks. Food Navigator (Asia); 2019.
- 199. Pelletier D, Gervais S, Hafeez-Ur-Rehman H, Sanou D, Tumwine J. Boundary-spanning actors in complex adaptive governance systems: the case of multisectoral nutrition. *Int J Health Plann Manage*. 2018;33(1):e293-e319. doi:10.1002/hpm.2468