



Correspondence

Praxis, Power, and Processes: Youth Participation in Health Policy – A Response to Recent Commentaries



Tanya Jacobs^{*®}, Asha George[®]

*Correspondence to: Tanya Jacobs, Email: tanyaj@iafrica.com

Copyright: © 2024 The Author(s); Published by Kerman University of Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Jacobs T, George A. Praxis, power, and processes: youth participation in health policy – a response to recent commentaries . *Int J Health Policy Manag.* 2024;13:8567. doi:10.34172/ijhpm.2024.8567

Received: 21 April 2024; Accepted: 1 May 2024; ePublished: 21 May 2024

e are appreciative of the opportunity to further engage in critical discussions and to advance the knowledge on youth participation in health policy processes, by providing a response to commentaries on our paper, "Between rhetoric and reality: learnings from youth participation in the Adolescent and Youth Health Policy (AYHP) in South Africa."¹

The commentaries by Njelesani & Hunleth², Prati & Albaseni,³ and O'Connell & Botchway⁴ all add valuable reflections and contributions in addressing the gap between rhetoric and reality of youth participation, the key theme explored in our paper. We highlight the main threads across our paper and the three commentaries in the sections below focusing on interrelated elements of praxis, power and policy processes.

Praxis – Applying Theoretical Approaches, Frameworks and Disciplinary Lenses

A key thread across the commentaries is the need to unpack and analyse key concepts and buzzwords such as "participation," "youth," and "meaningful youth participation" that are sometimes used in uncritical ways in both global and national discourses related to youth participation across key challenges such as health, climate change and working towards gender equality. Further, policy discourses about youth can be somewhat contradictory, constructing young people simultaneously as both 'a risk' to social cohesion and democracy and "a solution" to "wicked problems."

Moving beyond rhetoric will require that these terms and buzzwords be critically examined as part of our praxis, both in terms of definitions and strategies to integrate them in policy processes. We agree with the key insights and reflections highlighted by the commentaries, for example Njelesani and Hunleth² provide insights on how our conceptual framework can be used to advance youth participation to inform equitable health policies, including the inclusion of youth with intersecting identities, such as those living with disabilities. In addition, they make contributions by juxtaposing questions from their Reflective Guide to the list of prospective questions to guide youth participation in policy processes from our paper, to delve even deeper into issues of meaningful inclusion in research and policy development within the contexts in which young people live.

In terms of praxis ie, applying theories and frameworks from a range of disciplines, we advocate for building on existing scholarship to advance the field, as we were inspired by the opportunity to expand and build on the conceptual framework of Cahil and Dadvand,⁵ synergized from fields of feminist, post-structural and critical theory, as well as youth studies and citizenship research into youth participation. As scholars grounded in Health Policy and Systems Research our contribution foregrounded the interactions, frameworks, and ideas about policy processes.⁶⁻¹⁰ We explored the dynamic relationships which exist between policy contexts, actors, content, and processes as part of our analysis of AYHPs in South Africa, with the disconnect between rhetoric and reality of youth participation emerging as a key finding, the catalyst for the paper.

Also, as noted by Prati and Albanseni an understanding of literatures on "transformative partnerships"¹¹ and "coproduction" will be helpful in studying ways of youth participation that might not be recognized by conventional understandings of participation and in theories and frameworks from youth studies. A cross-cutting message across our work is that to further advance the scholarship and praxis related to youth participation in health policy we need to engage and synergize a range of disciplines focusing on policy processes that facilitate meaningful participation, as well as actor and power analyses.¹²⁻¹⁴

Power

A second theme illustrated in our paper as well as the commentaries is that of power, and that the work of youth participation is not merely technical and a tick-box exercise, but deeply political, because it has to do with power relations at many different levels. Our paper as well as the commentaries, highlight the importance of understanding power relations embedded in social and political contexts and how these shape participation processes. Firstly, we appreciate the points underscored by Njelesane and Hunleth² that power relations in the nature and quality of relationships between youth and adults are critical to the success of participation processes and outcomes. This requires critical reflections and transformation of relationships between youth and adults and the alignment of agendas should be all our business.

Secondly, youth are not homogenous and the social and structural systems of power shape both their health as well as their ability to engage with adolescent and youth policy processes, not only in South Africa but in other contexts and this remains a critical area for ongoing research. Another insightful learning is from disability studies and documented by Peta¹⁵ and Ngunyen et al,¹⁶ who describe how girls living with disabilities can participate in policy processes.

In response to the question raised by Njelesani and Hunleth as to how we included diverse youth, we did not intend to interview perspectives of representative and diverse youth and structures in the general population, but wanted to have views of diverse policy actors directly and indirectly involved in the AHYP policy development process. Intersectional systems of inequality and discrimination based on gender, race, class, ability, sexual orientation and gender identity etc are very important to foreground when engaging in debates in the context of multiple actors, power relations and inequalities.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ In addition, we agree with the learnings from youth participation in climate change as relevant to youth participation in health policy, as asserted by O'Connell and Botchway.⁴ They note that relationship building is essential, it creates intergenerational learning, and that tokenism challenges the participatory process and reinforces power relations.

A key message from our findings, as well as the commentaries, is the importance of moving to more systematic processes of routinely including the voices and agency of young people, in their full diversity, in all policies and programmes, which remains both an ambitious goal and a vexing challenge to implement in reality. This will include understanding intersectionality and applying the approaches to integrate perspectives of diverse young people as an essential component of youth participation in policy process, otherwise we just reproduce power relations.

Policy Processes – Participation as a Right

A third key theme is that participation in policy processes is a right and it should be a priority to involve youth voices as they can they make significant contributions and provide leadership in both programme and policy processes and meaningful engagement leads to healthier, more just, and equal societies.²⁰⁻²² Prati and Albanesi³ foreground critical questions on why youth participation is a right and a requirement for the sake of youth themselves, as well as policies and programmes by asking for example how we define and who is included and excluded. We agree with their call for further research on youth participation through the theoretical lens of transformative participation and unpacking adult-youth relationships, particularly youths' voice and perceptions of their experience.²³⁻²⁵

Policy processes for youth participation underscores the

necessity to strengthen capacities, necessary platforms and the training, ongoing mentorship needed, as also highlighted by others.^{26,27} As policy-makers, researchers and young people, we need to prioritize the competency gaps and determinants of youth participation to ensure sustained, deep and meaningful ways, beyond the rhetoric of a few token young people and "older" experts in policy processes. In addition to the enabling contexts and organisational architecture, our findings a well as the three commentaries reiterate the need for shifts in mindsets, paradigms, developing innovative partnerships and capacity strengthening for ethical youth engagement at national and global levels.^{28,29}

Conclusion

Policy-makers need to meaningfully engage youth in their diversity and in representative and accountable ways, in all stages and spaces of the policy-making process, as part of building youth citizenship and leadership. Looking ahead, an essential element is a mobilized, capacitated, diverse youth citizenry as important actors to ensure youth participation, and the use of available tools and resources and guidance in a reflexive manner. To bridge the gap between rhetoric and reality, we amplify the call for reimagining of new paradigms, policy processes and transformation of systems of power.

Ethical issues

This correspondence is based on an article which was part of a larger PhD research study titled: People, power and processes: a gender analysis of adolescent health policy in South Africa which has received ethical approval by the Biomedical Science Research Ethics Committee of the University of the Western Cape. Reference number: BM18/9/9.

Competing interests

Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

Conceptualization: Tanya Jacobs and Asha George. Data curation: Tanya Jacobs. Formal analysis: Tanya Jacobs and Asha George. Investigation: Tanya Jacobs and Asha George. Methodology: Tanya Jacobs and Asha George. Supervision: Tanya Jacobs Writing–original draft: Tanya Jacobs. Writing–review & editing: Tanya Jacobs and Asha George.

References

- Jacobs T, George A. Between rhetoric and reality: learnings from youth participation in the adolescent and youth health policy in South Africa. *Int J Health Policy Manag.* 2022;11(12):2927-2939. doi:10.34172/ ijhpm.2022.6387
- Njelesani J, Hunleth J. Advancing youth participation to inform equitable health policy: Comment on "Between rhetoric and reality: learnings from youth participation in the adolescent and youth health policy in South Africa." Int J Health Policy Manag. 2023;12(1):7974. doi:10.34172/ ijhpm.2023.7974
- Prati G, Albanesi C. For sake of youth and for sake of policies and programmes. Why youth participation is a right, a requirement and a value: Comment on "Between rhetoric and reality: learnings from youth participation in the adolescent and youth health policy in South Africa." *Int J Health Policy Manag.* 2023;12(1):7890. doi:10.34172/ijhpm.2023.7890
- O'Connell LK, Botchwey N. Supporting youth participation in health and climate justice through advocacy training: Comment on "Between rhetoric and reality: learnings from youth participation in the adolescent and youth health policy in South Africa." *Int J Health Policy Manag.* 2023;12(1):7898. doi:10.34172/ijhpm.2023.7898
- 5. Cahill H, Dadvand B. Re-conceptualising youth participation: a framework

Jacobs and George

to inform action. *Child Youth Serv Rev.* 2018;95:243-253. doi:10.1016/j. childyouth.2018.11.001

- Reich MR. The politics of health sector reform in developing countries: three cases of pharmaceutical policy. *Health Policy*. 1995;32(1-3):47-77. doi:10.1016/0168-8510(95)00728-b
- Walt G, Shiffman J, Schneider H, Murray SF, Brugha R, Gilson L. 'Doing' health policy analysis: methodological and conceptual reflections and challenges. *Health Policy Plan*. 2008;23(5):308-317. doi:10.1093/heapol/ czn024
- Sheikh K, George A, Gilson L. People-centred science: strengthening the practice of health policy and systems research. *Health Res Policy Syst.* 2014;12:19. doi:10.1186/1478-4505-12-19
- Gilson L, Orgill M, Shroff ZC. A Health Policy Analysis Reader: The Politics of Policy Change in Low-and Middle-Income Countries. World Health Organization; 2018. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/310886. Accessed April 20, 2022.
- Gilson L, Shroff ZC, Shung-King M. Introduction to the special issue on "analysing the politics of health policy change in low- and middle-income countries: the HPA fellowship programme 2017-2019." *Int J Health Policy Manag.* 2021;10(7):360-363. doi:10.34172/ijhpm.2021.43
- 11. Burns S. Young people as co-producers in policing across England. An evaluation of the 'youth commission' on police and crime. *Child Soc.* 2019;33(4):347-362. doi:10.1111/chso.12312
- Koduah A, van Dijk H, Agyepong IA. The role of policy actors and contextual factors in policy agenda setting and formulation: maternal fee exemption policies in Ghana over four and a half decades. *Health Res Policy Syst.* 2015;13:27. doi:10.1186/s12961-015-0016-9
- Gilson L, Hanson K, Sheikh K, Agyepong IA, Ssengooba F, Bennett S. Building the field of health policy and systems research: social science matters. *PLoS Med.* 2011;8(8):e1001079. doi:10.1371/journal. pmed.1001079
- Sriram V, Topp SM, Schaaf M, et al. 10 best resources on power in health policy and systems in low- and middle-income countries. *Health Policy Plan.* 2018;33(4):611-621. doi:10.1093/heapol/czy008
- Peta C. Yes! We are girls with disabilities and yes! We can represent ourselves in policy dialogue. *Agenda*. 2021;35(1):120-132. doi:10.1080/ 10130950.2021.1886698
- Nguyen XT, Dang TL, Mitchell C. How can girls with disabilities become activists in their own lives? Creating opportunities for policy dialogue through 'knowledge mobilisation spaces'. *Agenda*. 2021;35(1):67-79. doi: 10.1080/10130950.2020.1846276
- Kelly C, Kasperavicius D, Duncan D, et al. 'Doing' or 'using' intersectionality? Opportunities and challenges in incorporating intersectionality into knowledge translation theory and practice. Int J

- Equity Health. 2021;20(1):187. doi:10.1186/s12939-021-01509-z
 Lapalme J, Haines-Saah R, Frohlich KL. More than a buzzword: how intersectionality can advance social inequalities in health research. *Crit Public Health*. 2020;30(4):494-500. doi:10.1080/09581596.2019.1584271
- Sabik NJ. The intersectionality toolbox: a resource for teaching and applying an intersectional lens in public health. *Front Public Health*. 2021; 9:772301. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2021.772301
- Villa-Torres L, Svanemyr J. Ensuring youth's right to participation and promotion of youth leadership in the development of sexual and reproductive health policies and programs. *J Adolesc Health*. 2015;56(1 Suppl):S51-S57. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2014.07.022
- Partnership for Maternal, Newborn & Child Health (PMNCH), International Youth Alliance for Family Planning, Family Planning 2020. Global Consensus Statement Meaningful Adolescent & Youth Engagement. 2018.
- Patton GC, Olsson CA, Skirbekk V, et al. Adolescence and the next generation. *Nature*. 2018;554(7693):458-466. doi:10.1038/nature25759
- Prati G, Mazzoni D, Guarino A, Albanesi C, Cicognani E. Evaluation of an active citizenship intervention based on youth-led participatory action research. *Health Educ Behav.* 2020;47(6):894-904. doi:10.1177/1090198120948788
- Cluver L, Doubt J, Wessels I, et al. Power to participants: methodological and ethical reflections from a decade of adolescent advisory groups in South Africa. *AIDS Care*. 2021;33(7):858-866. doi:10.1080/09540121.20 20.1845289
- Aceves-Martins M, Aleman-Diaz AY, Giralt M, Solà R. Involving young people in health promotion, research and policy-making: practical recommendations. *Int J Qual Health Care*. 2019;31(2):147-153. doi:10.1093/intqhc/mzy113
- Melles MO, Ricker CL. Youth participation in HIV and sexual and reproductive health decision-making, policies, programmes: perspectives from the field. *Int J Adolesc Youth*. 2018;23(2):159-167. doi:10.1080/026 73843.2017.1317642
- Sheehan P, Sweeny K, Rasmussen B, et al. Building the foundations for sustainable development: a case for global investment in the capabilities of adolescents. *Lancet*. 2017;390(10104):1792-1806. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(17)30872-3
- Bulc B, Al-Wahdani B, Bustreo F, et al. Urgency for transformation: youth engagement in global health. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2019;7(7):e839-e840. doi:10.1016/s2214-109x(19)30221-9
- Lal A, Bulc B, Bewa MJ, et al. Changing the narrative: responsibility for youth engagement is a two-way street. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health*. 2019;3(10):673-675. doi:10.1016/s2352-4642(19)30247-0