Dear Editor,

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) emerged in Wuhan, Hubei province, China, in November 2019, and spread to multiple countries, leading the World Health Organization (WHO) to declare it a pandemic on March 11, 2020. As of June 20, 2020, 8,766,887 cases and 462,706 deaths were reported, with a case fatality rate (CFR) of 5.3% worldwide. While a crude CFR of COVID-19 in Japan was reportedly similar at 5.3% on June 20, 2020,1 the CFR reported from different regions of the world vary widely, partly due to differences in the healthcare capacity and thoroughness of the crisis response and extent and progress of the epidemic.2

Administration of real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) testing for potential COVID-19 patients and their surveillance and tracking are considered as the key response.1

In this regard, Japan’s situation is unique considering the initial intense opposition against the broad implementation of RT-PCR testing among authorities, primarily because of the reliability of RT-PCR testing. As a plausible explanation, there had been persistent concerns that the Japanese government may have intentionally limited the number of RT-PCR testing in Japan; the government initially limited testing to severe cases, returnees from abroad, and those who had come in close contact with the infected, while avoiding testing the remaining population, including asymptomatic individuals and those with mild conditions. Therefore, despite increasing recognition that an aggressive RT-PCR testing strategy is advisable with some limitations in March, it was only after Japan officially announced the postponement of Tokyo 2020 Olympic Games that RT-PCR testing increased in the country, although a causal relationship underlying this is unclear. At present, eligibility for RT-PCR is still determined by non-physician staff at local health centers after consultation from attending physicians. As a result, only 17,740 COVID-19 cases were reported as of June 20, 2020 in Japan,1 which is a relatively small number considering its population of 125.9 million people.3 Further, the number of RT-PCR testing in Japan (2.63/1000 persons) remained considerably lower than the average number of PCR testing in the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries (60.56/1000 persons) from June 5 to 13 (data from France and South Korea are not available).4

To discuss the appropriate number of RT-PCR testing, broader contexts of this topic should be recognized. For example, the case of quarantine imposed on the cruise ship “Diamond Princess,” off the coast of Japan for 14 days (from February 5 to 19, 2020), could provide important information regarding the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic,5,6 considering that a large proportion of the 3,711 cruise ship passengers and crew members underwent RT-PCR testing for COVID-19 (3,011 respiratory specimens, with the exact number of people who underwent the test unknown), and those infected were rigorously tracked.7,8 Notably, approximately half of the passengers and crew members who tested positive for COVID-19 in the Diamond Princess were asymptomatic. According to the latest Japanese government report, there were 712 RT-PCR-confirmed cases (19.2% of the 3,711 persons onboard) by April 30, 2020, of whom only 381 (53.5%) were symptomatic, while 331 (46.4%) were asymptomatic.7

This finding was similar to the finding reported in a recent article that reviewed the available evidence on asymptomatic severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection, in which asymptomatic persons presumably accounted for approximately 40% to 45% of SARS-CoV-2 infections,9 suggesting that the virus can spread...
silently and widely through human populations. These findings are in line with the current notion that a considerable proportion of COVID-19 patients remain asymptomatic before developing typical symptoms following the natural course of the infection. Therefore, an isolation strategy only for laboratory-confirmed cases with mild to severe symptoms is inadequate for containment. To ensure the security of high-risk populations (the elderly and those with comorbidities),\textsuperscript{10} it is imperative to thoroughly enact countermeasures, such as social distancing, quarantine, and isolation, against the spread of COVID-19, including among asymptomatic and pre-symptomatic individuals.\textsuperscript{11}

Further, among the passengers and crew members with a confirmed infection, at least 13 deaths (1.8%) were recorded.\textsuperscript{8} Among the deceased passengers, five (38.5%) and four (30.8%) were reportedly in their 70s and 80s, respectively (the ages of the remaining three [23.1%] are unknown), according to the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Crude CFR of the passengers and crew members aboard the Diamond Princess was similar to that of Asian countries, such as South Korea, Thailand, and Malaysia. In South Korea, which set up an extensive testing program for COVID-19, the updated CFR was reported to be 2.3\% (280/12,373, as of June 20, 2020).\textsuperscript{1} Likewise, CFRs of COVID-19 in Malaysia and Thailand were reported to be 1.4\% and 1.8\% (as of June 20, 2020), respectively.\textsuperscript{1}

As such, we should recognize that the CFR on the cruise ship with a sufficient number of RT-PCR testing was much lower than that across Japan (5.3\%).\textsuperscript{12} On Diamond Princess, all passengers were subjected to RT-PCR testing 6 days after the start of quarantine. By contrast, as shown in the OECD survey results, RT-PCR testing had not been fully implemented in Japan as of May 2020, and there could be many undiagnosed cases.\textsuperscript{13} The hypothesis can be underpinned by an estimate of excess death, which is often used to evaluate whether influenza and pneumonia deaths have increased as a result of a flu epidemic or not.\textsuperscript{14} Surprisingly, Japan has seen an increase in deaths (1056 persons) from March 1 to April 1, 2020 despite the absence of an influenza epidemic this season, suggesting that it was likely because of undiagnosed COVID-19 cases.\textsuperscript{15-17}

It is noteworthy that two novel laboratory examinations (antigen testing using serum sample and RT-PCR testing using saliva sample) were officially introduced in June as alternative methods to RT-PCR using nasopharynx sample in Japan. Still, it is useless unless those novel methods are widely applied to contain the pandemic. To effectively prevent COVID-19 spread, performing more RT-PCR testing or other testing for potentially infected people is crucial, and we call for further discussions in academic fields on this matter. Therefore, despite decreasing dangers of the COVID-19 pandemic in Japan, the relevant stakeholders in the country should be aware of the lessons learned from Diamond Princess and other countries.
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