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## Supplementary file 1

Table S1. Multivariate logistic regression analysis with missing values of address.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Multivariate analysis ( } \mathrm{N}=5,155 \text { ) } \\
\text { Adjusted OR }(95 \% \mathrm{CI}) \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
$$

## Gender

Male
Female
Ref.

## Age

$$
0.70(0.61-0.80) * *
$$

## Address

Phnom Penh
Ref.
Kandal
0.94 (0.60-1.47)

Close to Kandal
Other
$1.80(1.33-2.42)$ **

Unknown
$2.02(1.50-2.73)$ **

## Time

8:00-11:50
12:00-17:59
18:00-23:59
0:00-7:59

$$
1.15(0.97-1.36)
$$

## Season

Rainy season
Ref.
Dry season
0.91 (0.79-1.05)

* $\mathrm{P}<0.05$, ** $\mathrm{P}<0.01$
$\mathrm{OR}=$ Odds ratio
$\mathrm{CI}=$ Confidence interval

Table S2. Patients characteristics by gender groups. $\quad(\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{6}, 167)$

|  | Male $(\mathrm{n}=3,205)$ | Female $(\mathrm{n}=2,962)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Age (Median, [interquartile range]) | $58[37-73]$ | $60[36-73]$ |
| Insurance |  |  |
| Yes | $20(0.62)$ | $18(0.61)$ |
| No | $3185(99.38)$ | $2944(99.39)$ |
| Next plan classification $(\mathrm{n}=5,156) * *$ |  |  |
| Consultation finish | $1423(53.64)$ | $1431(57.17)$ |
| Revisit | $535(20.17)$ | $543(21.69)$ |
| Admission, transfer | $695(26.20)$ | $529(21.13)$ |
| Time |  | $426(14.38)$ |
| $0: 00-7: 59$ | $498(15.54)$ | $426(14.38)$ |
| 8:00-11:50 | $457(14.26)$ | $830(28.02)$ |
| 12:00-17:59 | $879(27.43)$ | $1280(43.21)$ |
| 18:00-23:59 | $1371(42.78)$ |  |
| Season |  | $1672(56.45)$ |
| Rainy season | $1823(56.88)$ | $1290(43.55)$ |
| Dry season | $1382(43.12)$ | $207.23[100.41-339.20]$ |
| Out of Pocket Payment (Median, [interquartile range]) $* *$ | $230.80[101.82-374.47]$ |  |

* $\mathrm{P}<0.05$, ** $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ with Chi-squire test or Mann-Whitney U test

Table S3. Multivariate logistic regression analysis to determine whether the address area affects to the admission rate.

|  | Univariate analysis <br> Unadjusted OR (95\% CI) | Multivariate analysis ( $\mathrm{N}=1,910$ ) Unadjusted OR (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender |  |  |
| Male | Ref. | Ref. |
| Female | 0.75 (0.66-0.86) ** | 0.60 (0.50-0.75) ** |
| Age | 1.03 (1,03-1.03) ** | $1.03(1.03-1.04) * *$ |
| Address |  |  |
| Area 1 | Ref. | Ref. |
| Area 2 | 1.20 (0.78-1.84) | 0.92 (0.59-1.44) |
| Area 3 | 1.92 (1.45-2.55) ** | 1.81 (1.34-2.44) ** |
| Area 4 | 2.25 (1.70-3.00) ** | 2.10 (1.55-2.84) ** |
| Time |  |  |
| 8:00-11:50 | Ref. | Ref. |
| 12:00-17:59 | 3.55 (2.74-4.60) ** | 2.18 (1.40-3.39) ** |
| 18:00-23:59 | $3.07(2.39-3.95)$ ** | 3.08 (2.01-4.72) ** |
| 0:00-7:59 | $2.45(1.84-3.27) * *$ | 3.20 (1.99-5.16) ** |
| Season |  |  |
| Rainy season | Ref. | Ref. |
| Dry season | 0.89 (0.78-1.01) | 0.91 (0.72-1.14) |

* P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01

OR = Odds ratio
$\mathrm{CI}=$ Confidence interval

