

Article title: The Maritime SPOR SUPPORT Unit (MSSU) Bridge Process: An Integrated Knowledge Translation Approach to Address Priority Health Issues and Increase Collaborative Research in Nova Scotia, Canada

Journal name: International Journal of Health Policy and Management (IJHPM)

Authors' information: Julia Kontak^{1*}, Amy Grant¹, Elizabeth Jeffers¹, Leah Boulos¹, Juanna Ricketts¹, Michael Davies², Marina Hamilton¹, Jill A. Hayden³

¹Maritime SPOR SUPPORT Unit, Research and Innovation, Nova Scotia Health, Halifax, NS, Canada.

²Nova Scotia Department of Health and Wellness, Halifax, NS, Canada.

³Department of Community Health & Epidemiology, Faculty of Medicine, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada.

(*Corresponding author: Julia.Kontak@dal.ca)

Supplementary file 3. MSSU Bridge Event Evaluation Survey Draft

MSSU Bridge Event Follow-up Survey:

Thank you for attending the MSSU Bridge Event. We would greatly appreciate if you could take the time to complete the following evaluation of this event:

General:

1. Which role best describes you?
 - i. Researcher
 - ii. Policy-maker
 - iii. Healthcare provider
 - iv. Patient, Caregiver, or Citizen
 - v. Other
2. Which break-out session topic did you participate in?
3. How did you hear about this session?
 - i. Email invitation
 - ii. Referred by a colleague
 - iii. Referred by MSSU staff
 - iv. Other

Event Organization:

For questions 4-8 below, write and circle the appropriate number to the right of the text.	<i>1 Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>3 Neither Agree nor Disagree</i>	<i>5 Strongly Agree</i>
4. The organization of this event, with a one half-day session, was effective.			
5. There was enough time for discussion / individual participation in my group.			
6. The approach to the facilitated discussions was effective, as a means of identifying gaps and policy needs for a specific topic area.			
7. Patients/citizens were actively engaged and represented in the group discussion.			
8. There was enough time for networking with others during this event			

Event Venue:

For questions 9-10 below, write and circle the appropriate number to the right of the text.	<i>1 Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>3 Neither Agree nor Disagree</i>	<i>5 Strongly Agree</i>
9. The venue was satisfactory for the purpose of this event.			
10. The set-up of one large room was conducive to this event and the small group discussions.			

11. Please provide any further comments you may have regarding the venue below:

<p><i>Insert your response here.</i></p>
--

Event Objectives:

For question 12 below, write and circle the appropriate number to the right of the text.	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>5</i>
12. As a result of this event:	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Neither Agree nor Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. I have engaged with researchers, healthcare providers, decision-makers, and/or patients/citizens I otherwise would not have met. ii. I have a greater understanding of the gaps and policy needs in the specific topic areas presented today. 			
Please consider the following statements and select the appropriate response.	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>5</i>
13. The Bridge Event is an effective mechanism to initiate new collaborations/partnerships	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<i>Neither Agree nor Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>
14. The Bridge Event is an effective mechanism to support health policy and/or practice change			

Please complete the following question to share your feedback on this event:

15. Overall evaluation of this session:

Overall, I felt the day to be...				
Unsatisfactory		Average		Outstanding
1	2	3	4	5

16. Would you attend a Bridge Event again?

Please explain why or why not.

Please explain why or why not.

17. Would you recommend this event to a colleague? Why or why not?

Please explain why or why not.

18. Please provide any general comments / feedback on this event.

Please answer here.