

Article title: Understanding Heterogeneous Drug Procurement Behaviour of Healthcare Institutions Under Pooled Procurement: Evidence From China

Journal name: International Journal of Health Policy and Management (IJHPM)

Authors' information: Boya Zhao, Zhao Cheng, Xing Lin Feng*

School of Public Health, Peking University, Beijing, China.

***Correspondence to:** Xing Lin Feng; Email: fxl@bjmu.edu.cn

Citation: Zhao B, Cheng Z, Feng XL. Understanding heterogeneous drug procurement behaviour of healthcare institutions under pooled procurement: evidence from China. Int J Health Policy Manag. 2026;15:9372. doi:[10.34172/ijhpm.9372](https://doi.org/10.34172/ijhpm.9372)

Supplementary file 1

List of contents

| | |
|--|---|
| Table S1. International Nonproprietary Names of NVBP-Covered Medicines and Corresponding Alternative Medicines | 2 |
| Table S2. ITS Regression Results for Procurement Volume (DDDs) in the main analyses..... | 4 |
| Table S3. ITS Regression Results for Procurement Expenditures (CNY) in the main analyses | 4 |
| Table S4. Descriptive Statistics of Institutional Subgroups by Pre-Policy Bid-Winning Share | 5 |
| Table S5. ITS Regression Results for Procurement Volume of Bid-Winning Products by Pre-Policy Bid-Winning Share (Five-Level Stratification) | 5 |
| Table S6. ITS Regression Results for Procurement Expenditure of Bid-Winning Products by Pre-Policy Bid-Winning Share (Five-Level Stratification) | 6 |
| Table S7. Post-Policy Bid-winning Share for Institutional Subgroups Stratified by Pre-Policy Bid-Winning Share | 6 |
| Table S8. Sensitivity Analyses: ITS Regression Results on Bid-Winning Product Procurement by Pre-Policy Bid-Winning Share (Three-Level Stratification) | 7 |
| Table S9. Sensitivity Analyses: ITS Regression Results on Bid-Winning Product Procurement by Pre-Policy Bid-Winning Share (Median split) | 8 |
| Table S10. Sensitivity Analyses: ITS Regression Results on Bid-Winning Product Procurement by Pre-Policy Bid-Winning Share (Mean split)..... | 8 |

Table S1. International Nonproprietary Names of NVBP-Covered Medicines and Corresponding Alternative Medicines

| INN of NVBP-covered Drugs (25) | Alternative Drugs (71) |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Atorvastatin (Oral) | Pravastatin, Fluvastatin, Simvastatin, Lovastatin, XueZhiKang, ZhiBiTai |
| Rosuvastatin (Oral) | Pravastatin, Fluvastatin, Simvastatin, Lovastatin, XueZhiKang, ZhiBiTai |
| Clopidogrel (Oral) | Aspirin, Cilostazol |
| Irbesartan (Oral) | Telmisartan, Azilsartan Medoxomil, Olmesartan Medoxomil/Amlodipine, Valsartan/Amlodipine, Olmesartan Medoxomil/Hydrochlorothiazide, Losartan Potassium/Hydrochlorothiazide, Telmisartan/Hydrochlorothiazide, Valsartan/Hydrochlorothiazide, Candesartan Cilexetil/Hydrochlorothiazide |
| Amlodipine (Oral) | Felodipine, Nifedipine, Amlodipine/Atorvastatin, Amlodipine/Benazepril, Olmesartan Medoxomil/Amlodipine, Valsartan/Amlodipine |

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Entecavir (Oral) | Tenofovir Alafenamide Fumarate, Lamivudine, Telbivudine |
| Escitalopram (Oral) | Fluvoxamine, Bupropion, Trazodone |
| Paroxetine (Oral) | Fluvoxamine, Bupropion, Trazodone |
| Olanzapine (Oral) | Paliperidone, Clozapine, Aripiprazole, Amisulpride, Loxapine Succinate, Haloperidol, Perphenazine Decanoate, Chlorpromazine, Ziprasidone |
| Cefuroxime (Oral) | Cefaclor, Cephalexin, Cefprozil, Cefotiam, Cefixime, Cefadroxil |
| Risperidone (Oral) | Aripiprazole, Amisulpride, Loxapine Succinate, Perphenazine, Haloperidol, Perphenazine Decanoate, Chlorprothixene, Paliperidone, Sulpiride, Fluphenazine, Chlorpromazine, Ziprasidone |
| Gefitinib (Oral) | Icotinib, Erlotinib, Afatinib, Osimertinib |
| Fosinopril (Oral) | Benazepril, Perindopril, Amlodipine/Benazepril, Enalapril/Folic acid, Perindopril/Indapamide |
| Irbesartan/Hydrochlorothiazide (Oral) | Olmesartan Medoxomil/Hydrochlorothiazide, Losartan Potassium/Hydrochlorothiazide, Telmisartan/Hydrochlorothiazide, Valsartan/Hydrochlorothiazide, Candesartan Cilexetil/Hydrochlorothiazide, Telmisartan, Azilsartan Medoxomil, Olmesartan Medoxomil/Amlodipine, Valsartan/Amlodipine |
| Lisinopril (Oral) | Benazepril, Perindopril, Amlodipine/Benazepril, Enalapril/Folic acid, Perindopril/Indapamide |
| Tenofovir disoproxil (Oral) | Tenofovir Alafenamide Fumarate, Lamivudine, Telbivudine |
| Losartan (Oral) | Telmisartan, Azilsartan Medoxomil, Olmesartan Medoxomil/Amlodipine, Valsartan/Amlodipine, Olmesartan Medoxomil/Hydrochlorothiazide, Losartan Potassium/Hydrochlorothiazide, Candesartan Cilexetil/Hydrochlorothiazide |
| Enalapril (Oral) | Benazepril, Perindopril, Amlodipine/Benazepril, Enalapril/Folic acid, Perindopril/Indapamide |
| Levetiracetam (Oral) | Oxcarbazepine, Magnesium Valproate, Sodium Valproate, Carbamazepine, Lamotrigine, Topiramate |
| Imatinib (Oral) | Nilotinib, Dasatinib |
| Montelukast (Oral) | Pemrolast, Pranlukast, Cetirizine |
| Montmorillonite (Oral) | Loperamide, Tannalbin |

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Pemetrexed (Injectable) | Piroxicam, Diclofenac, |
| Flurbiprofen axetil (Injectable) | Ketorolac Tromethamine, Indomethacin |
| Dexmedetomidine (Injectable) | |

Note:

1. Alternative drugs that were listed as NVBP-covered drugs in the second or third round of the National Volume-Based Procurement(NVBP) were excluded to avoid potential confounding effects from overlapping policy interventions.

2. XueZhiKang, ZhiBiTuo and ZhiBiTai are Chinese traditional medicines.

Table S2. ITS Regression Results for Procurement Volume (DDDs) in the main analyses

| | NVBP-covered medicines | | | Alternative medicines |
|-----------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | Bid-winning products | Non-winning products | Total | Total |
| Constant | 15.89*** (0.204) | 18.37*** (0.0686) | 18.59*** (0.0798) | 18.73*** (0.0907) |
| T_t | 0.0306 (0.0250) | 0.0105** (0.00505) | 0.0140** (0.00643) | 0.00867 (0.00606) |
| X_t | 2.606*** (0.428) | -1.185*** (0.174) | 0.517*** (0.150) | -0.0489 (0.125) |
| $X_t T_t$ | -0.0470 (0.0298) | -0.0222 (0.0202) | -0.0304* (0.0174) | -0.00177 (0.0176) |

Note: Standard errors in parentheses. *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively. The same applies below.

Table S3. ITS Regression Results for Procurement Expenditures (CNY) in the main analyses

| | NVBP-covered medicines | | | Alternative medicines |
|-----------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | Bid-winning products | Non-winning products | Total | Total |
| Constant | 18.10*** (0.0876) | 20.14*** (0.0751) | 20.38*** (0.0682) | 19.46*** (0.0831) |
| T_t | 0.0164** (0.00812) | 0.0132** (0.00555) | 0.0115** (0.00476) | 0.0190*** (0.00553) |
| X_t | 0.416* (0.215) | -1.062*** (0.211) | -0.751*** (0.189) | -0.0224 (0.125) |
| $X_t T_t$ | -0.00767 (0.0251) | -0.0585** (0.0274) | -0.0330 (0.0249) | -0.0168 (0.0167) |

Table S4. Descriptive Statistics of Institutional Subgroups by Pre-Policy Bid-Winning Share

| Subgroup | Numbers of institutions | Pre-Policy Bid-Winning Share | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|
| | | Mean | Std. Dev. | Min | Max |
| Binary Stratification (Median split) | | | | | |
| Low Share Subgroup | 27,071 | 0.0666 | 0.0297 | 0.0004 | 0.1166 |
| High Share Subgroup | 27,049 | 0.2729 | 0.1720 | 0.1169 | 0.9974 |
| Binary Stratification (Mean split) | | | | | |
| Low Share Subgroup | 36,025 | 0.0851 | 0.0418 | 0.0004 | 0.1696 |
| High Share Subgroup | 18,095 | 0.3382 | 0.1768 | 0.1702 | 0.9974 |
| Three-level Stratification | | | | | |
| Low Share Subgroup | 18,065 | 0.0500 | 0.0212 | 0.0004 | 0.0843 |
| Moderate Share Subgroup | 18,033 | 0.1206 | 0.0238 | 0.0843 | 0.1709 |
| High Share Subgroup | 18,022 | 0.3389 | 0.1768 | 0.1714 | 0.9974 |
| Five-level Stratification | | | | | |
| Very Low Share Subgroup | 10,832 | 0.0358 | 0.0146 | 0.0004 | 0.0582 |
| Low Share Subgroup | 10,847 | 0.0777 | 0.0112 | 0.0582 | 0.0976 |
| Moderate Share Subgroup | 10,795 | 0.1188 | 0.0142 | 0.0977 | 0.1449 |
| High Share Subgroup | 10,822 | 0.1882 | 0.0290 | 0.1449 | 0.2437 |
| Very High Share Subgroup | 10,824 | 0.4282 | 0.1782 | 0.2439 | 0.9974 |

Table S5. ITS Regression Results for Procurement Volume of Bid-Winning Products by Pre-Policy Bid-Winning Share (Five-Level Stratification)

| | Very Low Share Subgroup | Low Share Subgroup | Moderate Share Subgroup | High Share Subgroup | Very High Share Subgroup |
|-------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| T_i | 0.0391 (0.0324) | 0.0455* (0.0272) | 0.0351 (0.0242) | 0.0163 (0.0221) | 0.0179 (0.0203) |
| X_i | 3.617*** (0.548) | 2.831*** (0.465) | 2.491*** (0.410) | 2.087*** (0.382) | 1.688*** (0.354) |

| | | | | | |
|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| $X_i T_i$ | -0.0627* | -0.0775** | -0.0656** | -0.0373 | -0.0360 |
| | (0.0360) | (0.0325) | (0.0291) | (0.0271) | (0.0266) |
| Constant | 13.30*** | 14.13*** | 14.49*** | 14.71*** | 14.35*** |
| | (0.259) | (0.224) | (0.203) | (0.178) | (0.172) |

Table S6. ITS Regression Results for Procurement Expenditure of Bid-Winning Products by Pre-Policy Bid-Winning Share (Five-Level Stratification)

| | Very Low Share Subgroup | Low Share Subgroup | Moderate Share Subgroup | High Share Subgroup | Very High Share Subgroup |
|-----------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| T_i | 0.0109 | 0.0183** | 0.0268*** | 0.0136** | 0.0107* |
| | (0.00949) | (0.00922) | (0.00913) | (0.00545) | (0.00597) |
| X_i | 0.843*** | 0.645*** | 0.401* | 0.0373 | -0.0844 |
| | (0.248) | (0.224) | (0.216) | (0.119) | (0.202) |
| $X_i T_i$ | -0.0155 | -0.0314 | -0.0359 | -0.00758 | -0.00288 |
| | (0.0271) | (0.0257) | (0.0249) | (0.0195) | (0.0242) |
| Constant | 16.36*** | 16.54*** | 16.34*** | 16.63*** | 16.54*** |
| | (0.0860) | (0.0936) | (0.106) | (0.0647) | (0.0801) |

Table S7. Post-Policy Bid-winning Share for Institutional Subgroups Stratified by Pre-Policy Bid-Winning Share

| Subgroup | Before the policy implementation | After the policy implementation |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Binary Stratification (Median split) | | |
| Low Share Subgroup | 6.66% | 79.06% |

| | | |
|---|--------|--------|
| High Share Subgroup | 27.29% | 76.95% |
| Binary Stratification (Mean split) | | |
| Low Share Subgroup | 8.51% | 79.63% |
| High Share Subgroup | 33.82% | 75.34% |
| Three-level Stratification | | |
| Low Share Subgroup | 5.00% | 78.29% |
| Moderate Share Subgroup | 12.06% | 80.94% |
| High Share Subgroup | 33.89% | 76.89% |
| Five-level Stratification | | |
| Very Low Share Subgroup | 3.58% | 76.07% |
| Low Share Subgroup | 7.77% | 80.88% |
| Moderate Share Subgroup | 11.88% | 80.94% |
| High Share Subgroup | 18.82% | 79.49% |
| Very High Share Subgroup | 42.82% | 76.12% |

Table S8. Sensitivity Analyses: ITS Regression Results on Bid-Winning Product Procurement by Pre-Policy Bid-Winning Share (Three-Level Stratification)

| | Low Share Subgroup | Moderate Share Subgroup | High Share Subgroup |
|-------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| T_t | 0.0435 (0.0299) | 0.0335 (0.0245) | 0.0171 (0.0208) |
| X_t | 3.284*** | 2.493*** | 1.830*** |

| | | | |
|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| | (0.507) | (0.419) | (0.362) |
| $X_t T_t$ | -0.0737** (0.0339) | -0.0594** (0.0297) | -0.0389 (0.0267) |
| Constant | 14.10*** (0.245) | 15.04*** (0.201) | 15.01*** (0.172) |

Table S9. Sensitivity Analyses: ITS Regression Results on Bid-Winning Product Procurement by Pre-Policy Bid-Winning Share (Median split)

| | Low Share Subgroup | High Share Subgroup |
|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| T_t | 0.0430 (0.0281) | 0.0205 (0.0217) |
| X_t | 2.980*** (0.476) | 2.118*** (0.376) |
| $X_t T_t$ | -0.0709** (0.0325) | -0.0162 (0.0271) |
| Constant | 14.83*** (0.230) | 15.48*** (0.178) |

Table S10. Sensitivity Analyses: ITS Regression Results on Bid-Winning Product Procurement by Pre-Policy Bid-Winning Share (Mean split)

| | Low Reliance Group | High Reliance Group |
|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| T_t | 0.0370 (0.0265) | 0.0171 (0.0208) |
| X_t | 2.823*** (0.452) | 1.861*** (0.364) |
| $X_t T_t$ | -0.0651** (0.0311) | 0.0174 (0.0267) |
| Constant | 15.37*** (0.217) | 15.01*** (0.172) |