

Article title: Deconstructing Alcohol Affordability; Comment on “Investigating Indicators to Assess and Support Alcohol Taxation Policy: Results From the International Alcohol Control (IAC) Study”

Journal name: International Journal of Health Policy and Management (IJHPM)

Authors’ information: Jürgen Rehm^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7}, Bundit Sornpaisarn^{1,3,8*}

¹Institute for Mental Health Policy Research, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health (CAMH), Toronto, ON, Canada.

²Campbell Family Mental Health Research Institute, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, ON, Canada.

³Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada.

⁴Institute of Medical Science, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada.

⁵Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada.

⁶Program on Substance Abuse & WHO CC, Public Health Agency of Catalonia, Barcelona, Spain.

⁷Center for Interdisciplinary Addiction Research (ZIS), Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf (UKE), Hamburg, Germany.

⁸Faculty of Public Health, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand.

***Correspondence to:** Bundit Sornpaisarn; Email: bundit.sornpaisarn@alum.utoronto.ca

Citation: Rehm J, Sornpaisarn B. Deconstructing alcohol affordability: Comment on “Investigating indicators to assess and support alcohol taxation policy: results from the International Alcohol Control (IAC) study.” Int J Health Policy Manag. 2026;15:10298. doi:[10.34172/ijhpm.10298](https://doi.org/10.34172/ijhpm.10298)

Supplementary file 1

Table S1: Distribution of alcohol excise tax methods used in countries participating in the IAC study for developing alcohol taxation policy indicators, N (%)

Tax method

	Total	SP	U	AV
Total	126 (100%)	38 (30.2%)	51 (40.5%)	37 (29.4%)
HIC	77 (100%)	27 (35.1%)	35 (45.5%)	15 (19.5%)
LMIC	49 (100%)	11 (22.4%)	16 (32.7%)	22 (44.9%)

Single or combination

	Total	Single	Combination
Total	126 (100%)	69 (54.8%)	57 (45.2%)
HIC	77 (100%)	40 (51.9%)	37 (48.1%)
LMIC	49 (100%)	29 (59.2%)	20 (40.8%)

All factors combined

	Single Total	SP	U	AV	Combination Total	SP	U	AV
Total	69 (100%)	23 (33.3%)	33 (47.8%)	13 (18.8%)	57 (100%)	15 (26.3%)	18 (31.6%)	24 (42.1%)
HIC	40 (100%)	18 (45.0%)	21 (52.5%)	1 (2.5%)	37 (100%)	9 (24.3%)	14 (37.8%)	14 (37.8%)
LMIC	29 (100%)	5 (17.2%)	12 (41.4%)	12 (41.4%)	20 (100%)	6 (30.0%)	4 (20.0%)	10 (50.0%)

By beverage

Beer

	Single Total	SP	U	AV	Combination Total	SP	U	AV
Total	26 (100%)	8 (30.8%)	14 (53.8%)	4 (15.4%)	16 (100%)	1 (6.3%)	8 (50.0%)	7 (43.8%)
HIC	16 (100%)	6 (37.5%)	10 (62.5%)	0 (0.0%)	10 (100%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (60.0%)	4 (40.0%)
LMIC	10 (100%)	2 (20.0%)	4 (40.0%)	4 (40.0%)	6 (100%)	1 (16.7%)	2 (33.3%)	3 (50.0%)

Wine

	Single Total	SP	U	AV	Combination Total	SP	U	AV
Total	23 (100%)	2 (8.7%)	16 (69.6%)	5 (21.7%)	16 (100%)	2 (12.5%)	6 (37.5%)	8 (50.0%)
HIC	13 (100%)	1 (7.7%)	11 (84.6%)	1 (7.7%)	10 (100%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (50.0%)	5 (50.0%)
LMIC	10 (100%)	1 (10.0%)	5 (50.0%)	4 (40.0%)	6 (100%)	2 (33.3%)	1 (16.7%)	3 (50.0%)

Spirits

	Single Total	SP	U	AV	Combination Total	SP	U	AV
Total	20 (100%)	13 (65.0%)	3 (15.0%)	4 (20.0%)	25 (100%)	12 (48.0%)	4 (16.0%)	9 (36.0%)
HIC	11 (100%)	11 (100%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	17 (100%)	9 (52.9%)	3 (17.6%)	5 (29.4%)
LMIC	9 (100%)	2 (22.2%)	3 (33.3%)	4 (44.4%)	8 (100%)	3 (37.5%)	1 (12.5%)	4 (50.0%)